TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE TEXAS STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON IMPEACHMENT AUSTIN, TEXAS VOLUME XII

THE STATE LAW LIBRARY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

B. FORE THE

TEXAS STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON IMPEACHMENT AUSTIN, TEXAS

IN THE MATTER OF HSR NO. 161 CONTINUED HEARING -JUDGE O. P. CARRILLO

CONTINUED HEARING

VOLUME XII

BE IT REMEMBERED that on Thursday, June 12, 1975, beginning at 9:00 o'clock a.m. in the Old Supreme Courtroom, State Capitol Building, Austin, Texas, the above-entitled matter came on for hearing, having been continued from Wednesday, June 11, 1975, before the HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON IMPEACHMENT, THE HONORABLE L. DEWITT HALE, CHAIRMAN, Presiding, and the following proceedings were reported by Hickman Reporting Service, 205 West Ninth, Austin 78701.

HICKMAN REPORTING SERVICE

THE QUALITY REPORTERS Austin, Texas 476-5363

KAT 8782 C3T4

V.12

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1	MEMBERS PRESENT
2	REPRESENTATIVE HALE - CHAIRMAN
4	REPRESENTATIVE LANEY
5	REPRESENTATIVE KASTER
6	REPRESENTATIVE HENDRICKS
7	REPRESENTATIVE SLACK
8	REPRESENTATIVE MALONEY - VICE CHAIRMAN
9	REPRESENTATIVE NABERS
10	REPRESENTATIVE DONALDSON
11	REPRESENTATIVE THOMPSON
12	REPRESENTATIVE CHAVEZ
13	REPRESENTATIVE WEDDINGTON
14	
15	APPEARANCES
16	FOR HOUSE SIMPLE RESOLUTION NO. 161
17	REPRESENTATIVE TERRY CANALES, P. O. Box 730,
18	Premont, Texas 78375.
19	
20	FOR THE RESPONDENT, JUDGE O. P. CARRILLO
21	MR. ARTHUR MITCHELL, Mitchell, George and Belt,
22	1122 Colorado, Westgate Building, Austin, Texas 78701.
23	
24	
25	

i	INDE	:x	
2	MORNING SESSION, JUNE 12, 19	75	12-05
3	CLEOFAS GONZALES	H al e	12-05
11		Mitchell	12-07
4		Maloney	12-68
		Hendricks	12-74
5		Kaster	12-75
- 11		Thompson	12-84
6 [Canales Chavez	12-86
-		Canales	12-88
_ (Hale	12-96 12-99
8		Weddington	12-101
° H		Kaster	12-101
9		Canales	12-104
		Hendricks	12-106
10		Thompson	12-108
- []		Mitchell	12-108
11			
	AFTERNOON SESSION, JUNE 12,	1975	12-111
12			
	MRS. LAURO YZAGUIRRE	H ale	12-112
13		Maloney	12-124
		Hendricks	12-127
14		Kaster	12-129
		Maloney	12-137
15		Canales Chavez	12-140 12-141
		Hendricks	12-141
16		Maloney	12-145
., []		Thompson	12-147
17		Mitchell	12-149
18		1120011022	
""	MR. OSCAR D. KIRKLAND	Ha le	12-153
19			
20			
21			
22			
li			
23			
24			
, 11			
25			
11			
11			

l	INDEX CONTINUED	
2	MR. OSCAR D. KIRKLAND (Continued)	
, [Hale	12-158
3	Maloney Hale	12-179 12-187
4	Maloney	12-192
	Hale	12-198
5	Maloney	12-200
İ	Hale	12-200
6	Kaster	12-212
7	Hendricks	12-215 12-220
′	Kaster Weddington	12-230
8	Chavez	12-231
	Hendricks	12-238
9	Maloney	12-240
1	Hale	12-257
10	Weddington	12-259
11	CERTIFICATE	12-287
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		·
20		
21		
22		
23		:
24		
25		
,		

	EXHIBIT INDEX	
FOR TH	E COMMITTEE	IDENTIFIED
71	Slip with three signatures of Cleofas Gonzales	12-68
72	Outline of testimony by Mitchell witnesses requested to be subpoensed	12-246
ARTH	UR MITCHELL	
1	Subpoena on Oscar D. Kirkland	12-243
2	Subpoena on Arthur Mitchell	12-243
3	First Response of O. P. Carrillo	12-243
4	Second Response of O. P. Carrillo	12-243
5	Request for information by Mr. Mitchell	12-243
	1	
•		
	•	

. .0

•

2

3

4

5

-

order.

8

9

10

iı

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 1975

MORNING SESSION

(The Committee was reconvened at 9:55 a.m.

pursuant to the recess on June 11, 1975.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Committee will come to

The Clerk will call the roll.

(The Clerk called the roll.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Five. We do not have a quorum. However, since we are not going to be voting on anything, hopefully, this morning, the Chair is going to proceed with the taking of the testimony. We do not have to have a quorum for the purpose of taking testimony.

Mr. Mitchell, at your request, the Chair had recalled Cleofas Gonzalez. If it is satisfactory with you, we will put him on the stand at this time.

MR. CLEOFAS GONZALEZ

was recalled as a witness and having been previously sworn, testified further as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

- Q Your name is Cleofas Gonzalez?
- A Yes, sir.

Ţ	
1	Q You are the same Cleofas Gonzalez who testified
2	earlier in this proceeding?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q If my notes serve me correctly, you were here
5	on May the 20th, 1975, and gave testimony before the
6	Committee. Does that sound about right?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q You were sworn at that time to tell the truth,
9	the whole truth and nothing but the truth? Do you recall
10	that?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q And you are still under that oath in your
13	appearance here today. Do you understand?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q You will recall that the Chair gave you a
16	warning about your rights?
17	A Rights. Yes, sir.
18	Q Do you understand that that is still in effect
19	also. If you want to have an attorney, you are entitled
20	to have one. Do you understand?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q The Committee, Mr. Gonzalez, decided to recall
23	you because Mr. Mitchell had requested that there were
24	some matters that he wanted to clear up concerning your
25	testimony and possibly some other members of the Committee

ie.

25

Ų.

may have some matters that they will want to ask you about further.

For that reason, we appreciate your return here today.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Mitchell?

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

BY MR. MITCHELL

Q Mr. Gonzalez, I will try to limit my questions to you as they have risen from your prior testimony given here under oath. If I ask you any questions that you don't understand, would you please ask me to repeat them, so that the record is clear on your answers.

Do you understand that?

- A I sure do.
- O How long have you known Judge O. P. Carrillo?
- A I was born and raised with them all my life.
- Q You were born and raised, in fact, on his father's ranch. Isn't that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q You worked for the family for how long, or with the family?
 - A Since I started working, about the age of 12.
- Q I believe you told Mr. Maloney earlier that you are in- What is your age now?
 - A Forty.

- Q When did you and he have a falling out?
- A Who? Me and O. P.?
- Q Yes. The Carrillo family.

A Oh, the whole Carrillo family? What are you talking about?

Q Let me repeat the question. When did you and Judge Carrillo have a falling out?

A George got me— Well, one time, and I can't recall the date exactly, but one time he got after me about, let's say, three years ago. You know, he went and bought some merchandise somewhere and then that merchandise was either charged to Farm and Ranch or I was supposed to send a payment on it. One day he went up to the same place and he went to buy something and they didn't let him have it or something happened that he didn't get the merchandise, because they owed for that merchandise. He became real mad at me and he said, "Cleofas, why didn't you send this payment to this Farm?" I don't know what farm. I am just telling you how it happened.

And I said, "Well, O. P., I didn't send it, because we don't have no money."

And he started cussing there at me and I told him, "Well, if you don't like it, I'll get the heck out of here." And he said, "Well, don't you do it? Get---"

and he told me that. So, I closed the store. I should have gone back— I was working for him. I should have gone back to the yard where I was getting paid for it.

So, I got so mad, and I just went home and I went to Corpus Christi and I got me a job at Page Airways and I worked there for a whole month and I got paid by Page Airways and I got paid by the County, because Ramiro brought me back. He begged me to come back.

Q He fired you because of your failure to pay a bill and he was— You and he had some discussion and you—whether he fired you or whether you quit—and you left and went to Corpus Christi. Isn't that it?

A He didn't fire me, because I was working for him. I just didn't like what he told me there and I just took off. That's right.

Q If I have calculated the time correctly that conversation occurred some time in 1972, Mr. Gonzalez?

A I don't know. I can get — I worked for

Page Airways. I can get me—where I got paid, and I can
tell you, if you want to, but—

- Q Well, to the best of your recollection.
- A Probably '72 or '73. Page Airways. They process helicopters for Page, or something like that.
- You and he had a conversation in 1972 then, and you have described it fully for the Committee. How many

other conversations have you had with Judge Carrillo, say, since that conversation in 1972?

- A None. I never did talk back to him, never.
- Q In fact, when Ramiro came down there and hired you, you went to work—and got you back—you went to work, because Ramiro came and got you, didn't you, Mr. Ramiro Carrillo?
 - A That's right.
- Q And you and Judge Carrillo, really haven't had much to say to each other, or to use your language, you have had no conversation with him since 1972 that you can tell the Committee about?
 - A I never did. That's right.
- Q Now, prior to 1972, let me pinpoint that a little more. When in '72 did this incident occur, Mr. Gonzalez? At the first part of the year, and I know that I am calling on you to go back three years, and I know the memory of man— Just tell them, is it the first part of the year or the latter part of the year that you had this conversation?
 - A When I went to work over at Page Airways?
 - Q No, no. Let me repeat my question.
 - A Yes.
- Q When did you have the conversation that you described for the Committee with Judge Carrillo in '72?

1.

Was it January, February, March, April, May, June, July, August, or September, or October, November, or December?

A I don't understand your question. Could you talk better, more specifics, so I can-

Q I will try to do that. Let me ask you: What time of the year-

A Yes.

Q —did you have the conversation that you have told us about in '72, Mr. Gonzalez? I think if you will calm down, we will get to it. Was it in January of '72? Was it in February of '72? What time was it? Was it the first part of the year or the tail end of the year?

A What conversation?

Q That you had with you and Judge Carrillo, that you have just told us about?

A Oh, it happened about in June.

Q All right.

A Or, let me see. Late May.

Q That wasn't too hard, was it?

A No.

Q May of 1972?

A Yes, sir.

Q Now, you went to work for Farm and Ranch when?

A I never did work for Farm and Ranch. I worked for the County.

Q That's right. And the County had its County

3

5

٠4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Yard there at the Farm and Ranch location, didn't it?

- Yes, sir.
- And you were on the County payroll and you were tending to the Farm and Ranch business as you could while you were working there?

Well, that's what Ramiro Carrillo put me to work. As long as I get paid, as I said there, I work anywhere, just as long as I get paid. Let me tell you: I was doing work for the County also. I was his receptionist. I got all the complaints that went there; people that wanted something to be done for the County. I left the store work aside and I attended where I was getting paid. I filled up trucks with gasoline. Workers used to come to me and say, "I need this and that." I looked for it and I went and got it, to Corpus, Alice, wherever I could I did most of the work I did for the County. I didn't just do work for the Farm and Ranch.

Mr. Gonzalez, let me let you understand: not making any accusation that you did not perform your duties.

I am just answering your question. I am just trying to answer your question, sir.

The fact of the matter is, I assume and your Q testimony is that you did perform the duties, 100 per cent, to the best of your ability for the County to earn the pay

that you were drawing from the County?

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

ı)

10

11

12

13

14

15

i6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

A That is what I want for you to understand, too. Yes, sir.

- Q And that as you could, you handled the business of the Farm and Ranch Store. Is that right?
- A If I didn't do it, they would run me away, so I had to. They forced me to, really.
- Q You didn't draw the pay from the County for not doing your work, did you?
 - A That's right.
- Q I don't want the tenor of my questions to indicate to the contrary. I agree with you. You did do your duty and you did perform those duties for the County for which you were paid?
 - A Thank you, sir. Yes, sir.
- Now, do you recall when the store, the Zertuche Store was damaged by the hurricane that's been called Beulah or Celia or something, some time in the latter part of 1967?

A I don't know what that lady was trying to say yesterday. She kept on mentioning Hector Zertuche and she's right. Hector Zertuche owned that, but it was a General Store. Anyway, that store didn't exist for two or three months. I don't know where she was getting that three years. She didn't bring no evidence. She

23 24

25

22

didn't bring nothing that would-

Q I realize you are quite sensitive over the lady's testimony, because you testified under oath previously—

A I corrected Mr. Canales when we first started.

He said about Hector and I told him I had to do nothing

with— It was Arturo in the Zertuche General Store.

Q I believe you were asked point blank, has
Zertuche General Store existed and your answer was
only by invoice register. You testified several times
and I believe you—

A Are we talking about the Zertuche General Store?

Q I understand, I say, why you are senstive over the question, but, I please ask you not— Just answer my question and not worry about what Mrs. Gonzalez or these other folks testified to and we'll move along.

A This was brought up yesterday.

Q I understand it was and I am getting ready to question you about it. You have already testified, I believe several times that it did not exist, didn't you?

A I don't think so, but except those months that I said.

Q Yes, I understand. You are talking about Christmas sales?

A I don't know.

24

25

Q And, in fact, you were asked on page 75, I believe it's even earlier than that, 36:

"Mr. Gonzalez, to your knowledge, did the Zertuche General Store ever exist as an entity which owned merchandise?"

"Not that I know of."

Am I right? Then it said:

"Did the Zertuche General Store ever have any premises at which they operated, other than the Farm and Ranch Store?"

"Well, the only time they put all that merchandise on the old Bial," B-i-a-l is where it's in the record and it was incorrect.

"Yes."

"How long did this enterprise last?"

And I am reading from page 36:

"About & month."

I appreciate all of that and I don't want to get into what these other folks testified. You tell us now, what is your testimony under oath? I want to know, first of all: Did you remember Mrs. Rodriguez ever calling you back in '65, '66 and '67 about who to charge merchandise to at that store?

A Yes. I recall her. The only time she called me was that she had received an invoice where this merchandise

24

25

was bought. And she said, "Cleofas, how am I going to do with this? Who is going to pay for it?"

I said, "You ought to know. You are the one who is selling at that store. Why call me? I don't know what you've got there and where you got your merchandise from."

That was the conversation I had with her. That's right.

Q She was telling the truth when she said that she would have an occasion to call you about where to make certain charges in connection with sales made through the Zertuche General Store as it existed where she described in '65, or '66 or '67?

A I don't know when it was, but she called me at times, yes.

Q All right.

A But I don't know where she called me from. It could have been some from— I don't know where.

Q All right. Excuse me. Had you finished your answer?

A Yes.

Q What did you think, Mr. Gonzalez, when this lady named Elvira Rodriguez was calling you about merchandise that the Zertuche General Store was selling? Did you think she had gone crazy and lost her mind calling

you out of the air, or isn't it a fact that you knew where that store was and you knew her and you knew the business?

A I don't know why she called me. I mean, what did I have to do— Do I have to be in charge of all the stores that are open in Benavides?

Q That is not my question.

A We11-

:

4

4

5

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

In

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 Q The question is the question of your credibility before this Committee under oath.

My question put to you is that as a matter of fact, you knew there was a Zertuche General Store and you knew Elvira Rodriguez was working at that store, didn't you?

A It's just like I said a while ago. I don't know if it was the Zertuche or the General Store.

Q Try Zertuche General Store.

A Sir?

Q Didn't you know there was a Zertuche General Store just within three or four blocks of where—

A I know there was a general store at the beginning, too, just by name and maybe it could have been that time that they opened the store. I've got to see some invoices to be correct. I can't remember that long back. I know— It's just like I said. I know they

opened for- Who owned the store then. I don't know. 1 It would probably have been Hector. 2 All right. Now, I really don't know whether 3 ·á we've accomplished anything with all of that or not. 5 A All right. 6 Q Did you or did you not know that there was a 7 Zertuche General Store-8 Α I did not know---9 Q Let me finish my question, Mr. Gonzalez, please, 10 sir. 11 Did you, or did you not know that there was a 12 Zertuche General Store at a given location in the year 13 '65. 1965? Yes, or no? 14 I did not know. No -15 Q Did you or did you not know that there was a 16 Zertuche General Store in 1966? Yes, or no? A '66? Probably. 17 All right. So in 1966, you think that you 18 Q 19 probably knew that there was probably a store? 20 Α I never did see a store, sir. 21 That wasn't my question. Did you or did you Q 22 not know that that store was in existence? Of course, you 23 sat here, so the record is clear: You sat here all day 24 yesterday while Elvira Rodriguez testified, didn't you?

25

A

Yes, sir.

г	
1	Q Were you here when I introduced the sales tax
2	receipts on the store?
3	A I didn't see them.
4	Q Well, I just asked you. Were you here when I
5	introduced the tax returns?
6	A I don't know.
7	Q I ask you the question again: Did you or did you
8	not know that there was a Zertuche General Store in
9	existence selling merchandise in 1966? Yes, or no?
10	A Just by anybody could have been doing it any-
11	where else, or a real store?
12	Q I repeat the question: Did you, or did you
13	not know that there was a Zertuche General Store selling
14	merchandise in 1966 in Benavides, Texas?
15	A I did not know. I'm sorry.
16	Q You did not know?
17	A No, sir.
18	Q Did you know whether or not there was a Zertuche
19	General Store in 1967 in Benavides, selling merchandise?
20	A Probably there was one. I can't remember. I
21	can't recall how long or when they started the Zertuche-
22	I mean—
23	Q Now your answer-
24	A They are at the Farm and Ranch Supply.
25	Q Well, at the first part of 1967. Let me limit

23

24

25

my question to that year. Did you, or did you not know?

The question is quite simple, Mr. Gonzalez?

A Yes, I know.

Q You did know that there was a Zertuche General Store in Benavides in the first part of 1967 selling merchandise?

MR. HENDRICKS: Point of order, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: State your point of order.

MR. HENDRICKS: Mr. Chairman, it was my understanding that Mr. Mitchell was calling this witness to produce additional testimony and not for the purpose of arguing on cross examination with him regarding testimony that has been presented to the Committee.

I thought the sole purpose in producing this witness was for additional testimony, beneficial to his client.

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair would feel, of course, that Mr. Mitchell is entitled to reasonable latitude in developing whatever facts he wants to from the witness.

I would hope that you all wouldn't get into arguments back and forth about what somebody else testified. I mean, let Mr. Gonzalez say whatever he knows about this matter and testify to the facts that he

ı

2 3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

knows without trying to involve the other witness.

MR. MITCHELL: Well, I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, if I assumed that attitude. I have a necessity to clarify matters which the witness testified to under oath, because I understand the function of this Committee it's got to pass on the credibility of some of these folks, in determining and doing its labor. I'm, of course, confused. I thought maybe I would ask him some questions and perhaps he could clear them up so that the Committee can perform its function. I don't intend to cross examine him. I hope that's not what I am cast in the role of doing. I'm sorry, if I am.

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair would hope that you would confine it to questions and answers and not get into any arguments here.

Let's proceed.

The Chair will overrule the point of order at this point. (Gavel.) BY MR. MITCHELL

Then, as I understand it, the first time, Q Mr. Gonzalez, that you can recall you knew about the store was in the first part of 1969?

When they took this-when they gave me all this material to makes sales under this store. Yes.

All right. Q

3

5

4

7

6

9

8

10

12

14.

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

A That was the Farm and Ranch Supply.

MR. MITCHELL: Could I have the exhibit please; that is the application to the Comptroller for a sales tax slip that has been introduced.

Yes. I have an authenticated copy of it. It is in tandem with Carrillo's 1 which is the Zertuche General Store sales tax permit, effective January 1967, issued June—

CHAIRMAN HALE: Exhibit No. 1.

MR. MITCHELL: I have another one, Mr. Chairman, that is the official copy from the Comptroller's office that has this gentleman's application which is relevant to his testimony. Carrillo 1 is one, but the one I am looking for is the one that has been filled out by this gentleman.

MR. MALONEY: Mr. Mitchell, if you would like to use mine.

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much, Mr. Msloney. I will ask him just one or two questions.

No, that's not the one. There is another one I got from the Comptroller that has the application form.

It is in here somewhere with the seal on it.

I have it. Fine. That is Carrillo's 62.

Q I have been handed Carrillo's No. 62, Mr. Gonzalez, which appears to be records of the Comptroller

```
of Public Accounts. I am going to turn to Carrillo's
ı
2
     62-3. Do you recognize that as an application for a
3
     sales tax, State of Texas?
4
          Α
                Yes, sir. Sure.
                It says, "Filed." When does it say it was
5
           Q
     filed?
6
7
                1-1-67.
8
          Q
                January 1st, 1967?
9
          A
                Yes, sir.
10
          Q
                And, as a matter of fact, that is for Zertuche
     General Store, located at Highway what? What does it say?
11
     539 North *ide. Am I reading that correctly?
12
13
          A
                Yes. 359. Well, yes. North side of Benavides,
14
     Texas.
15
                North side, Benavides, Texas. Is that correct?
     Duval County?
16
17
          Α
                Yes, sir,
          Q
                Description of the business says what?
18
19
     of appliances and dry goods. Isn't that correct?
20
          A
                Yes, sir.
21
          Q
               Mailing address? What does it say?
                P. O. Box 434 or 484 or something like that.
22
          A.
23
          Q
               Right.
24
                What is it ector Zertuche here? Why is it
25
     signed by Hector Zertuche?
                Just a minute now. Under the right procedure,
          Q
```

Gonzalez - Mitchell 12-24 I am going to ask you first, and then you can ask me. 1 ? All right. 3 You actually wrote in for that application January 1st, 1967 for sales tax certificate from the Comptrolŧ ler for Zertuche General Store, didn't you? 6 Α Probably that's right. Yes. Yes, sir. Q So that-A They had to have one in order to sell. 8 That's right. 9 Q 10 Α That's right. 11 So that you knew that there was a Zertuche 12 Store actually as early as the first day of January, 1967, if the record, that is Carrillo 62 is accurate, 13 14 didn't you, Mr. Gonzalez? Yes or no? 15 Yes, sir. Q All right. 16 Well, they couldn't have gotten it without any 17 license, but that's all they had. They didn't have a 18 19 store. So you wanted to comply with the law and you did 20 Q 21 comply with the law and got it, didn't they? 22 CHAIRMAN HALE: Let's not argue between 23 the witnesses. Mr. Mitchell, ask the questions and let the 24

witness give the answers and you can preserve your jury

25

argument for the Senate, if it gets that far.

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: I am not making a jury argument. I have assiduously avoided repeating anything and I have tried to ask the question and he goes on and on, and I am not fussing with him. He's the one that's doing it. I wish you would jump on him.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I'm jumping on both of you.

MR. MITCHELL: Well, I haven't done anything but ask a question-

CHAIRMAN HALE: (Gavel.) You will ask the questions. The witness will give the answers. (Gavel.)

Q Now, tell us the truth: When did you know that the Zertuche General Store was in existence, January 1st, 1967, the tail end of 1969 or '65? That's the question.

A well, since I saw this one here, probably for 1-1-67.

Q So that the testimony you gave here previously that it was not in existence is not correct.

MR. MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, I believe that is cross examination.

MR. MITCHELL: I withdraw the question, Mr. Maloney. I think the point of order is probably taken.

Q All right. Where was the location of the

Zertuche store, January 1st, 1967, Mr. Gonzalez?

A Well, as long as I know, for two or three months, it was at that old Vallejo Sales Building.

- Q For clarification then, your testimony is that from January 1st, 1967, for two or three months, it was at that building?
 - A Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q Then, do you remember the destruction of the building, as Mrs. Rodriguez has testified and some of the documentary shows? Yes, or no?
 - A No, sir. I never did go over there. No, sir.
- Q You don't know anything about the destruction of the building by the hurricane?
- A That building has been ruined since a long time, sir. I don't know. It could have been by the storm, but I don't know. I couldn't tell you, sir.
- Q Were you there at the Farm and Ranch Store location, Mr. Gonzalez, when the inventory was moved in the year 1967 from the Zertuche Store to the Farm and Ranch?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Did you determine that there was, in fact, some inventory moved?
- A I don't know, sir. I never did see nobody getting anything out of there. I am just telling you the

24

25

Q Did you have a stove and a refri

Q Did you have a stove and a refrigerator at Farm and Ranch?

- A No, sir. I never did.
- Q Now, let me direct some questions, if I may, to the questions that were previously put to you by members of the Committee. Now, we are going to go back to Farm and Ranch Store and, if you would, answer my questions as they relate to the Farm and Ranch.

When did you first go to work for Farm and Ranch Store?

- A I think that it was the latter part of '69, November of '69, I guess.
- Q And that store has been in existence since 1959?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q And have you worked at that store— When did you quit working at the store, the Farm and Ranch?
 - A May of '74.
 - Q Where did you go from Farm and Ranch?
- A I was loose for a while and then, I talked,
 like I said, to Mr. Parr. I was going to thank him
 for how much they had helped me, being in the County and
 just like I gave that testimony. I talked to him and
 he told me that if I could do this work, give orders for

2

4 5

7

8

6

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

20 21

2.2

23

25

this welfare, and I told him I would try.

He said, "Well, I am going to give you this job since a lot of people come to me. They cannot see Ramiro Carrillo. You try it. If you can do it—and later on we are going to settle everything down and you will probably have your same job." That is what he said. He said, "We'll be getting together again and things are going to go smooth, like they have always been and you will get your job back."

So, I did. I worked for almost—let me see—for about a year helping out the people by giving these County Welfare orders, at the Benavides Implement and I also sold merchandise at Benavides Implement and they paid me about five per cent commission for what I sold.

- Q Have you finished?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q When did you have the conversation with Archer Parr?
 - A Oh, probably June of '74.
- Q All right. And was Mr. Parr the County Judge at that time?
- A He was. That's how come I went to him and thanked him for the job, because I knew he was the one in charge of it. Yes, sir.
 - Q Then you went to work for the Benavides Implement

Store?

A As a commission there, because he told me for me to open an office anywhere I could in Benavides and I told him, "I don't need an office. All I got to do is get in touch with the doctor and stores and the pharmacist and tell them where I am going to be. Whenever somebody goes that really needs something, to come to me and send them over to me and they can get in touch with Ramiro, and if I can help them. I know everybody in Benavides. I know even people that work that really need some help, so, if I could, I could help them. I will help them."

- Q Actually, you got in the welfare business?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Isn't that right?
- A Yes, sir.

Q Now, I want you to tell the Committee how you went about determining who was entitled to be helped in the community? Did they come to you or the word got out that you were the man to see? Tell us how that worked?

A I just said how it worked; that I told the stores and the pharmacist and the doctor where I was going to be; that whenever somebody that went, that really needed help, if they—Because, you know, a lot of these people owe a lot of money to the drug store and to the doctors and, you know how doctors are nowadays: If you don't

have somebody to back you up, they won't take care of you.

- Q All right.
- A Or the drug stores, they won't give you any medicines, if you owe them some money.
- Q If someone went to the Cash Store, for example, and told Mr. Yzaguirre that they needed some help, then as you testified here previously, on two occasions, Mr. Yzaguirre or Mrs. Yzaguirre would contact you that they had someone that needed some welfare?
 - A No, it's not that.
 - Q Tell us how it worked.
 - A No. It don't go like that. No.
 - Q I want to know how it worked when you got into it.
- A Groceries: People go and ask for me that they couldn't make or they couldn't buy the food stamps or they are saving their money to buy these food stamps. Groceries: People have to go to eat, but they don't go to the grocery stores. I just passed the word to the doctor and to the pharmac ist, you know.
 - Q All right.
- A Groceries, anybody can live without a day or two, but not without any medical help.
- Q Suppose one of the citizens of Duval County
 went to the doctor and needed some help. The doctor would

25

then send them to see you. Is that how it worked?

A It depends on if they say, "Go see Cleofas to see if he can help you a little bit. You owe me too much money."

Q Right. Cleofas, that's you. Then what would you do? How would you go, please, sir?

A If I knew the people and I knew they really needed help, I would give them an order.

Q Would you make any determination what political party they were with, before you would help them?

A No, sir. No, sir. I would help anybody.

Q That had nothing to do with whether you would help them?

A No, sir. Not political.

Q Tell us the documents that you would issue?
Would you issue a little white slip? Would you issue
printed forms that had been— How did you do it? Tell
us about it.

A I gave those printed forms that were given to me by Elvira De Leon, the Supervisor, wherever she gave me those. I didn't go around giving notes. No. I gave the order.

Q Would the folks come to you, Mr. Gonzales, with the form as Mr. Elvira Rodriguez testified, or would you have the forms?

25

- A I've got the forms. Yes, sir.
- Q You had a stack of the forms with you?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Your location was at the Benavides Implement and Hardware Store there in Benavides?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Then the folks would come to you and would you fill out the forms?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Would you ask them what they needed? What questions would you ask them? Their name?
- A No. They would come in and they would say,
 "Cleofas, I need an order for some medicines." A lot of
 people are on Medicare, but they already had gotten three
 drugs and they needed this other drug very badly. They
 said, "Medicare don't cover it and I don't have money and
 I need this drug" for something, either diabetes or this
 and that.
- So, I tell them, "Oh, sure, I'll be glad to help you," so I would write out the order. They had already the amount. The druggist would write the amount of medicine.
- Q So they would have had from the druggist. Would they have bought the drug?
- A No, sir. Not yet. The druggist wouldn't let them have it until they got that order or cash.

Q These poor folks that needed help, would have į gotten the idea from the druggist what amount of money 2 they owed the druggist and they would then be sent to you 4 and you would sit down with them and they would have the ÷ 5 amount of money they would owe the druggist? A Right, sir. 6 7 You would fill in the printed form? Q

A That's right, sir.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q What would you do, Mr. Gonzalez: What would you do with the printed form?

A I didn't do anything. I just get one for my records. At the end of the month, I go and pick them up and take them to Elvira De Leon, because that is what I am supposed to do.

Q Where is she?

A San Diego.

Q Did you keep a master sheet of all these folks that came in?

A I sure did. I've got my records there of all the people I helped.

Q Who was paying you? The State or the County, from June 1974?

A The County.

Q You were drawing your pay from the County?

A Yes. Road and Bridge Fund, I guess.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Q But you would, as I understand it, take anyone that was sent to you who had been either for medical supplies, or pharmaceutical supplies, or doctor's bills--Did it include that?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q All right.

A Hospital bills, too. I used to help up to \$100 or \$125. Yes. Funerals. People that passed away, too.

- Q All right.
- A Yes, sir.
- Q The people that would come to you, you would take their word for what they needed and you would then see that those folks got it?

A Well, I know that whenever I helped somebody, because they needed it. Yes.

Q I don't intend by my questions to cast any reflections on the poor people of that community that needed help and I don't want the Committee to misinterpret my questions. I am not, by my questions, indicating anything. I know the folks need some help and I am glad there is someone to help them. I am just simply trying to find the procedures this man followed.

At any rate, what would you do with the printed forms that you would fill out? Would you have them sign

them, Mr. Gonzalez?

- A Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q Then would you make a record of those in your office there at the Benavides Implement and Supply?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Then, what would you do at the end of the month, if anything?

A Well, I would just go pick them up and take them over so that Elvira De Leon can check them. They said they had to be there that—Well, somebody was supposed to go and check them and approve them, so when they had Commissioners Court, they could get paid.

Q Any investigations that those offices wanted to run, apparently they would and then they would trigger the disbursing agent with whatever paper work they had to do and the folks would be paid. Is that right? The people that had given the service of the medicine would be paid?

- A That's right, sir.
- Q Now, let's talk about groceries. Previously, you testified that there was also a procedure where people with the grocery stores, and I believe you mentioned specifically in your sworn testimony earlier, Lauro Yzaguirre— I don't know whether I am pronouncing that right. Lauro?

 ake up the part of
A Lauro Yzaguirre.

Q I did a pretty good job, didn't I. Not much difference between Spanish and Greek.

Lauro Yzaguirre would come to you and I am going to try to repeat it. There are many questions about these. He would come to you and he would have orders that he told you that were for food and that he would want them paid and that O. P. gave them those orders and that you would talk to Ramiro Carrillo and you would okay them and make up the paper work to get those grocery bills paid.

A That's right, sir.

Q And that then they would deliver the white slips to you and that those slips— I believe you testified were destroyed, except those that you produced here?

A Wait. Wait a minute. When Lauro Yzaguirre went over, he took this white slip that O. P. had given to him. If Lauro wouldn't take the slips, I wouldn't make an order. I've got to have some proof, you know, because I didn't want to go and write something that I didn't have proof. He gave me those white slips that were given by O. P. Carrillo. That's right. Yes, sir.

Q It would be just like the man that was a doctor or funeral parlor man or drug man, would give these other folks those white slips and you would take their application, fill them in, turn them in to the proper

authorities and hope you got them paid?

- A That's right, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q Now, let me move, please, to the question of doing the business. I think the record has been developed completely, but there are several questions I want and I am going to run through it rapidly.

From 1959 to May of May 1974, I believe your testimony is you work for the Farm and Ranch. Or, you work for the County, but you were tending the County business as best you could and earning your pay and at the same time, tending to some of the Farm and Ranch business. Is that correct?

- A That's right, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q Was there anybody else in that store, other than you tending to the Farm and Ranch business, Mr. Gonzalez?
 - A Well, the deceased Pat Gonzalez.
- Q Mr. Pat Gonzalez prior to the time of his death worked there in the Farm and Ranch also?
- A He did most of the work that I did. That is fill up trucks with gas and sign tickets for gasoline that were brought in and all that stuff. Yet.
- Q Let me ask you, please, sir: Can you recall for the record when Mr. Pat Gonzalez died?
- A That's probably— I think it was some time in March of '73?

1 Q All right, sir. 2 I really don't know. 3 Q Well, to the best of your recollection. 4 I think it was in March, because I think he 5 passed away when my father's birthday was, I think, or 6 something like that. We lawyers are good at asking them, and the 8 tough thing is when you call back, and I know I am calling 9 on your memory for three years. 10 Your best recollection serves you that it was 11 in March of '73? 12 I think so, sir. I don't know. 13 0 Did Mr. Gonzales work with you from '59 to 14 March of '73, Mr. Gonzalez? 15 No. Pat started working around, I believe-Let's say '60. I don't know when. 16 Was he a Farm and Ranch man or was he a County Q 18 man, too? 19 He was- You mean who he was working for? A 20 0 Yes. Who was he working for? 21 For the County. A 22 Then is it fair to say that from March of '73 0 23 until May of '74, roughly, a year or so, you were the 24 sole man that was in the Farm and Ranch? 25 After he passed away? A

17

ı Q Yes, sir. Yes, sir. 3 Did you all ever have any part-time help in the store? 4 No. sir. 5 Α I believe you heard the testimony earlier that 6 Q when the Hector Zertuche Store was destroyed that the Zertuche operation was moved to the Farm and Ranch some 8 9 time in 1967. That would be in the tail end of 1967. Do you recall that? 10 No, sir. They never did take no merchandise 11 12 to Farm and Ranch. 13 Q All right. 14 I'm sorry. A I didn't 15 I know you disagree with the witness. 16 mean to repeat that. Did you get the yellow invoices from the Zertuche 17 General Store across the top of them some time in the 18 19 tail end of 1967? When did you first get the invoices, the order slips? 20 I don't recall the exact date, sir. 21 Α But you did get those slips? 22 Q From O. P. Carrillo? 23 24 Q Right. 25 A Yes.

1	Q Do you recall when you first got a check, the
2	Zertuche General Store, signed by Hector or Arturo
3	Zertuche?
4	A No, sir. I can't give you the exact date. No,
5	sir.
6	Q Well, I believe your earlier testimony was that
7	there were checks on Zertuche General Store by Arturo
8	Zertuche that you have?
9	A Yes, sir. That's right.
10	Q And that there were also these order slips, these
11	yellow order slips that say "Zertuche General Store"
12	across the top?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q In fact, you produced some for the Committee,
15	in January, February, March of 1971?
16	A That's correct, sir.
17	Q The procedure was that when a sale came in to
18	your store from, say, the School District, that you wrote
19	out an order on the Zertuche General Store order form.
20	Isn't that correct?
21	A That's right, sir.
22	Q That you then delivered whatever it was they
23	bought to whoever was buying?

Then you took, if it were a County purchase or a Q

That's right, sir.

24

25.

A

25

School District purchase, you filled out whatever necessary papers and you would get a check for the payment of that invoice. Isn't that correct?

- A That is right, sir.
- Q That check would be payable to the Zertuche General Store?
 - A That is right, sir.
- Q And you always endorsed that check "for deposit only, Zertuche General Store"?
 - A That is what I was instructed. Yes, sir.
- Q And it was deposited in the bank account of the Zertuche General Store by you?
 - A That is correct, sir.
- Q Thereafter, you would also make the checks from the Zertuche General Store to either Farm and Ranch or to any other merchandiser, or I believe you even paid some payments on Hector or Arturo's automobile, trailer house and so forth, didn't you?
 - A I never did do that, sir.
- Q I am referring to your testimony. I am trying to move on. You testified you made—
- A Yes. I made—he, once or twice, he told me,
 "Please, Cleofas, when we get paid, send this payment.

 It's got to be made," so I did it, but it just happened,
 let's say, six times. I did also make some payments for

I don't

Gonzalez - Mitchell some gas, for some payment to the Mobil Oil Company. But, just- Yes, sir. For Arturo Zertuche? Q For Arturo Zertuche. And you sent him some money; that is, to Q Arturo Zertuche, a check signed "Zertuche General Store by Arturo Zertuche," paid to Arturo Zertuche, the man who owned Zertuche General Store, didn't you? A Let me see. Sir? Q Did I make that check? Did I write it out? Q I just asked you if you ever sent him any money? I don't recall, sir. Probably I did. Α recall. But you made payments on his car? Q It's just like I said. I sent a payment to the First State Bank in Alice one time, or maybe two. don't recall how many. That was the Arturo Zertuche's car, wasn't it, 0 Mr. Gonzalez? I don't know. I can't tell you. Q All right. I just made that check to the First State Bank

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

24

of Alice and they knew what it was for, I guess.

Q Well, that's where the note was, and that's 2 where you knew to send the payments. Is that correct? ÷ They gave me an address or something and I just .4 either took it or sent it. I don't know, really. 5 Q Now, you are not testifying that one hundred 6 per cent of the money that went into the Zertuche Store account by your "for deposit only," all was transferred 8 to Farm and Ranch, are you? You are not telling this 9 Committee that one hundred per cent of that money was 10 transferred automatically to the Farm and Ranch Store, 11 are you? 12 13 14 15 been profit of varying amounts, whatever the record i6 17 Arturo. 18 19 0 20 from Zertuche General Store to Farm and Ranch? 21 22 they left some money there. I don't know for what 23 reason. 34 0 25

merchandise purchased from Farm and Ranch that was sold to people who came to buy from the Zertuche General Store. That's the money you transferred, wasn't it, cost of merchandise.

- A People or just government agencies.
- Q Government agencies.
- A That's right, sir.
- Q As a matter of fact, you bought merchandise through the Zertuche Store Account from other folks, other than the Farm and Ranch, didn't you?

A No, sir. I never did. That was charged to Zertuche?

I say, as a matter of fact, when there was merchandise purchased by a governmental agency through the Zertuche Store Account, while you were in charge of that account, when that merchandise was not on hand from the Farm and Ranch, you would buy it, or Zertuche would buy it from other folks, other merchandising stores?

A I don't think so, sir. I don't know that, because I never did do that. I don't know. No.

Q Well, now. Let me be sure we understand each other. You did have control of the Zertuche Store account from '67, the tail end of '67, '68, '69 and '70, didn't you?

- A Well, sir, I hate to-Q Did you or did you not? You can tell us. 3 Α Well, just by this involce register. Q Right. .4 5 Α Yes. Q The invoice register, the checks that would 6 come into it and be endorsed "for deposit only." That's right, sir. Α 8 And the checks that were issued by Zertuche 9 Q 10 Store, right? Yes, sir. Right. A 11 12 Q Well, there wasn't anybody else but Arturo Zertuche writing checks on the Zertuche Store account, 13 14 were there? I can't tell you that, sir. I mean, because, a 15 lot of times, his brother, another brother. George Zertuche 16 got some checks and I don't know who signed them. 17 Mr. Gonzalez, you know, as a matter of fact, 18 Q Arturo would come in and sit down and visit with you and 19 20 he would sit down and ask you how many checks you needed 21 to do your business and you would write those checks and he would sign them and hand them to you, in blank, wouldn't 22 he? 23
 - A He didn't do that but one time, I guess. I always got the checks from his brother, George. Arturo, I

25

24

25

never did talk— He went by the store. He drove in with O. P. Carrillo to the back, but I never did—

Q Maybe I can get at it this way: Weren't all the checks on the Zertuche Store account signed by Arturo Zertuche?

A Yes, by Arturo, but I didn't get them all from Arturo, I mean. Somebody else would give them to me, whenever I needed them. I already testified that, that I would tell them I needed some checks for this month, and they would give me them.

- Q You couldn't draw personally on the account?
- A No, sir. I couldn't and I wouldn't like to.
- Q As a matter of fact, the only person that could draw was Arturo Zertuche, himself, as far as you know?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q That's why you had to have a check signed by Arturo Zertuche?
 - A That's correct, sir.
- Q Now, we got through that. And that every time a check came in from the agencies payable to the Zertuche Store, the record reflects, the documentary, that you would put a stamp on the back of it "for deposit only, Zertuche General Store."
 - A That's correct, sir.
 - Q You never did take one of them and sign it,

"Zertuche General Store" and go cash it and put the money in your pocket, did you?

- A No, sir. I never did.
- Q So we can assume that everyone of those checks were endorsed and put in that Zertuche Store account.

 Correct? "For deposit only."
 - A The ones that I got. Yes, sir.
- Q All right. You indicated by that answer that there might be some of them that we didn't know about. Do you know of any checks that were issued by the County or any of those agencies payable to the Zertuche Store that didn't come to you? If you do, I want to know about it.
 - A I think-
 - Q And the Committee wants to know about it.
- A that I saw some that weren't—that— I saw once, you know, it so happened that Ramiro left them there in the desk, and I saw and I never did deposit those checks. I don't know who deposited them, or what they did with them.
- Q Other than those periods of time we have gone over, you are quite sure that you followed your instructions to put that "for deposit only" there on the back of that check and deposit it in the bank account?
 - A For the check that I got for the merchandise I

24

sold from Farm and Ranch to the implement, I did deposit all of them.

Q All right. And at the end of the year--in fact, beginning back in 1969, when you just had the Farm and Ranch—and at the end of the year— Let me direct my question to you:

After you got the order blank from Zertuche

General Store and after the bank account was set up and

after the sales tax thing was issued, January 1st, 1967,

you would gather together all of those deposit slips, an

I correct?

- A That's right, sir.
- Q Total them all up. Am I right?
- A That's right, sir.
- Q Take all of your expenses; that is the rent on the building, and whatever expenses such as cost of merchandise— Isn't that correct?

A I used to go by the check. I used to go by the checks and got like rent, so much; oil and gas, so much.

- Q All of the expenses. Am I right, Mr. Gonzalez?
- A That is correct, sir.
- Q And you would bundle all that material up and you would go see Mr. Kirkland, didn't you, the accountant?
 - A I used to write everything down for him. All he

had to do was just read that and he would make the income tax. Yes, sir.

Q All right, sir.

A But I did that for just about two or three years.

- Q Well, I understand, for two or three years.
- A For the Zertuche or Farm and Ranch?
- Q Zertuche.

A You are talking about Zertuche. Yes, sir. I did it about two or three times. Yes.

Q At the end of the tax year and from your testimony, you didn't have anything to do with the '68, '69 and '70. The record reflects the last return was filed for Zertuche General Store by Arturo Zertuche, December 31st, 1970, I believe. Does that refresh your memory?

A I don't know.

Q You would take that material—See if I've got it straight. You would even tape it, put it on a tape and add it all up, wouldn't you, on those gross sales of the Zertuche General Store at the end of the year? You would tape it all up; you would take that tape and you would have the total figures, \$72,000, 52,000, 53,000—you would have all the checks, all of the other checks that you had paid out, take all of that to

25

Mr. Kirkland and say, "Here it is. Prepare the tax return," wouldn't you?

A I think that is correct. If that's what I am thinking that you are trying to say, yes.

Q Well, I am trying, of course, we all know that you gave Mr. Kirkland the data and he's here in the Committee room and he can either confirm or deny it. He prepared the return, and if there were any questions about the data, he would call you, wouldn't he?

- A No. sir.
- Q He never did call you?
- A He never did call me. No, sir.

For Farm and Ranch, yes; but not for the Zertuche-

- Q We are going to get to that.
- A Yes, sir. I wanted to make it straight.
- Q For the Zertuche General Store.

A No, sir. He never did call me for the Zertuche General Store or anything. In fact, I didn't give it to him, I gave it to— I think Ramiro took it. And no questions. I know they had a lot of expenses. Maybe they had to add their expenses there, too.

- Q Yes. There is an expense. Do you remember how much you were paying for rent?
 - A No, sir. Where?

1	Q Well, how much the Zertuche Store was paying the
2	Farm and Ranch for rent, for use of those premises?
3	A (No response.)
4	Q Do you remember?
5	A For that register they had there? What?
6	Q Yes. Do you remember that?
7	A No, sir. I never did.
8	Q Do you remember how much rental income Zertuche
9	Store had as distinct from payment of rent, for any of
10	those years?
11	A Well, it's very easy, because all those rentals
12	were paid or made either to Ramiro Carrillo or to Oscar
13	Carrillo. They reported it in their income tax.
14	Q Right. There is a distinction between the
15	amount paid by the Zertuche General Store for rent on the
16	building owned by Farm and Ranch and the rental income,
17	wasn't there?
18	A I never did see any rental to Farm and Ranch.
19	Q I am not fussing at you, Mr. Gonzalez.
20	A Okay. No, I didn't, sir.
21	Q You did the best job you could. You took all
22	the material together. You wanted to make an honest effort
23	to giving all the material to Mr. Kirkland so that he could
24 ,	make an honest return. Isn't that right?
25 %	A That is right, sir. That is right.

,]
•		İ
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
1-1		Į
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	1	
21		
22		1
72		
ږد		
24		
	•	ı

Q Now, one or two more questions: As a matter of fact, were you here when one of the Guerra boys, not Arnulfo, but his brother, testified about that 500 bags of cement that Judge Carrillo ordered put in that store?

- A No, sir. I was not here.
- Q You weren't here?
- A No, sir.
- Q Well, I won't try to repeat the testimony. I am sure the Committee has it well in their mind. Let me ask you something about that. As a matter of fact, you were the man, were you not, that ordered the cement?

A I ordered so many things that I don't think so, sir.

Q I don't care about anything else. How about that cement that went into that foundation of that store building built on Judge Carrillo's ranch. That's what I want to know about.

- A No, sir. I den't remember. No, sir. No, sir.
- Q You don't know anything about that cement?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q All right.

A Yes. A truck came over once, just like I testified. A lot of times merchandise went in there and I didn't know who paid it, whether the County paid it or O. P. Carrillo paid or who paid it.

Q The check is in evidence. Just tell us what the truck said on it; whether it was Portland Cement; whether it came from San Antonio, where did it come from?

A I didn't receive that truck of cement. You see, we close at noon. That truck got there—when I came back from dinner, there was Tomas Elizondo unloading this truck with a bunch of kids, school kids, boys that are strong enough to carry a cement bag. So I went over and told him, "What's going on here," you know. We kept some cement there that belonged to the Farm and Ranch and to the County there, all together. So I told him, "What's going on here, Tomas?"

He said, "Well, they ordered this cement," but he didn't say whose cement it was. He said that somebody ordered the cement and O. P. just sent us to come and unload it, because the County workers didn't want to do it. They said that that was not their duty. So, they unloaded it. That's right.

- Q Did you get the bill of lading on it?
- A No, sir. Not that I remember. Tomas signed it.
- Q Then, as a matter of fact, a few days later, they came over and got the cement and took it out there to where they were building that store, didn't they?
- A No, sir. They didn't tell me anything. You know what they did is break that lock hasp. They broke it

and they got in there and they loaded some cement. The next day I went over. You see, that room there, I keep it locked at all times, because there are a lot of tires and everything and if something is missing there, I am responsible for it.

Q I understand.

A I had it locked. The next day when I went over or Monday when I went, I noticed that that lock was broken.

- Q Did you talk---
- A Sure I asked. That was my responsibility.
- Q Did you talk to Mr. Ramiro Carrillo about it?
- A Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q And didn't he tell you that that was the cement that Judge Carrillo had purchased?
- A No. He didn't say anything. He said, "O. P. got some cement from there." Yes, sir.
 - Q Oh, he said, "O. P. got some cement"?
 - A Yes, sir. That's right.
- Q How about all of that material? the siding, the wall paneling, all of that material that went into that same store? That also was bought from Farm and Ranch.

 Isn't that correct?
- A Well, sir, let me tell you. We have been doing some Could I have some of those exhibits and I will tell you what was bought by Farm and Ranch that was

paid by Farm and Ranch. You see, there are some -- We first ordered that cedar shingles or whatever they are called. Those I can prove that that merchandise was sold to the City of Benavides for the repair of that City Hall.

Q That's right.

A Because Benavides paid me the next day for it.

And the City of Benavides should have a check for it.

This one right here. All of this merchandise was sold to the City of Benavides, Texas, down through here.

Q How about this page over here, Mr. Gonzalez?
Where did that go? You were here when the other Mr.
Gonzalez-

A Il's got the signature right there.

MR. CANALES: Mr. Chairman, could we ask that they refer to the Exhibit Numbers that they are reviewing right now for the record?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes. If you would.

MR. MITCHELL: It doesn't have the page I am looking for. I am looking for the one that says that Cinnamon—this is not the way the exhibit was shaped up. Let me see the original.

Mr. Canales, I've got the original of the exhibit. That's been rearranged.

MR. CANALES: Here is your Cinnamon.

1	Q You remember the paneling, the Cinnamon paneling
2	on that?
3	A Yes, sir.
·i	Q I am asking you: Where did that go?
5	A I don't know, sir.
6	Q How about the Pecan paneling on that Phoenix
7	Supply?
8	A I don't know, sir.
9	Q I want to ask you about Farm and Ranch. Let's
10	get on with it.
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q At the time, from 1959, thence to May of '74,
13	and then I believe we will move from the Zertuche Store
14	to the Farm and Ranch. Tell the Committee, please, did
15	you also make the sales for Farm and Ranch along with
16	this gentleman that died March of '73? Would you all
17	sell the Farm and Ranch materials, merchandise?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q You would, I assume, be paid by check or cash,
20	just like any other mechandise you sold?
21	A Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
22	Q Did you have credit accounts, Mr. Gonzalez?
23	A Yes. We had planty of them. Yes.
24	Q So that the credit accounts; there would be
25	cook accounts and accounts. I aware for which you were

paid for by check. Is that correct? 1 2 Α Yes, sir. We always paid by check. 3 Q All right. 4 Yes, sir. A 5 Q Were there any sales made from Farm and Ranch to any of the governmental agencies? 6 Yes, sir. There were. When was the last time you recall making a 8 Q sale to a governmental agency through Farm and Ranch? 1) 01 I don't know, sir, but there were some made, Α I guess. There were some made. Yes. 11 12 Q Would some be made through the Zertuche General Store and some through the Farm and Ranch? 13 14 They were all made through the Zertuche General A 15 Store. That's the reason for my question. Were any 16 Q sales made by the Farm and Ranch to the governmental 17 18 agencies? 19 They had to be sold to the Zertuche General Store and from the General Store, I would write the 20 21 ticket out from that register. 22 So your answer is, "No, there would not be any 23 sales made direct from the Farm and Ranch to the 24 governmental agencies? 25 A Some checks got in there by mistake, I guess.

ı They were made to Farm and Ranch. 2 All right. Well, you were in charge of where 3 the checks went, both in the Farm and Ranch account and the Zertuche General Store account? 4 5 Yes, sir. If they come to the Farm and Ranch, A I would deposit them to the Farm and Ranch Supply. 6 Right. And if they came to the Zertuche General Q Store, you would make that deposit? 8 9 A Yes. sir. 10 The record reflects that the checks that came Q into Farm and Ranch that were also stamped "for deposit 11 12 only, Farm and Ranch." 13 A That is correct, sir. 14 And they were deposited in the Farm and Ranch Q 15 account. Is that correct? i6 That's correct, sir. Did you have any control over that account; that 17 Q 18 is, drawing any checks on it? 19 A No, sir. They would have to be signed by 20 the Commissioner. The owner of the store, Ramiro Carrillo. 21 Q Ramiro Carrillo who was part-owner of the store? 22 That is correct, sir. A 23 Q Did you make an end-of-the-year inventory in 24 your Farm and Ranch?

25

A

Yes, sir.

Q That end-of-the-year inventory would, of 1 2 course, be the beginning inventory for the coming year, 3 wouldn't it? That is correct, sir. And you would close that out, say, your December Q 31 inventory would be the growth for the opening-twelve-6 after November; then, take the gross sales figures in the 7 inventory and make up, wouldn't you, Mr. Gonzalez? 8 A Yes, sir. 9 Then you would take the collections for cost of 10 merchandise, labor, expense, or anything else? 11 Yes, sir. A 12 Would you take those over to the accountant? 13 Q I would give them to Ramiro Carrillo. 14 Α As far as you know, he would take them to Mr. 15 Q Kirkland, wouldn't he? 16 A Yes, sir. I think so. 17 0 Tax returns. 18 I didn't make any for Zertuche. I just made it 19 A for Farm and Ranch. Yes, sir. 20 Now, there is testimony that I am puzzled about 21 0 and I am going to ask you a question or two on it. 22 You testified earlier that you would, on 23 occasion, cash checks to persons that would come into 24 the store with a County check. Do you remember that 25

Yes, sir.

2

3 4

6 7

5

8 9

11 12

10

13 14

15

i6

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

testimony?

I'm going to see if I can't- That's at page, oh, somewhere, about page 91 in your testimony. Let me see if I understand it. Your testimony was that sometimes people would come in the store with a check that was made payable to what we call a third person and cashed the check and endorse it and you would give them the money?

A Explain that just a little bit so I can-

I would rather just go to your testimony: 0

"Question: You said that on occasion you cashed checks for people who did not exist?"

"Yes, sir."

"Yes, sir."

"These were checks drawn on the County?"

"Who gave you the checks?"

"Ramiro Carrillo, the County Commissioner."

"In other words, the people who were supposed to get the money did not present you the checks?"

"Answer: By you, no, sir."

"Question: Were the checks endorsed on the back?"

"Answer: Yes, sir."

"Question: What did you do with these checks?"

23

24

"At first when we started, the Commissioner would say, 'Go to the bank and deposit the checks."

Do you remember that testimony?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q That is what I am asking about. Let's see if I can follow this. People would present checks drawn on the County payable to, say, Mr. Rene Martinez or Mr. Juan Martinez, but Mr. Rene Martinez or Mr. Juan Martinez wouldn't be the one that presented. These checks would be endorsed, wouldn't they?
 - A That's right.
- Q And the person that presented them to you, you would have them endorse the check?
 - A What persons? If they went there, in person?
 - Q Yes.
 - A Yes. Sure.
- Q And then you would pay them the money and you would take the check? Right?
- A You see, a lot of these things, these people worked by claims. You see, they worked a week, let's say the first part of January. And they worked this week and then no more work. So they need the money. So Remiro would tell me, "Cleofas, make a check for Farm and Rench. Make a check to Rene Martinez," like you said, and I would make a check to Rene Martinez. Then when the check

-**1**

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

came, then Ramiro would look for that man and have him sign that check and then he would give it to me and I deposited that check, because that amount was drawn from the Farm and Ranch Supply.

Q That's right. I didn't mean to indicate that you were testifying to a wrongdoing.

A No. I am just explaining myself.

Q In other words, what was happening is those folks, just like other folks, get ahead of their paycheck and Mr. Ramiro Carrillo would make an advance on it and when they got the check, he would take the check and have them sign it and take out what was owed and give them the money?

A That's right, sir.

Q Yes. Some other of your testimony that kind of piqued my curiosity. This testimony, first of all about that Farm and Ranch Store location. I believe Mrs. Rodriguez drew it out there for us. I have never seen it so I have to kind of go by— It's a half a block fenced in, isn't it?

A That's right, sir.

Q And it's got a building on part of it, has it not?

A It's a whole building. It's kind of an L-shaped building and then there's another one, like that.

ı

- Q And I envision that you've got an open yard where the County stores some of its equipment?
 - A That's right.
- Q There isn't anything wrong with that. That's the deal where the County was permitted to store its equipment there, big heavy equipment. Isn't that correct?
 - A I think so. Yes, sir.
 - Q You know so?
 - A Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q Just like when the testimony here was yesterday by the Ranger that there was County equipment stored on Mr. Ramiro Carrillo's ranch. You know, as a matter of fact, that he has allowed them to store that big, heavy equipment, because they don't have a place to put it.
- Isn't that correct? You know that?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Now then, you have an entrance into the store?

 Am I correct?
 - A That's right, sir.
- Q Then you have a high fence around the half a block. Isn't that correct?
 - A Right, sir.
- Q Then the County, in fact, keeps equipment, merchandise, in the area, either in the open area or the

closed area where the merchandise is perishable. Am I right?

A That is correct, sir.

Q Does the Farm and Ranch have its own inventory also there?

A Yes, sir.

Q And I don't believe you know where the stove and refrigerator is?

A I never did see them, sir. I was there for quite a few years.

Q I understand. I don't mean to fuss at you.

Is there any other inventory, other than that, that I have described, and was there, when you left there in 1974, in May?

A What do you mean by "other inventory"?

A Anything else? What other merchandise was there that belonged to Farm and Ranch? Was anything else there?

A All the merchandise out there belonged to Farm and Ranch, with the exception of a few things that belonged to the County, that were there.

Q And you know, you were on the County payroll and doing your duty and performing your duty as to the County and you kept up with the County's inventory, didn't you, the County property?

A That's correct, sir.

And you knew the Farm and Ranch property? Q ì A That's right, sir. 2 And you didn't have to worry about Zertuche 3 Q Store, because you said there wasn't any of that. 4 That's right, sir. 5 How about if I wanted fence posts and the County 6 7 would come to you and wanted fence posts. Isn't it a fact, too, Mr. Gonzalez, that sometimes you all would 8 order those fence posts to be sold to the County? 9 That's right, sir. That is right. 10 So there are checks in the record that say, 11 15,000 fence posts to be sold to the County, wouldn't 12 it? They wouldn't keep those fence posts on hand, would 13 14 they? Well, I didn't-A 15 Q Sir? 16 I sold something of that many posts. A 17 And there was barbed wire, too, wasn't there? Q 18 People would come in and want to buy barbed wire. 19 That's right, sir. 20 So that, and let's see if I can say it 21 correctly: You had the County equipment that was stored 22 there. Right? In the yard? 23 Right, sir. A 24 You had County property, inventory? 25 Q

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8
- 9
- 11

13

i6

17 18

20

21

19

22

2324

25

- A That's right, sir.
- Q You had Farm and Ranch inventory?
- A That's right, sir.
- Q You had inventory that was not there, but that was ordered to fill an order, such as the fence posts and barbed wire and so forth. Is that right?
 - A That's right, sir.
- Q Now your testimony was previously under oath that there was County equipment that you sold to the County. Now, that is what I want to ask you about. Do you remember that testimony?
 - A That is right, sir.
- Q Do you mean to tell me that you knew that there was equipment that was owned by the County and a man would come in and want to buy it and that you would sell that equipment to the County?
- A Just like I said. This man came and he wanted some posts. I asked Ramiro and he said, "Sell them to him," and we would go get another trip and I would replace them. That is right, sir. That's what I meant. I think it's in the records, too. That is exactly what I said, I think.
 - Q Let me see what the record says.
 - A I think that is what I said.
 - Q You didn't say anything about replacing them.

1	
1	A Oh, I sure did.
2	Q You did?
3	A Yes, sir. I am sure I did. Yes, sir.
4	Q You didn't want to indicate that anything was
5	missing- In other words, the County-
6	A They had their equipment there, I mean others-
7	CHAIRMAN HALE: (Gavel.) Let's eliminate
8	the arguments. Counsel, ask the questions and let the
9	witness answer the questions and let's move on.
10	MR. MITCHELL: He's the Committee's witness.
11	I have completed.
12	CHAIRMAN HALE: Do you have any further
13	questions, Mr. Mitchell?
14	MR. MITCHELL: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN HALE: Do you have any questions,
16	Mr. Maloney?
17	MR. MALONEY: Just one. Could I get the
18	witness to sign his name three times to this slip of
19	paper.
20	A (The witness complied.)
21	MR. MALONEY: I will have this introduced
22	in the record.
23	CHAIRMAN HALE: Let the Chair make a
24	suggestion, before you introduce that in evidence; that
25	the Court Reporter or Mr. Johnson write on there, somewhere
- 1	1

on there, "Signature of Cleofas Gonzalez," so we can know I 2 what it is, when we check it later. 3 (The paper referred to was marked "Exhibit-71" for 4 identification.) BY MR. MALONEY 5 Mr. Gonzalez, when you were given a check which 6 was signed by Mr. Arturo Zertuche to pay some type of bill with, was this a blank check, blank in every respect! 8 except his signature? q That is correct, sir. 10 Who would fill in that check? Q 11 I will, myself. 12 Would you always be the one who did it? 13 Q 14 Well, for those checks that they gave me, 15 yes, sir. Yes. Q When you had to pay a bill for Farm and Ranch, 16 17 who gave you a check to pay the bill? Ramiro Carrillo. 18 19 And it was blank in every respect, except the signature of Ramiro Carrillo? 20 21 That is correct. sir. Who filled in the amounts, who you were going 22 0 23 to pay the money to and how much money? Myself. 24 A 25 Did you keep any type of books, whatsoever, Q

3

4

5

ı)

10

11

7

8

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

20 21

22

24

23

25

books of account?

A No, sir. All I kept were those invoices and those canceled checks.

Q When you took things to Mr. Kirkland, what did you just take them? The invoices and canceled checks?

A I added up all the invoices and that was merchandise bought that year. Then I would add up all the deposits. That was merchandise sold for that year. Then out of those canceled checks, I would get all the rentals, all the expenses, like light bills, oil and I would write them. I would take him everything, just itemized and just ready for him to—

Q Would you take him the bills, themselves, or just the totals?

A No, sir. Just this. I never did take the bills. I asked Ramiro if he wanted to take everything, and he said, "No. Just skip it and in case somebody wants to check, they can just come and check here.

Q You were telling the Committee something about a time that Tomas Elizondo was unloading cement?

A Yes, sir.

Q Was there only one occasion on which cement was brought there, or were there more than one occasions?

A There were more than one occasion. That's right.

Q So, would you be able to tell the Committee, if

2

3

4

5

we are talking about the cement that was supposed to come from Mexico at the time Tomas Elizondo was unloading it, or could it have been any time?

- A I don't think it was Mexico cement. No, sir.

 I don't know. I couldn't tell you that, sir, but I don't think it was from Mexico.
- Q Cement was a commodity that was sold there at the Farm and Ranch?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q And from time to time, it would be ordered and unloaded there? Is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q One more question: You mentioned that when someone worked on contract labor and maybe only worked a week or so in a month, their check wouldn't actually be paid to them, until the next month, which is the usual procedure?
- A The County Commissioners meet every second Monday of the month, you see, so it takes quite a long while.
- Q If someone came in and wanted what we might call an advance payment or want their money, how would they go about doing this?
- A They would ask Ramiro and Ramiro would give instructions and just give them whatever they needed,

4

9

7

12

13

15 i6

17

19

20 21

22

24

or if they wanted the whole amount, for me to give the whole amount.

Q Would you do that by writing them a check co Farm and Ranch, or would you just keep a slip to see how much they had?

A No, sir. I would write a check on Farm and Ranch. Sometimes I had some cash there, you see, because we don't have any bank in there. They would tell me, "I need the cash." And if I had it there, I will give them.

Q When you gave it to them in cash, what would you get in return?

A The other check.

Q Would you make any type of notation that you had given Rene Martinez something?

A I would make a notation that I had gotten this cash to pay this man. Yes, sir.

Q Would there be occasions when you would give someone a check?

A That is correct, sir. Most of the time I gave checks, but some people, you see, they don't have a way to go to San Diego and they needed the cash and I had the money there so I made him a favor and I would give them the cash.

Q Was Pat Gonzalez any relation to you?

A No, sir.

Q What did ha do before he came to work at Farm and Ranch?

A Well, he had a sweet shop and then he had a heart stroke and the doctors told him not to work anymore at the sweet shop. He owned a little ranch. He told me once that he felt like he wanted to do something, so he went over to Ramiro Carrillo and asked him for a job. He said, "Ramiro, I would like to do some kind of— I would like to be here—"

Q Were you present at that conversation?

A Yes, sir. I was there when he went. He asked Ramiro that if he had something for him to do, he said, "I will work for any amount that you pay me. I want something to do." He was always, you know, liked to be with people. He owned that sweet shop, you know. He started. Ramiro hired him. He paid him about \$125 a month, or something.

Q Was he paid out of the Farm and Ranch account?

A No, sir. He was paid by the County. He was paid by the County.

Q When you ordered cedar posts, who did you order those from?

A He ordered them from Austin. Ramiro would come, you know, in the County car and try to locate where they sell some posts and they would just call us, or go some

place around near Austin and get these posts. ŧ Q Was there a company called "Benavides Cedar ١ Posts" that you knew of? Yes, sir. Where was it located? 4 A Well, the Cedar Posts started about that time 6 that we started that construction, about 1960, let's say, and it was there in Benavides. 8 0 Who was the proprietor or owner of that, if 0 10 you know? 11 I think it was Oscar Carrillo. I think it was 12 Oscar. 1 4 Q Do you know of any firm named Duval County Cedar Posts or Duval Cedar Posts? 14 Is that company recent, or how has it been? 15 I am just asking you if you are familiar with 0 16 that name? 1 There have been so many deals there in Bena-18 19 wides that, probably, yes. Yes. Did you make the sales tax reports to the 20 Q 21 Comptroller of Accounts? 22 A For Farm and Ranch Supply? 23 Q Yes. Yes, sir. I sure do. Yes, sir. 24 A How did you arrive at the amount that you were 14

Q

I

3

5 6

9

8

11

13

15

17 18

20

19

21 22

23

25

to pay the Comptroller?

A Well, they send me a form and I add up all those invoices that I sell monthly and if it fits for this Zertuche Store, that is a tax exempt for resale, so I left that out. I just charged— I just did it like I was supposed to, you know.

Q Let me ask you then: Did Zertuche General Store ever sell anything to anyone who was not a County entity, such as the Water District or the County or the City of Benavides or any of those?

A I don't know, sir. I couldn't tell you.

MR. MALONEY: That's all that I have.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Hendricks?

BY MR. HENDRICKS

Q Just one or two points here. Mr. Gonzalez, was there a General Store and a Zertuche General Store?

A They started with a General Store and it was owned by Hector Zertuche. Then when he left to the Army, his brother took over, Arturo. Then when Arturo got it, it was changed to "Zertuche General Store."

- Q Was there ever a Zertuche Store?
- A Not that I know. Just by-
- Q They are completely separate then, the General Store and the Zertuche General Store? Hector had the

ι	General Store?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q And is that the one the lady was employed by?
4	A I couldn't tell you, sir, because I don't
5	know what she was trying to say. I think it was by
6	Hector, that General Store. I don't know.
7	Q Then the Zertuche General Store was by Arturo
8	Zertuche?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q And they were separate and distinct? Is that
11	correct?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	MR. HENDRICKS: Thank you. That's all I
14	have, Mr. Chairman.
15	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster?
16	BY MR. KASTER
17	Q Mr. Gonzalez, the place where the Zertuche
18	Store was, the building was not destroyed by the hurricane
19	was it? It was just damaged?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q The building is still standing. Is that
22	correct?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q Where is that with regards to the highway?
25	Is it north of the highway, south of the highway or where?

ì	A It's north of Highway 359. It is across the
2	railroad tracks,
3	Q When you first testified, I had written down
4	that you said that as far as you knew, the store was
5	formed about 1965, but they really didn't have any
6	merchandise. Is that correct?
-	A That's correct, sir.
8	Q The only time that you know of any merchandise,
9	there was a sale for a month or so of some Christmas
10	items?
11	A That is correct, sir.
12	Q From the front of the store, back to the counter
13	is how far?
14	A It's about from here to there, about 15 feet.
15	Q About 15 feet. So that it would be very
16	difficult to get much merchandise in that much area. Is
17	that right? I mean a stove and an ice box?
18	A That is correct.
19	Q What happened to the merchandise at the
20	Christmas sale? Do you know what happened to that?
21	A No, sir. I don't know.
22	Q Did it just last a short time and then they
23	closed it up?
24	A That is correct, sir.
25	Q You don't even remember, did you say, when that

merchandise was supposed to have been brought over to the 1 2 Farm and Ranch Store? 4 I don't want to say that that lady lied, but ٠į I never did see I was there. I never did see anything 5 like a stove or an ice box that belonged to them that was put over there. No, sir. They never did. I am positive. 6 7 When you would sell things to the county, I 8 think you said you used a register that said "Zertuche () General Store" Is that correct? 10 A Right, sir. 11 Q Now when the County would pay, or whoever the 12 governmental entity was like the School District, the 13 Water District, the County, that money would come, made 14 out to Zertuche Store? 15 Right, sir. 16 Then you would stamp it or endorse it. Then 17 you would do what with it? 18 I would make a deposit and deposit it to the 19 Zertuche General Store and then I would make a check. 20 Then you had one of those checks that were al-Q 21 ready signed that was blank? 22 A Right. 23 What would you do with that? 0 24 I would make a deposit to Farm and Ranch Supply. A 25 So you would take the money right out of the Q

deposit and transfer it right over to Farm and Ranch 1 2 from Zertuche? 3 That check. I would just deposit that check. 4 Yes, sir. 5 Q I think you said that on a couple of occasions, and just a couple of occasions, they would tell you to 6 make a check to somebody else. 8 Yes, sir. That's right. 9 Q How much per cent of the time, though, did you 10 do this? Very little, I can tell you. 11 12 Would you say that 90 or 95 per cent of the Q 13 time, money went from Zertuche to Farm and Ranch? 14 That is correct, sir. 15 Q Is it that much? I mean that high a per cent 16 of the time? Well. as long as I know, what they bought. Yes. 17 A Merchandise that I sold, just like I said. Yes. Maybe 18 19 there were some other checks that went-20 You weren't authorized to sign checks either Q 21 from Farm and Ranch or from Zertuche? 22 No, sir. No, sir. A 23 Q 24 25 Mr. Pat Gonzalez was paid by the County?

7	12279
1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q You were paid by the County?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q Do you know of anyone who worked at the Farm
5	and Ranch Store that was paid by the Farm and Ranch
6	Store?
7	A Well, nobody that worked for the Farm and Ranch
8	at the store. Probably sometimes they hired somebody to
9	do something. That was contract labor.
10	Q I am talking about day-to-day for running the
11	store?
12	A No, sir. No, sir.
13	Q So you and Mr. Gonzalez, running the store, wer
14	paid by the County?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q The place where the cement was stored, where
17	is that in regard to the main part of the building?
18	A Well, it's behind, in a special room that was
19	made there by the old lumber yard for cement.
20	Q Is it a tin building?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q And then that 's where they stored coment?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q For the Farm and Ranch Store, where did you
25	store cement? In that same building?

1	A In that same place. Yes, sir.
2	Q And you stored tires and cement in there?
3	A Right, sir.
	Q And periodically, you would get cement in there
5	for sale. If the County wanted to buy cement or if
6	somebody wanted to buy cement, they'd pick it up there?
7	A Right, sir.
8	Q When the County wanted to pick up items, from
9	the County, would they come see you and you go get it?
10	A They had to. Yes.
11	Q Did you have some method of keeping track of
12	the items that you sold to the County that were County
13	items? How did you handle that?
14	A I could tell you, yes, sir.
15	Q Would you mark that out? If a guy took five
i6	bags of cement that belonged to the County-
17	A Well, no. No. Whatever was there-it belonged
18	to the Farm and Ranch.
19	Q The County didn't own any items there?
20	A Well, not but tools. They had some tools there,
21	like shovels.
22	Q I am talking about if they wanted to buy
23	cement.
24	A No new merchandise. No, sir.
25	Q They would always buy it through Farm and Ranch

25

but you would bill it through Zertuche?

- A That is correct, sir.
- Q As far as you know, the whole time you were there, after the 1967 or whenever that hurricane was, the only thing that you had from Zertuche was a register?
 - A That is correct, sir.
- Q And there was nothing else. Now, you keep track of the items that were sold by Zertuche and take it to the accountant and he could do whatever he wanted with it, at the end of the year?
 - A That is right, sir.
- Q And you would take another account from Farm and Ranch and do the same thing with that?
 - A That is right, sir.
- Q Now, on the food stamps that you have testified not food stamps, but those white forms that you testified to earlier, would you tell us again how that worked?

 Was this while you were at Farm and Ranch?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q I think you testified that the people at the store—or who would tell you to take those white slips? What would you do with those white slips?
- A Those white slips were taken by me at the end of the month by Mr. Yzaguirre. Yes.
 - Q What would you do with them?

A I would take out the order, on an order that they were supposed to be giving out, where it says, "name of person" whose got this order, I would write, "list," so I wouldn't make so many. I would write three or four names on one, you see, so it would save me some work to do. I wouldn't have to make one for everybody, so I just wrote, "list."

- Q Did you know the people on those white slips?
- A Some of them I did, sir, and some of them, I didn't. If they wrote just "Mr. Garcia," there are a lot of Garcias. I don't know which Garcia I got. I couldn't tell that. I always asked Mr. Carrillo, "O. P. brought me this. Do I make them out?" And he said, "Yes, go ahead. Those are people that O. P. helped."
- Q Judge O. P. Carrillo would bring them to you?

 Mr. O. P. Carrillo would bring you these slips?
 - A No, sir. The grocery man.
 - Q The grocery man would bring them?
 - A Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q Then you were trying to find out who they were and they would say. "Well. O. P. helped them."
- A Well, sir, when I was making them out, I could tell. Some names didn't go right, but I couldn't do anything about it.
 - Q Because you were doing what you were told. They

1 told you to make a list and you made a list? That is correct, sir. 3 Now, there seems to be some confusion as to when you left Farm and Ranch. In the first part of your 4 5 testimony to Mr. Mitchell, you said it was in 1972 and 6 later on you said it was in May of '74. 7 It was in May of '74, when I left. 8 When you split with Carrillo, didn't you leave Q ı) right then? 10 I didn't split with the Carrillo. I just didn't 13 want to work there any more. 12 Was this in '72 or '74? Q 13 174. Α 14 Q When did you work for Page Airways? 15 That time, just one month. A Was this in '74 or '72? i6 Q 17 Probably in '72, I guess, '72. A Then you went back in '72 and continued to work 18 Q 19 where? 20 A At that same, for the County. 21 Farm and Ranch? Q 22 A They paid me for that month that I was off. 23 They paid me for it. You went back to work with Farm and Ranch in 24 Q 25 '72 after you worked for Page Airways?

1		
2		
3		
į		-
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	}	
9		
0		
1		
23		
24		
5		

A Yes, sir.

Q You worked there for two more years?

A Yes, sir.

MR. KASTER: I think that's all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Ms. Weddington?

Mr. Chavez?

MR. CHAVEZ: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I'm sorry, Ms. Thompson.

I didn't see you. Ms. Thompson.

BY MS. THOMPSON

Q Mr. Gonzalez, those food slips that you showed us here one night, the first night you testified: Did you ever receive any of those food slips coming from the Cash Store.

A I don't-

Q Mrs. Yzaguirre's store, the Cash Store. Did any of them ever go to that store? Did she ever have to pick up any food slips from that store, from people who went by to get groceries?

A I don't understand what you mean.

Q You know the Cash Store?

A Yes, ma'am.

Q Did anybody ever need food and come to you and say, "We would like to have some food," or "We need some food," or did they ever get a slip from the Commissioner and go to the Cash Store to buy groceries?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
01
J 1
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A Yes. A lot of people go there for help. Yes, ma'am.

Q Did they ever use any of those little white slips?

A No, ma'am. When they go there, I give them out an order that I make myself and I ask the-

Q What did you make them on? Did you make them on a Zertuche order form?

A No. The regular Duval County Welfare order.

Q No, before you went to work for the Welfare Department and when you were working for the Farm and Ranch Store. When you were working for the Farm and Ranch Store, did anybody ever come by and get any of those white slips and go over to the Cash Store to buy groceries?

Ramiro Carrillo was in charge of that. I would fill them out and I would tell Mr. Carrillo that people, you know, people know when Mr. Carrillo gets the form. Mr. Carrillo is at the Farm and Ranch every morning, you see, and people always, when they need, they will wait for him there until they catch him. If they don't catch him in the morning, that's it. They won't catch him at all.

So, they talk to Mr. Carrillo and say, "Mr. Carrillo, I need an order, please." Mr. Carrillo would

6 7

8

10

12

14

15

i6 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

tell me. I would take the book to Mr. Carrillo. He would sign the order and I would give them whatever Mr. Carrillo said to give them. No slips, orders, original orders.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

BY MR. CANALES

Q Would you look at these, please?

Mr. Gonzalez, I want to direct your attention to that first page of that Carrillo Exhibit. Would you look at the number on it, please, at the bottom? I think it's circled. It is 63?

- A It is "Car-63." Yes, sir.
- Q Car-63. Do you recognize that invoice?
- A Sure. Yes, sir.
- Q It has been brought to the attention of this Committee that that was material used on the Ranch Store which was built on O. P. Carrillo's ranch. Was that material delivered to the City Hall?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q The City Hall project that was done by Gabriel Gonzalez?
 - A That's right, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q Would you look at the next page and give me the number off of it.
 - A That is "Car-64."

2

á

6

8

10

12

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

Q Where was that material delivered?

A Some of this material here, it came on that truck, too.

- Q That same?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q But at least that first page, 63?
- A I know that all this material on page—on 63 and well, this 64 and then let's go back to it. I didn't receive this merchandise. No.
 - Q Let's look at 63 again.
 - A 63, yes.
 - Q Has it got your signature on it?
 - A Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q I would like to ask you, there was a question raised the other day about sales tax. Were any sales made or was material ever bought through the Farm and Ranch Store and picked up by anybody other than a governmental entity whereon the sales tax was not paid?
- A Yes, sir. Well, by May 15 of '74, I think I was already out, but I am not sure. I was not working for Farm and Ranch right then. I understand now what you say. Yes. I think that some of the merchandise was delivered to O. P. Carrillo. I think we didn't even make an invoice for it.
 - Q You didn't even make an invoice for it?

•	
1	A No, sir. No, sir.
2	Q Then it wouldn't have been included in the
	invoices that you sent to Mr. Kirkland?
ı	A No, sir.
5	Q He just went and picked up material. You never
6	billed him for it and this was never reflected in the
7	records which you sent to the accountant when he prepared
8	the income tax returns?
9	A I think that is correct, sir.
10	MR. CANALES: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Chavez?
12	BY MR. CHAVEZ
13	Q Mr. Gonzalez, I am a little bit confused. I
14	need you to help me out here.
15	You remember the first time that you testified,
16	Mr. Hale asked you some questions. Mr. Mitchell went ove
17	some of those questions. That has to do with some of the
18	checks in the County that were brought over by Mr.
19	Ramiro Carrillo to be cashed and supposedly the money was
20	to be given to the people.
21	A It was given to Ramiro Carrillo after I got the
22	check, sir.
23	Q Now, do you know whether or not Ramiro Carrillo
24	had already cashed these checks for those people?
25	A I don't get you.

24

25

Q Okay. Go over again for me what it was that you did with those checks? How it was that you cashed them?

A A lot of times, besides these checks that I got—besides these people that went over that they needed their money in advance, Commissioner Carrillo a lot of times gave me about six or eight checks of the amount of \$200 for me to bring him the cash.

Q Okay. Those names that were on those checks, did you know the people that were on there, the people, the names on those checks? Did you know those people?

- A I guess. Yes. Yes, sir.
- Q Were they real, live people?
- A I would say, yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q Do you know of any time that Ramiro Carrillo gave you a check made out to a person that did not exist?
 - A I don't think so, sir.
- Q The reason I asked you that, that is what I understood you to tell Mr. Mitchell.
 - A Uh huh.
- Q When Mr. Hale was asking you this, he was asking about these checks. And he said, "And the payee on the cashed checks would be a fictitious payee, somebody that did not exist"?
 - A I didn't say that, but that is not what I meant.

25

Q In other words, you didn't understand Mr. Hale's question?

A I did understand, but, you see, when they write like "J. Perez," or "C. Perez," who do you go by that?

Q I don't know, Mr. Gonzalez. Mr. Hale nor I were there, so we don't know. We don't know these people, that's why we have to rely upon what you are telling us.

A That's right, sir.

Q And you are telling us, your testimony here is under oath and it is very important, and I think you are trying to be fair with us and tell us the truth. But, now are you telling us that you never saw a check that was made out to a person who did not exist?

A Well, these letters have, I don't know anybodyyou know, when I got these checks, I don't know anybody
by--- Do you understand?

Q No. I am asking you: Do you know, and you are telling us here under oath, that Ramiro Carrillo gave you some checks made out to persons that did not exist?

A I think so. Yes.

Q Are you sure?

A Sure. Yes.

Q Okay. How many checks did he give you?

A I couldn't tell. I can't tell you, sir.

Q How do you know that the people did not exist?

	16-71
1	A Well, because those letters, those "J. Perez,"
2	or
3	Q You've never seen a check made out just to the
4	first initial and the last name?
5	A Not an important check like a County check.
6	No, sir.
7	Q But I mean- I don't want you to guess. I want
8	you to be absolutely sure.
9	A Ask me your question again.
10	Q Did Ramiro Carrillo give you checks made out
11	to people that did not exist?
12	A He did.
13	Q How many checks?
14	A I can't tell you, sir.
15	Q What were the names of these people that did
16	not exist?
1-	A I don't remember, sir.
18	Q How do you know they did not exist?
19	A By the names, sir.
20	Q Well, now: What did you do to find out that
21	they did not exist?
22	A I didn't do nothing, sir. It's just my imagina
23	tion.
24	Q It's just your imagination?
25	A Yes, sir.
- 1	i ·

!	Q Okay. Then you do not have actual proof that
:	those people did not exist?
3	A Well, we will find out one of these days.
4	Q I am asking you now, because you are here today
5	I am asking and I want to find out.
6	A I have already told you. No, when you asked
7	me that.
8	Q But you also said that it was your imagination.
0	A Well, you asked me another question and I said
10	another answer.
11	Q Do you just think that these people do not
12	exist?
13	A They did not exist.
14	Q And it is not up to your imagination? You are
15	absolutely sure?
i6	A I am sure. I will take it that way.
17	Q What period of times did he give you these
18	checks? When?
19	A Oh, I can't remember, but let's say three year
20	back. I mean he gave it for several months, you know.
21	He made it out for a long time.
22	Q Well, did it go on every month?
23	A Probably so. Yes.
24	Q Well, I don't know, Mr. Gonzelez. You need
25	to be a little bit more sure with me anyway. You say

		Gonzalez - Chavez 12-93
1	"probably	so." I mean, did he; or didn't he?
2	A	Yes, sir.
3	Q	Every month?
- 4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	For how many years?
6	A	Three years.
7	Q	What years would those be?
8	A	Let's say '70, '71, '72, '73.
9	Q	And '74, he didn't do it?
10	A	I don't think so. He probably did. I don't
11	know. I	am just giving you more or less.
12	Q	Now, Ramiro Carrillo would bring you these
13	checks an	d you would return the money?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	Did you give the money only to him?
16	A	Correct, sir. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
17	Q	Only to him? Nobody else?
18	A	Nobody else, sir.
19	Q	You didn't give any of this money to O. P.
20	Carrillo?	
21	A	No, sir.
22	Q	If Ramiro Carrillo was doing something wrong
23	by cashin	g these checks and keeping the money himself,
24	you don't	have any evidence to show us that 0. P.
25	Carrillo	benefitted from that money?
	1	

!	
;	A No, sir. I don't have no proof.
2	Q What Ramiro Carrillo did with that money, you
3	don't know?
4	A I don't know, sir.
5	Q But none of these activities were related to
6	O. P. Carrillo in any way?
7	A I don't think so.
8	Q Now, earlier when you testified to Mr. Mitchell,
9	when you were giving out this help to the people, you
10	said that you kept a record of all the people that you
11	helped?
12	A When I took over?
13	Q Yes.
14	A Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
15	Q Where did you keep these records?
16	A I've got them in my possession. Not right now,
17	but I have them where I work, at Benavides Implement.
18	Q Do you have an office there?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q Were you required to keep this list?
21	A I did it for my protection.
22	Q Well, you know that the County kept a list? You
23	gave them a list, did you not?
24	A Yes, sir, I did. Yes, sir.
25	Q You gave them the records?

F	
I	A Yes, sir.
2	Q And there was some little conversation there
3	that you had quit Ramiro Carrillo and you had gone to
- 4	Archer Parr and this was some time last year?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q Was this about the time that Ramiro Carrillo
7	and Archer Parr had split?
8	A I think they were still together at that time.
9	Q You think they were still together?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q Were they having problems at that time?
12	A Well, I don't know.
13	Q You say you kept this list for your own
14	protection?
15	A Yes, sir. When I took over. Yes.
i6	Q You don't keep this list in order to check it
17	off against a voter's list at the time of election?
18	A No, sir.
19	Q Tell people
20	A I don't go for that. No, sir.
21	Q To tell people— You didn't do this to tell
22	people at election time, "Well, now, I helped you when
23	you needed help, and now, it's time for you to help me,
24	by voting for so and so"?
- 1	

No, sir. The first time that I was- In April

25

of this year, of '74, I got a call from Mrs. Elvira

De Leon and she said, "Cleofas, stop giving orders, because Mr. Carrillo wants you to stop giving orders, because there are some ladies that are instructing people
how to vote and they say that you are giving out orders
for \$30 for food so they can vote for you all."

I told Mrs. De Leon, "That's a lie, because you can come and check or you can check when I take those orders. That's a lie. I am not doing that."

Q In other words, you do know that some people did say that?

A Yes, sir. Those people, they had working for them, you know, trying to make people vote for them.

They were saying this lie. Yes.

MR. CHAVEZ: That's all, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

MR. CANALES: Mr. Chairman, I have a couple of other questions.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Canales?

BY MR. CANALES

Q Mr. Gonzales, I would like to ask you some questions on the advances that were so benevolently made by Mr. Ramiro Carrillo on checks that were going to be made out or on claims, for County workers, since they wouldn't be paid until a month later. You would write a

1	12-7/
1	check from Farm and Ranch Store, generally. Sometimes
2	you give cash?
3	A Yes.
4	Q But, generally, you would write a check to
5	these people and give it to them?
6	A That's correct, sir.
7	Q That was because their paycheck would not be
8	coming until some time maybe a month later. Right?
9	A That is correct, sir.
10	Q When you prepared your expenses for the Farm and
11	Rench Store, did you deduct this amount as labor?
12	A No. I just left them out.
13	Q You didn't include them in the-
14	A Yes, sir. That was just
15	Q -records that were sent to Mr. Kirkland?
16	A Yes, sir. I would write "loan," I think on the
17	bottom there where it says, "for," or something like this
18	Just "loan," or something like that.
19	Q So it wouldn't have been deducted as an expense
20	A No, sir. I left them out.
21	Q Let me ask you: You saw the checks that came in
22	later, because they were deposited in the Farm and Ranch
23	Store? Right?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q Becuase the Farm and Ranch Store was later
)	

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
i6
17
18
19
20

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

-1

6

7

8

A

	Q	Now,	j us t	one	brief	quest	ion:	We re	the	checks
tha	t you	na de	out i	in ad	vance	a 1 wa ys	in	the sa	tme a	mount
as i	the ch	ecks	tha t	VOII	receiv	ed from	m th	e Co	··· + ··	2

A That is correct, sir.

reimbursed for this disbursement?

Yes.

MR. CANALES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Any further questions?

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Hale, may I request that the sworn testimony of this witness previously taken, and taken today under oath, together with that of Mrs. Elvira Rodriguez and Mrs. Lauro Yzaguirre, be certified and delivered to the Grand Jury of Travis County for the purpose of determining whether or not the offense of perjury has been committed, by any or all of them?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Mitchell, the matter of referring any of this matter to the Grand Jury will be a matter that the Committee will take up. We appreciate your interest in it.

MR. KASTER: Mr. Chairman, if we are going to do that, there are several others that we could just send over there.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes. I sincerely trust,

Mr. Mitchell, that you are equally zealous, as the

Committee is, in trying to ferret out all of these misdoings.

BY CHAIRMAN HALE 1 Mr. Gonzalez, the Chair would like to ask you 2 one or two questions pertaining to the calendar year 1970 3 Were you operating and running this operation during ·i the calendar year 1970? 5 A Yes, sir. 6 You were being paid by the County during the Q year 1970? 8 A Yes, sir. 0 But your duties were there at the Farm and Q 10 Ranch Store? 11 Well, yes. 12 Q You were operating the Farm and Ranch Store? 13 Α Yes. The County Commissioner has got his office 14 there, too, sir. 15 Now, were you aware that Arturo Zertuche filed Q 16 an income tax return for the year 1970 in which he re-1. ported gross receipts of \$72,974? 18 No, sir. I haven't. Nobody has talked to me. 19 A During the year 1970, did you sell merchandise Q 20 of the value of \$72,000 through the Zertuche General 21 Store? 22 It is hard for me to say, sir. A 23 24 Q I understand. 25 A Yes, sir. Q On this tax return, it also shows for the

calendar year 1970, that they paid out rent on business 1 2 property of more than \$18,000. 3 I don't think that's right. 4 What business property would the Zertucha General Store have been renting during the calendar year 5 6 1970? Do you know? (The witness shook his head.) 8 Q Do you know of any business property they would have been renting during the calendar year 1970? 9 10 Α The Zertuche General Store? The Zertuche General Store. 7.1 Q 12 A I don't know that they owned anything. No, sir 13 It also shows a deduction during 1970 for Q 14 "salaries and wages paid" and it's difficult for me to 15 read these figures. It is either \$404 or \$604. I can't be sure of that first digit. Do you know of anyone that 16 was employed there that was paid either \$404 or \$604 in 17 18 wages by the Zertuche General Store during 1970? 19 I don't know, sir. Really. No. 20 Did you receive any money, yourself, as wages Q 21 from Zertuche General Store? No, sir. Not at penny. Not even from Farm 22 23 and Ranch, nor Zertuche. No, sir. Your total compensation came from Duval County? 24 0 25 Is that correct?

	, wy
1	
٠	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
_	
i	
8	
4)	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
1,	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

24

25

A	That's	right,	sir.	
	(The w	itness	nodded.)	

It also showed "commissions paid" of \$4,000 Q during the calendar year 1970. Do you know who those commissions would have been paid to?

No, sir.

It shows disbursements for gasoline and oil during 1970. I am not sure I am reading this figure correctly. It looks to be 2,385 dollars. What would the Zertuche General Store have been using that they would have used gas and oil of \$2,300?

I couldn't tell you, sir. They said they had some rental equipment, but I don't know if they really did or not. I couldn't say.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there any other questions of this witness?

Ms. Weddington?

BY MS. WEDDINGTON

How much inventory did the Farm and Ranch Store Q actually have? When you talk, for example, about it having feed on hand. Did it have two sacks or ten, or twenty, or sort of how much inventory was there of various items?

About \$4,000 or \$5,000 worth. Α

Total? 0

Yes, ma'am.

	11
1	Q Sort of translate that for me. I have a hard
2	time figuring out how much \$4,000 in equipment actually
3	is? I mean, how much was there in the building?
4	A Equipment, or are you talking about merchandise?
5	Q Merchandise or whatever Farm and Ranch-
6	A We had a good stock of merchandise, ma'am.
7	Q I don't know what a "good stock of merchandise"
8	is.
9	A Well, we had a lot of auto parts, bolts, nails,
10	hardware, paint.
11	Q You had paint?
12	A Yes, ma'am.
13	Q Did you usually have like 50 gallons on hand?
14	Or did you just order it?
15	A No, ma'am. We just had about four gallons of
16	each like oil and aluminum paints and a few house paints
17	in quarts. We didn't have a very large stock, but we had
18	some.
19	Q Would you say on most of the items like how
20	many stoves and refrigerators would you have had on hand?
21	A None, ma am.
22	Q Was there a stove and refrigerator from Zertuch
23	that had been there?
24	A No, ma'am. I never did see one in there. We
25	had a refrigerator that belonged to the hunters, you know
	<u> </u>

But they will take it out. It's a freezer that they use while hunting. When the hunting season started, they would take this freezer and then they brought it back in. That's the only freezer we had. But that is a freezer.

- Q But no refrigerator at all?
- A No, ma'am.
- Q What about stoves?
- A No, ma'am. I never did see a stove in there.
- Q Did you ever have bicycles?
- A No, ma am.
- Q So, really, you could get whatever you wanted, but there were very few items, big items, anyway, that were there in stock?
- A That's right. I bought a lot of refrigerators and washing machines from the Whirlpool Company, but you see, somebody would order them and I would just get them for them and sell them.
 - Q You didn't keep the floor full of Whirlpools?
 - A No. I didn't have a floor plan. No, ma'am.

They took away the franchise because of that, I guess. Yes. ma'am.

MRS. WEDDINGTON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there further questions

Mr. Kaster?

21

22

23

24

Г	
1	BY MR. KASTER
2	Q Mr. Gonzalez, is there a sign on the Farm and
3	Ranch Store that says, "This is the Farm and Ranch Store"?
4	A No, sir.
5	Q Was there a sign on the Zertuche Store that
6	said, "This is the Zertuche Store"?
7	A I didn't see one. No, sir.
8	Q Was there a sign that said either "General Store"?
9	A No, sir.
0	Q So most of the stores in Benavides don't even
1	have signs on them?
2	A There are quite a few stores that don't have a
3	sign on them in Benavides.
4	Q Does the Cash Store have a sign on it that says
.5	"Cash Store"?
6	A I believe so. I'm not sure.
7	Q Most of the stores there don't have signs. People
8	just have to know where they are?
9	A That's right. Yes.
0	MR. KASTER: Thank you.
1	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Canales?
.2	BY MR. CANALES
23	Q Mr. Gonzalez, one other question: There was some
4	discussion yesterday, a question raised as to the process
25	used in Duval County for bids to repair the City Hall

which belongs, I think, to the Water District. Were you

working for the Duval County at the time that the City Hall was repaired?

ł

A Yes, sir. I was working there and that one day that the City had a City Alderman meeting, I went over and told them that I knew that this building was going to be replaced and I needed some money, so I told the Mayor, Octavio Saenz, that I would like to bid on this job. He told me, "Well, I'm sorry, Cleofas," because it came out in the paper, you know. They were taking bids. It came out in either the Corpus or the Alice paper, I don't know. So I went over to that meeting and asked them— I do a little carpentry myself. I do any kind of a job, so I wanted to get that job and he told me, "Cleofas, I'm sorry, but you can't do anything here, because for political reasons, we already have somebody that is going to do the work."

I told him, "Then, why did you advertise it in the paper," and we started arguing there. I started arguing with Mayor Saenz, Octavio Saenz. And he said, "Well, Cleofas, you know how it is here. For these political reasons, we've already got somebody that's going to do the job." I got mad and I told him a lot of things there. He can come and testify, if he wants to. I told him there. They gave it to this boy that came and testified vesterday.

23

24

25

Q Who is the daughter of Octavio Saenz? That is the Mayor, isn't he, of Benavides?

A Sir?

Q Who is the Mayor's daughter in Benavides?

A He's got two daughters. They are related to me, but I don't even know their names. I think one is Anna and the other, I don't know.

MR. CANALES: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there further questions?
Mr. Hendricks?

BY MR. HENDRICKS

Q Mr. Gonzalez, looking at this 1970 calendar year income tax return of Arturo Zertuche, it shows there is a depreciation of a '65 Chevrolet in there. Do you have any knowledge of Arturo owning a '65 Chevrolet?

A '65? Well, I know the Judge gave him some He had a truck, but I don't know if it's '65, really. I couldn't say.

Q Did the Farm and Ranch Store own a '65 Chevrolet?

A Well, they've got so much equipment that I couldn't tell you, sir. Really, I wouldn't like to go into it.

Q It also shows a \$4,000 legal and professional fees in it. Have you got any idea what Arturo might have paid \$4,000 to some lawyer for?

A No. sir. I couldn't. No.

Q Do you have any idea of what the gross sales, the Farm and Ranch Store made to the governmental entities of Duval County in 1970?

- A Just to Duval County?
- Q Well, to the governmental entities in Duval County?
- A Well, I'd say about 60 per cent of what they sell is for the government. Yes.
- Q Would that have approached \$72,974 sales to the government, the Water District, School District, Duval County?
- A I think that is too much. No, that is I would say about forth, in all, but 72— I don't think so.
- Q Well, would you say it would be somewhere around \$44.000?
 - A Well, that looks more like it. Yes.
- Q It shows the telephone expense for this General Store. Has there ever been a telephone listed for Arturo Zertuche General Store, to your knowledge in Benavides?
- A Well, it's probably somewhere else, but not—
 I don't know where, sir.
- Q Have they ever had any utilities? There is a \$681.96 deduction of utilities. Have they ever had lights and water in that old building down there, or did they have, in 1970?

П

1	A I don't think so, sir. I don't know.
2	MR. HENDRICKS: I believe that's all I
3	have, Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there other questions?
5	Ms. Thompson?
6	BY MS. THOMPSON
7	Q Mr. Gonzalez, did I hear you earlier testify
8	that you knew about everyone there in Benavides?
9	A Yes, ma'am.
10	Q You are pretty familiar with things that go on
11	in Benavides?
12	A Yes, sir. I have been there everyday.
13	Q Who has been driving those El Dorados and Thun-
14	derbirds down there that the County has been paying
15	insurance on?
16	A Well, not in Benavides. Probably in San Diego,
17	but not in Benavides.
18	BY MR. MITCHELL
19	Q You mean you all have been running around in
20	the dark without no running water in that store, the
21	Zertuche Store, 1970?
22	A (No response.)
23	Q Sir?
24	MR. HENDRICKS: Are you talking to me?
25	MR. MITCHELL: No. The witness, Mr.

Hendricks.

3 ٠ŧ

5 6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

A	Oh.	vou	are	talking	to	me '

(Laughter.)

- re talking to me?
- Yes, sir. It's not proper for me to address Q questions to the Committee.
- A I think all of those pipes have been busted since I first got there. We started plumbing wrenches in that building.
- Can you answer my question: Have you had no Q running water and no lights in that building?
 - I don't know, sir. I don't know.
- Q And, as a matter of fact, when you paid the note to the Alice Bank, it was on that Chevrolet that Mr. Hendricks asked you about, and you knew that, didn't That's why you paid that note, was Arturo's Chevrolet.
- Well, it was just a car note, but I don't know what car. It didn't say there. They just told me "Send the check." How did I know what car it was? I didn't see that note. I didn't ask anything, sir.

MR. MITCHELL: No further questions.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Any further questions? (No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Gonzalez, thank you for coming back.

The Chair will advise you that you may return

to Duval County and continue with your work. You are still under subpoems to the Committee. Unless you hear from us to the contrary, you go on about your business.

If we need you further, we will get in touch with you.

A Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: The same instructions as we had before. Do you understand?

A Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you very much.

(The witness, Cleofas Gonzalez, was excused.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Members of the Committee, according to my clock, it's 12:00 o'clock. Possibly we should recess at this point. We have two other witnesses this afternoon.

Will all the members of the Committee please stand by here for just about 30 seconds after we recess.

Mr. Maloney moves that the Committee stand recessed until 1:30 this afternoon. Is there objection?

The Chair hears none and the Committee stands recessed until 1:30 o'clock p.m. today. (Gavel.)

22

23

24 25

THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 1975

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The hearing was reconvened at 1:30 p.m. pursuant to the luncheon recess.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Committee will come to order.

Members of the Committee, the Clerk advises me that she has reduplicated a list of all of the exhibits which have been put into evidence up until this point and a revised list has been made in front of each member of the Committee. I call it to your attention, because you have received so much material, stacked up in front of you that it may get lost. I think you will find that list to be very helpful in trying to check through the Exhibits.

It even includes the Exhibit 71 which was put into evidence shortly before we took the noon recess.

Is there any business of the Committee, before we start hearing testimony?

Mrs. Yzaguirre, would you take the stand, please?

22

š

ú

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

2

3

-í

6

8

り

10

12

13 14

15

17

18

20

21

23

24 25 MRS. LAURO YZAGUIRRE

previously called as a witness, was recalled by the Committee and having been duly sworn, testified further as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

- Q Your name is Mrs. Lauro Yzaguirre?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q You are the same Mrs. Lauro Yzaguirre who testified earlier before this Committee?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q We welcome you back and thank you for coming back on our request.

You will remember that the Chair at that time swore you to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Do you understand that you are still under that oath-
 - A I do.
 - Q —in your appearance before the Committee?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Likewise, you will recall that the Chair advised you of your rights with respect to your appearance here and that advice still goes at this time?

- 1	
1	A Yes.
2	Q Do you understand that?
	A Yes, sir. I do.
٦	Q Mrs. Yzaguirre, you testified before the
5	Committee in your previous appearance concerning the fact
6	that there were numerous people that came into your store
-	purchased groceries for the account of Judge O. P.
8	Carrillo, took the groceries out and you charged that to
,	the Judge Carrillo account. Is that correct?
10	A I did. Yes, sir.
1	Q And that up to \$400 each month of that bill was
2	paid to you on a check from Duval County?
3	A As far as I remember, as I told the Committee.
4	Q And that Judge Carrillo, if the bill ran more
5	than \$300, is my recollection of your testimony, if the
6	bill ran more than \$300, then Judge Carrillo would write
-	you a check for the difference?
8	A Either write me a check, or if he didn't have
9	time to come, I would just get that balance and run it to
20	the next month.
1	Q Carry it forward?
22	A That's right.
23	Q Now, there is testimony and evidence to the
24	effect that Judge Carrillo issued to the Cash Store numerous
5	checks, and I would ask you the question- I am going to

show you photocopies of a number of checks here and I I would ask you as a predicate for that-2 3 First, sir, do you have all checks that have 4 my endorsement there? 5 Well, these checks don't show endorsements. Q Ι 6 am going to-7 A Okay. 8 Q - I am going to show you the checks and ask 0 you about them. 10 A Okay. 11 First, I would ask you this: Did you ever Q 12 accept any checks signed by Judge Carrillo for which you 13 gave out cash in return? Did you ever cash any checks? 14 That's very common in Benavides, because we 15 don't have a bank. Not just to Judge Carrillo, but for a lot of people we know, we do the same services. 16 17 Q So you would have a lot of checks flowing through 18 your store that were not necessarily for merchandise? 19 A That's the truth. 20 Q Is that right? 21 A That's right. 22 Q Where people just come in and cash a check? 23 In case people would want to go on a trip, or A 24 something, we don't have a bank. They would come to me 25 and if I know the people, like we know most of the people

÷

ı

6

8

0

10

11

13

14

15

10

1

19

20

21

22

23

25

there, I would cash the checks. The Cash Store would cash the check for maybe 10, 20, 30, 50 or 300— I mean, it all depends, I mean. That didn't mean that bought that many groceries. That was cash, you know. And not just to Mr. Carrillo, but to a lot of people buying there.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Could we see Carrillo Exhibit No. 32?

Hand it to the witness.

(Exhibit 32 was furnished to the witness.)

Mr. Johnson, see if on the top of the first page, it lists "Ranch Groceries, 1971."

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Fine.

- Q Mrs. Yzaguirre, you have in front of you an exhibit which has been marked as "Car-32," meaning Carrillo 32.
 - A That's right, sir.
- Q This entire exhibit consists of some 12 or 15 pages of photocopies of just checks, apparently of canceled checks.
 - A I see that.
- Q If you will look at the first page, I want you to follow down with me the first check there shows, appears to be on December 17, 1970, to the Cash Store for \$100.
 - A Yes, sir.

ĭ	Q Of course, I realize that's been four and one-
2	half years ago. We are talking about December 1970. Do you
š	remember that check?
ų.	A That, I see it. But, like I tell you, I cash
5	checks like that. I can't remember that back. I mean, I
6	know, because it is here and I see it.
7	Q If it were the only one, there would be no
8	problem, but I want you to follow down with me here for
9	just a moment now and we'll see.
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q The next check is No. 576 there. We are still
12	on the first page.
13	A Oh, I'm sorry.
14	Q January 7, 1970. It probably meant 1971.
15	A What is the amount you just quoted me, sir? Yo
16	quoted an amount.
17	Q If you will just look at the exhibit.
18	A It's \$25 and you said 500.
19	Q No. The previous one was \$100.
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q The number of the check is 576. I was looking
22	at the number of the check, so you could identify it.
23	A I'm sorry. That's right. The number of the
24	check.
25	Q For \$25?

- Yzaguirre Hale 1 Α Yes, sir. Q And it is made out to the Cash Store? 4 Yes. Q In fact, all of these that I am going to call 5 your attention to are made out to the Cash Store? 6 A Okay. Yes, sir. The third check there is for \$25, January 14, 8 1971. The fourth check, January 14, '71, \$175. It 0 10 the handwriting on any of those checks? 11 12 Carrillo's writing. 13 14 signature? 15 16 Yes, sir. 1-0 18 19 27, 1971, \$100. February 4, 1971, \$45. 20 A Yes, sir. 21 Q 22 signature on those? 23 To my knowledge it is. A 24 0 25 A
 - appears to be in a different handwriting. Do you recognize Well, not on the 621, because that's not Mr. Is the signature on it Judge Carrillo's Yes. It is the same signature as the other one. Then turn the page. January 18, 1971, \$50. January 17, 1971, \$45. January 23, 1971, \$85. January Are all of those apparently Judge Carrillo's They are all made out to the Cash Store? Yes, sir. HICKMAN REPORTING SERVICE AUSTIN, TEXAS

- Q Turn the page. Then February 5, '71, \$75?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q February 11, '71, \$25. February 18, '71, \$50. February 19, '71, \$50. February 21, '71, \$45.

Turn the page. Then there is one on March 5, '71, \$50. March 9, '71, \$50. March 19, '71, \$10. March 28th, '71, \$50. April 19, '71, \$100.

Then you will turn the page. April 20, '71, \$75. April 25, '71, \$5. May 6, '71, \$100. May 7, '71, \$25. The last one on that page is not a Cash Store check.

A I know.

Q Then all of them on the next page. And if you will turn on through there— I am not going to enumerate each one, because this Exhibit 32 is in evidence. But that shows a tremendous number of checks involving a substantial sum of money—

A I understand.

Q —that was paid to the Cash Store, apparently on checks signed by Judge Carrillo during 1971. I haven't run an adding machine tape on them, but it would like— I have just listed them here and I have two columns on one page, all in 1971, checks varying anywhere from \$10 to as high as \$175.

A Does he claim he paid this as groceries? I

2

3

5

7

9

10

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

25

don't know--

Q Judge Carrillo has not testified, so we do not know what he claims-

A Oh, no. Because in any store, it's impossible for any check to come— You know, there has to be some cents.

Q Well, these checks, I assume, were put into evidence in the absence of Judge Carrillo telling us what they were for-

A Uh huh.

Q —but his counsel put these checks in evidence and I, as Chairman, assume that they were put in evidence to refute your testimony about the groceries. I assume that he meant to prove by this that Judge Carrillo was paying you for all the groceries which he received. Is that true?

A Well, I told the Committee the difference was paid. I told you if there was a difference, it was paid by him. If there was a difference, maybe one in just an estimate of from one to ten checks. I doubt it if they all would come to an even number, I mean in cents. I mean because that's very rare in a grocery store to come to just an even number.

Q All right. There are several questions that I want to ask you about these checks.

A Yes, sir.

15 16 17

13

14

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

Some of them, you may not be able to answer. Q For instance, you have not looked through all of these I have. For example, during 1971, there was apparently some three or four thousand dollars worth of these checks, payable to the Cash Store. In 1972, however, there was less than \$500 in 1972. In 1973, there was none, at least that were put into evidence here. there were any, they were not put into evidence. And in 1974, there were cancelled checks for about-it looks like about \$700 or \$800.

Can you tell me why there would have been so many more of these checks in 1971 than there would in '72, '73 or '74?

The only reason I can give you, sir, is that when he would make trips out of town, he would come and cash checks- I imagine, because maybe someone in another town, they don't- At least, to me, they wouldn't cash a check here in Austin, because they don't even know me. I mean that's the only explanation I have.

Well, counsel has called my attention to another Q exhibit which I did not examine in this connection, because it's labeled ranch gas, 1973, which is Carrillo Exhibit 24, which also has some photocopies of canceled checks for 1973, payable to the Cash Store. Evidently there were some in 1973, also.

For gas, did you say? A

- Q Do you have a gas pump at the Cash Store? Do you sell gasoline there?
 - A No. sir.
- Q Well, we apparently have some more checks scattered through here that have been misclassified and listed under other categories that were also payable to the Cash Store.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Maloney pointed out, Mr. Chairman, that 24 has been mislabeled. Some of those are for greceries. All I can do is blame it on my secretary.

- A I'm glad somebody got the blame.
- Answer to this question, but I am going to ask you anyway. I notice in studying these checks over, they do not follow the numerical sequence of the check numbering system. I am going to ask you the question, if you know any reason for that: For example, if you will look on the first page there of your exhibit, Check No. 576 in the middle of the page?
 - A Wes, sir.
- Q It is dated January 7. And yet the one right below it is dated January 14, 1971, but it's Check 423.
 - A I notice that. Yes, sir. I saw it.
 - Q Which indicates it should have been issued

2

.į

6

8

10

11

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

A I noticed that.

Q Some months prior to that. Can you account for that discrepancy?

A No, sir.

before Check 576?

Q Do you know why the numbering sequence on the checks would not be in order?

A No, sir.

Q And that same lack of logical numbering goes all the way through here. I have taken the list down and these checks going to you apparently had no logic or rhyme or reason about how the checks were issued.

MR. CANALES: They are on two or three different bank accounts.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I know. I have earmarked the ones. Some of them are on the First State Bank in San Diego and some are on the First State Bank and Trust of Rio Grande City Bank. But those are different numbering systems, counsel, on the checks. And I have so labeled those.

Q For example, take the First State Bank of San Diego, Check 276 was issued on June the 16th and Check 236 wasn't issued until August 1. So there is certainly a certain lack of logic, even in the other two banks.

However, most of these checks were issued on the First

5

6

8

10

12

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

23 24

25

State Bank of Alice; all but just a few of them. And there were also a very few that were issued on another bank there in Alice. Is there another bank in Alice?

- A I think there are three, sir.
- Q I didn't make a note of that-
- A I think there are three.
- Q —that last bank in Alice.
- A Well, there is the Bank of South Texas.
- Q The Bank of South Texas.
- A There is the Alice National Bank, and the First National Bank.
- Q Well, I will not labor the point with you, Mrs. Yzaguirre, but let me just wrap up my questions to you with this one question: In spite of several thousand dollars worth of checks that are shown here and assuming you received all of those, which I don't know whether you did or whether you didn't, but even so, did you also receive from the County of Duval a check each month in the amount of \$300 or less, if the amount was less, in payment for groceries, which you delivered to somebody in the name of Judge Carrillo?
 - A I did, sir.
 - Q Okay. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there any other

questions? Mr. Maloney?

i MR. MALONEY: Can the witness see Carrillo 2 Exhibit 24, please. 4 (Carrillo 24 was furnished to the witness.) 4 BY MR. MALONEY 5 Mrs. Yzaguirre, I believe that you testified Q earlier and again testified today that the Judge would b run an account with you, and when the County didn't pay 8 the full balance of the account and he didn's come in to 9 clear it up, you would carry it forward? 10 Like I said-11 So that there would be a balance? Q 12 A -a balance of credit. Yes, sir. 13 Q Would you look on page 15 of that exhibit. 14 please, at the bottom of the page, on Check No. 177. 15 A What page? 16 Q Page 15. Marked at the bottom, please. 17 A Yes. 18 On that last check on that page, which is a Q 19 December check, doesn't that check recite balance, 1973? 20 Well, that's not my writing. A 21 I understand that, but doesn't that check have Q 22 that on it? 23 A Yes. Yes. That would seem to indicate to me that a 24 Q 25 balance was carried forward each time, if this check was

to clear up a balance. Could this check be such a check? I 2 Well, probably. If it was carried as a balance, A 3 Yes. So the Judge would not necessarily clear up the 1 Q balance every month. It might go forward for several 5 months, before he gave you a check to clear up the entire 6 balance? Α 8 Yes. 9 Would you basically want your balance cleared Q up at the end of the year? Especially around Christmas 10 time? 11 12 You don't know the trouble I have collecting, 13 not to just one. I wish they would pay at the first of 14 the month. Everybody, then I really would be without 15 gray hairs. Q All right. What is your husband's name? 16 17 Α Lauro. L-a-u-r-o. 18 Has he ever worked at the Judge's ranch? Q 19 A To my knowledge, I don't think so. 20 MR. MALONEY: Would you show the witness 21 Exhibit 14, which is "Ranch Labor, 1973," or Carrillo 14. 22 This exhibit is "Ranch Labor, 1973" and I 23 direct your attention to page 9; check No. 107 on that page, which represents a check from O. P. Carrillo to 24 25 Lauro Yzaguirre for \$142.50 in October of 1973.

	
1	A And that's for labor for my husband?
2	Q This is what I don't know. That's why I am
3	asking you.
4	A No. Because that is labor for my husband. That's
5	my printing. I mean that is my That is Lauro Yzaguirre.
6	That's my, my-
7	Q You would have filled that in yourself?
8	A Yes, sir. I would have been his secretary,
9	because I usually print, I mean.
10	Q Does that appear to be your printing on that
11	check?
12	A To what I do. It looks just like my printing,
13	of course.
14	Q I know it's difficult to recall back.
15	A I know; I know, but sometimes my printing-
16	Q It's been almost three years.
17	A — I usually print.
18	Q But do you recall such a check having been paid
19	for your husband's labor on Judge O. P. Carrillo's ranch?
20	A No, sir. I don't recall. But that's my I
21	usually print like that, I mean.
22	MR. MALONEY: That's all I have. Thank you.
23	A That is why I wanted to check on the endorsement
24	on the back.
25	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Hendricks?
	West-1 West-1

1 MR. HENDRICKS: Carrillo 23. Would you , get it, please. 3 (The exhibit, Carrillo 23, was furnished the 4 witness.) 5 BY MR. HENDRICKS 6 Mrs. Yzaguirre, do you all have a son named 7 Lauro Yzaguirre? 8 No, sir. His name - Do you want his name? His 9 name is Jesus Abel Yzaguirre, but his initials are J. A. 10 Yzaguirre. 11 0 Look on page 7; check No. 413. Now this is 12 dated March 15th of 1974, which is just a little over 13 a year ago. 14 CHAIRMAN HALE: What exhibit are you looking 15 at? 16 March 15th, 1974. By any chance, do you re-Q member that check? It's only been a little over a year 17 18 ago? That's in the amount of \$366.26. And the memo on it 19 is "balance of March." Does that ring a bell in any way 20 with you? Can you explain that? 21 it does not, but, like I say, a balance is 22 a balance. Well, if that was his balance, that was his 23 balance. I mean, I can't question that. 24 Would this be one of the checks in which he Q 25 picked up what he went over the \$50? Yes. Probably could. Probabaly.

Г	12-128
1	Q You were trying to say all the way through
2	Mr. Hale's testimony if most of these checks made out to
3	the Cash Store in the amount of \$50 and \$100 and \$25 had
4	been for groceries, there would be some odd cents?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q Like this \$366.26. Is that what you were
7	trying to say?
8	A Yes. It always comes to some cents.
9	Q It very seldom comes out in even dollars.
10	A Well, the grocery stores usually come out in
11	cents, you know.
12	Q With the sales tax, it very seldom comes out
13	even.
14	A Yes, sir. People that have stores know that.
15	MR. HENDRICKS: I believe that's all I
16	have, Mr. Chairman.
17	A Excuse me, sir. I just saw something here, not
18	concerning my store, but all the rest of the stores that
19	are here has some cents. I mean, that is all, if you wil
20	see that.
21	MR. HENDRICKS: Yes, I noticed that.
22	A I am just looking at it.
23	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster.
24	BY MR. KASTER
25	Q Mrs. Yzaguirre, you still have Exhibit 23, that

IT

	Carrillo	23?
2	A	Yes, sir.
3	Q	Are you still on that same page?
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	If you will look up there, the one for Ben's
6	Grocery.	It comes out to \$92.98.
-	A	I didn't hear, sir.
8	Q	For Ben's Grocery?
"	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	If you will turn the page, at the bottom of the
11	page, the	re is one to Kroger's?
12	A	Yes, sir.
13	Q	What's the amount on that?
14	A	\$84.14.
15	Q	If you turn the page again, there is one to
16	Hillcrest	Grocery.
17		CHAIRMAN HALE: It's the second one from
18	the top.	
19	Q	It is page 6.
20	A	Yes, sir.
21	Q	The one to Hillcrest Grocery?
22	A	Yes, sir.
23	Q	How much is that?
24	A	\$9.73.
25	Q	And the next one down, to Ben's Grocery?
}	1	

Q And the one at the bottom of the page, to Ben's Grocery?

Q So that every check issued to another store comes

A That's why I was telling you that the people that have stores, at least should understand that.

Q I would like to ask you: Have you ever cashed checks for Judge Carrillo? In other words, as you were saying, that guy wants to come in and get fifty dollars and you know when you cashed checks?

A Like I say, for others. Not just for the Judge; but for his brothers.

Q No. Would he send one of his workers in? Would you cash a check if he-

A If he sent one, of course, I would. That is why I wanted to maybe— That's why I wanted to see my endorsement and maybe, if he did, I sure would. Like I said, with the food. You all asked me why did I give him this and this? Well, it's—

Q It's his workers and you know them and you have never had one questioned that you cashed for him?

A No, sir.

22

23

24

25

Q Did you do this very often, cash checks for the

Yzaguirre - Kaster Judge or his workers that the Judge signed? 1 2 Mostly for the Judge. 3 0 He would come by and cash a check? Like I say, for a trip or something like that. 4 MR. KASTER: I would like for her to look at Exhibit 43. 6 7 (The witness was furnished Carrillo 43.) 8 Q Do you have that exhibit? Is that 43? Down at the bottom righthand side of the page, it says 9 10 August the 6th, 1973, and it says, "List." 11 Α Yes. 12 Q Do you see that? 13 A Yes. 14 Q And there are some names and I think the total 15 comes up to \$245? Am I looking at the same one you are? 16 This one has \$210. I don't know. Α I'll turn to that one then. Q 18 A Oh, you're right. 19 That is one for \$245 and it says, "List"? Q 20 Yes, sir. 21 What is this for? What do you do with that? Q 22 This copy or what? A 23 What did you do? What does this represent where 0 24 it says "List," somebody's name and an amount, \$35, Mr. 25 Patricio Garza, it looks like.

1	A If you look at the top, that was brought by Mr.
2	Ramiro Carrillo. Remember, I used to tell you- I didn t
3	have nothing to tell you.
á	Q They would bring you the list up at the top
5	here?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q And then you would write this list?
8	` A Yes. That is what I was
9	Q I want to get this straight. This says, August
10	the 6th. It says Duval County Welfare Department,
11	August the 6th, 1973.
12	A Yes.
13	Q There are some names on that?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q They brought that to your store and you typed
16	out
17	A They told me to give them a few little things.
18	Q You made this ticket out on August the 6th
19	off of this list up here?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q Do you know if these people are real people? Do
22	you know any of these people?
23	A Well, I have been- I mean, I was brought a list
24	I don't know if it was yesterday or the day before yester-
25	day, or I don't remember. But I was brought a list by a

Texas Ranger. Of course, what he brought me, was just 1 initials. You know, initials and last names. Like I 2 told him, an initial can mean a lot of things, you ţ know. But with mames like this, I might know, you know---with ú the real name, I might, you know, know them. Q Well, I am asking you, this list on August the 6 6th, it says, "list." Do you know any of these people? 7 Yes, sir. 8 Do you know all of them? Q g Well, I know some. Some. Maybe if I would see 10 them, because actually, I was not born and reared there, 11 but I mean I know some, a lot of them. Yes. 12 The other page, there was another list there, Q 13 and one totals 275 and the other totals 210. Is that 14 made off of the same list that is on those pages, from 15 the Duval County Welfare Department? 16 Do you mean, if it is the same as this? A 17 Yes. Q 18 Yes. 19 Now, the one that is August the 10th. Do Q 20 you know those people? 21 A August the 10th. 22 Q The one that you wrote and it says, "List." 23 Let me read mine, because I think I understand 24 mine a little better. 25

	izaguirre - Kaster 12-134
1	Q Do you see the ticket labeled August the 10th?
2	A Yes. But I am saying where I write, because
3	over here, I didn't understand it. I wrote here to the
4	best I could understand here. Yes. I know. For example,
5	there is one with an initial.
6	Q Yes. V. Camino? I don't know what it is.
7	A V. Carrillo.
8	Q Carrillo?
9	A Yes, sir. With initials, it is hard, but with
10	names, if I know them.
11	Q I will refer you to a list dated of August the
12	20th and there are some with initials on there.
13	A Like I said, with initials, paid with initials—
14	Q Well, I am asking you, do you know any of those
15	people?
16	A Like I said, I know about Mariato Ruiz, but
17	I don't know if that's the one they are talking about. I
18	know & Mariato Ruiz.
19	Q You don't know all the people on that list?
20	A Let me see. I know Chris Cantu. I mean, not
21	personally, but I know Chris Cantu, Abel Garza, I think-
22	Abel Ruiz, I know.
23	Q To the best of your knowledge, did these people
24	come in and pick up groceries for that amount?

Some of them did. Some didn't.

25

1	Q Some of the people on this list did not?
2	A like I told you before.
3	Q That's why I am asking you now, because we have
4	an exhibit here.
5	A Yes.
6	Q Some of the people on these lists did not come
7	in and pick up groceries?
8	A Yes. That's right. That is what I testified
9	before.
10	Q So that if you total the three lists of August
11	the 6th, August the 10th and August the 20th, which
12	apparently this does on the front page of the Exhibit that
13	I have—and I don't know if it's the same— It came out to
14	a total of \$730 for that month.
15	A That's right.
16	Q You are telling me now that not all of the
17	people on the list pick up groceries?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Then there is a warrant here where the County
20	paid you \$730 for that grocery bill?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q I think you testified earlier now that part of
23	that \$730 represented \$300 for the Judge's food and some
24	for Ramiro's food and the other for the people who actuall
25	came and bought the food?
	A That's right, sir.

П

1	Q I think you testified earlier that some of the
2	Judge's workers came by and picked up food to take out
3	to the ranch or wherever they took it?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did Patricio Garza ever pick up food?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q How long has he been picking up food for the
8	Judge?
9	A As far as I remember, I mean-
10	Q A long time?
11	A To me. Yes. A long time means a year or-
12	Q Several years?
13	A Probably. Yes.
14	Q Did he come just on weekends, or did he come
15	during the week?
16	A He came during the week.
17	Q During the week?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q What are the hours your store is open?
20	A 6:30 or 15 to 7:00 until about 6:30 or 7:00.
21	Q At night?
22	A Yes, And Saturdays.
23	Q And Saturdays. And you are closed Sunday?
24	A We used to open on Sundays, but we are closed
25	now, for quite a while.

- Q But as far as you remember, Patricio Garza picked up food during the week, at different times during the day, or did he always come after work?
 - A Mostly in the morning.
 - Q Mostly in the morning.
 - A In the mornings.
- Q Again, you tell us, you have cashed a lot of checks for the Judge for "cash."
 - A That's right.

MR. KASTER: I believe that's all I have.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Laney?

Ms. Thompson? Is Ms. Weddington here? Mr. Ghavez?

MR. CHAVEZ: No questions.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there any further questions of this witness?

Mr. Maloney?

BY MR. MALONEY

Q Mrs. Yzaguirre, how long have you lived in Benavides?

A We married in '54 and we moved back to Alice,
I don't know, six or seven months later, and then we came
back— Well, my son was born in— I have to think back—
yes, because— '56. I think he was born in '58. And I
think he was a year old when we moved back to Benavides.

I think he was a year old, so that must have been about 1 2 '59. I think. 3 At any rate, you have been in Benavides about 4 15 years? 4 A Yes. My son is 16, something like that. How long have you operated the Cash Store, as 6 Q far as your participation in the Cash Store? 7 What you are telling me, when the store- My 8 9 husband's name has been-10 Well, I understand he got it from his father? 0 ΙI Yes. Yes. 12 How long have you actually worked in the store Q 13 on & continuous basis. 14 Well, I have been helping at the store, you see, and I am not there, you know. I go and come. All 15 the errands and all of that, I go and come. Then I was 16 17 sick and all of that. 18 How long have you actually been doing that? 19 A Well, since, like I say, '59, and then in 20 '70. I was operated, so I was out for quite a while, you 21 know. 22 Then when I was well, you know-of course, you 23 know. I am still under the doctor's care, but I still 24 help. 25 Let me ask you this: How far is the Cash Store Q

Yzaguirre - Maloney from where Mr. Oscar Carrillo lives? 1 2 It's the main highway, 359. You cross the 3 tracks and then, you know, it's right there. 4 It's almost directly across from your store. Isn't that correct? 6 Yes. Uh huh. 7 There are some buildings next door to his house, 8 are there not? 9 A Yes. 10 Q In fact, an old place that used to be the 11 bank is right there on the corner? 12 Yes. 13 Q Which would be almost directly across from 14 your store? 15 Yes, sir. A 16 Q Have you ever known of the Zertuche General 17 Store, or a General Store being operated on those 18 premises? 19 No, sir. I mean, I don't know them. If there 20 were, I don't know. 21 Would you, do you think, operating the store Q 22 across the street that you would know? 23 Well. I mean, I probably would. Yes. 24 If it was being operated on any type of Q

25

continuous basis?

1	A I guess I would. Yes.
2	MR. MALONEY: Thank you.
3	A Yes, sir.
4	CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there other questions?
5	Mr. Canales?
6	BY MR. CANALES
7	Q Mrs. Yzaguirre, do you know Rogelio Sanchez?
8	A I know who he is, but I would have, you know-
9	Q Has he ever traded at your store?
10	A Trade? Rogelio? Rogelio Sanchez? He might
11	come in and buy, you know, a drink or something, but what
12	I mean is, we don't carry his account, if that is what
13	you mean.
14	Q He doesn't buy any groceries from you?
15	A No.
16	Q Now, Patricio Garza does come in and buy
17	groceries from you?
18	A He buys personal groceries. His wife does.
19	Q And he picked up groceries for the Judge?
20	A That's right.
21	Q You have been working there off and on since
22	1969? 1959?
23	A Yes.
24	Q I know you said you couldn't remember too well,
25	Luc it is now imposent Could you recall approximately

1	what year the first time he came in to pick up groceries
2	for the Judge?
3	A That, I couldn't tell you, sir.
4	MR. CANALES: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Chavez?
6	BY MR. CHAVEZ
7	Q Ma'am, do you know Elvira Rodriguez?
8	A Yes. I see her.
9	Q You know who she is?
10	A Yes.
11	Q You saw her? You were here yesterday when
12	she testified?
13	A Yes. I was here yesterday.
14	Q Do you remember her testifying that she worked
15	part time over with Hector Zertuche at his store?
16	A Yes. I heard that testimony.
17	Q Did you know that? Did you ever see her working
18	there?
19	A No. I mean, I never did.
20	Q You never did? Do you know Hector Zertuche?
21	A To my knowledge, I didn't.
22	Q It's possible that she did and you don't re-
23	member?
24	A It's possible she did, Yes. She probably did.
25	Q Did you know her back in '64, '65, '66?
	11

ı

2

4

4

5

6

н

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

A I might have. Yes. And you don't know if she worked with Mr. Q Zertuche in the store? A She probably did. I mean, I don't know. Do you know Hector Zertuche? Q I know Hector and Arturo. I know both of them. A Do you know if they ever operated a store? Q No. sir. I don't know. Then is it possible that they did operate a Q store and you didn't know about it? It could be possible. Yes. You are not telling us that they did not Q operate a store? A It could be possible. Yes. No. no. On these names that you were mentioning a Q while ago, like on that Margarito Ruiz? A Ruiz. You are not telling us, are you, that when you got a list and there were last names and initials that these people did not exist? No. I did not tell you that. You are saying that because of the initial-Q That's the only reason I am telling you, because the initial can mean a lot of names.

Well, do you know anybody else with a name Ruiz

that has a first name that begins with an "M"? 1 2 Right now, I don't. 3 So it's possible that all these people that Q just had initials would be people that you probably 4 know, but because of just the intial, you are not sure if it's them? 6 Yes. That's the only reason, because an initial means a lot of things. 8 But the last names would not be strangers to 9 Q 10 you, would they? 11 No. 12 Q The cashing of these checks by Judge Carrillo, 13 this was not anything unusual, was it? 14 No, to anybody. No, no, no. It's very, very A 15 common. 16 Q Isn't there on occasion when people might come and buy something and make the check for a larger amount? 17 18 A Yes. sir. 19 This is not unusual, is it? Q 20 À No. 21 Even in grocery stores? Q 22 No, but since I came here, my policy has 23 changed a lot. (Laughter.) 24 Before-Q 25 Now, the checks, in this corner we put-and I A

am telling you the truth now. People would come buy, say two dollars and make the check for \$25, Cash Store. And if you would see it, you would say, "Well, he bought \$25.00," which I mean, maybe you're right about that. But now, it is, like I said, my policy has changed.

Q I am sure a lot of policies have changed down there now.

A I mean, to me, I don't care about the rest, but to me.

Q What we are concerned with is what happened back there.

A Yes.

Q It was not unusual for somebody to some in and-

A You see now my policy has changed. If it's \$25, if they buy one dollar, you had better be sure it's going to be here, a dollar, right here. I'm sorry, it never entered my mind, it never did. It enters my mind now, but it's too late.

Q But back then, at that time, it was nothing unusual?

A I mean, they are all my friends.

Q Let me ask you my question?

A Yes, sir.

Q Back then, it was not unusual for somebody to come in and buy, like say, twelve dollars worth of groceries

1	and make a check for \$25?
2	A No.
3	Q You didn't think anything was wrong, because
4	they did that, did you?
5	A No. They could probably buy \$12 and make it
6	for \$300. It was true.
7	Q Nothing unusual. If you knew the people, you
8	would go ahead and cash the check?
9	A If I knew the people and even sometimes they
10	come back-bad checks.
11	Q But with Judge Carrillo, you never did have
12	any problem?
13	A No, sir.
14	Q He was a good customer of yours?
15	A He was.
16	MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Hendricks has a
18	question.
19	BY MR. HENDRICKS
20	Q Mrs. Yzaguirre, you say you didn't notice any
21	business being conducted at the Zertuche General Store
22	or the building it was supposed to have been located in?
23	A That's right. I didn't see it. There probably
24	was, but—
75	O When you are saying they might have conducted

24

25

a little business, and you not noticed it? Is that correct?

- A Sir, I didn't hear you?
- Q They might have had a small business, and you not noticed it?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Let me ask you this: Could they have possibly in one account alone to Duval County, during the years 1970 and '71, sold to Duval County, \$55,630.52 worth of merchandise and you not noticed any business over there, being right across the street from it?
 - A I don't know about that, sir.
- Q Don't you think you would have noticed some business there, if they did just that much with one account alone, over \$55,000?
 - A If it was my store, yes. My store.

MR. HENDRICKS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Meloney?

BY MR. MALONEY

- Q About what is the population of Benavides?
 About how many people?
- A Well, the last time I saw that sign, of course, it probably has decreased. I think it was 3,000 and something, I think. Maybe it's a little more or less, but that everage, about 3,000.

toys, you know,

ı	Q You had mentioned that Patricio Garza's wife
2	shopped-does her grocery shopping with you. Is that
3	correct?
4	A Yes, it is.
5	Q When she buys her groceries, does she pay cash
6	or give you a check, or is it charged on the Judge's
7	account?
8	A She pays with a check.
9	Q Does she buy enough groceries them to, in your
10	estimation, to support a family? She does not charge it
11	to the Judge?
12	A No, sir. Not her personal ones.
13	MR. MALONEY: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN HALE: Any further questions?
15	Ms. Thompson?
16	BY MS. THOMPSON
17	Q Mrs. Yzaguirre, do you sell anything in your
18	store besides groceries?
19	A We sell tubs and buckets and cattle feed and
20	groceries.
21	Q If someone wanted to buy some linens, towels
22	and sheets and things, where would they buy them in
23	Benavides?
24	A At the Cuero Store or at the Noel Mundo.
25	Q If they wanted to buy children's toys, you know

20

21

22

23

24

25

like at Christmas time: Where would they buy them, in Benavides?

A I have seen them at the De Leon's Drugstore.

That's where I have seen them.

- Q But you wouldn't know where people bought these items like sheets and towels and things from the Zertuche Store? I mean, you would probably have some knowledge about them having traded over there, if they bought stoves and refrigerators?
 - A I couldn't tell you that.
- Q If they wanted to buy and stove and refrigerator, say in 1970 or '71, where would they buy them?
 - A If they had that, they would buy it there.
 - Q What store sold it?
 - A Ma'am?
- Q What store would they go to, to buy a stove or a refrigerator or a washing machine?

A I think at that time, when I came to Benavides, at that time in '54 or '55, at that time, I think there was the Vaello Store there that was selling those things.

Most people, just like groceries and appliances, even if we have them in Benavides, they go to Alice or Corpus or somewhere else to buy it.

Q You rum sales on things in your store, don't you?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

Ma'am? Α

Do you have sales on things in your store, don't you? You know, a sale like "Flour for five pounds for so much," you know?

Yes. A

And I imagine other stores run sales on things, 0 don't they?

Yes.

Like drugstores and things like that. Now, if Q the Zertuche Store had been in existence and they had run sales on items like toys and linens and things like that, you probably would have known about it, wouldn't you?

I probably would. Yes.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That's all I have.

> CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there further questions? MR. MITCHELL: May I ask a question or two? CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes. Mr. Mitchell?

BY MR. MITCHELL

In line with the questions put to you by Mr. Q Chavez, Mrs. Yzaguirre, is it also usual and customary, where you have a customer who maintains an open account, such as apparently Judge Carrillo's account was from 1970 to 1974, that he would come in and pay on that account and I believe your testimony was that the balance

3

5 6

8

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

A If there was a balance, or if he didn't pay that balance, you know, the balance would be carried forward to another month.

Q And that periodically, he would close that balance out as indicated by some of the checks that were mentioned by members of the Committee in questioning you. And you noted that in those checks, like say \$736.16, when that balance was closed out, the odd cents would be taken care of? That would be possible, too?

A Yes, sir.

would be carried forward.

Q And you mentioned in answer to one of the questions that you were with the Texas Ranger yesterday. I want you to tell us, what were the circumstances you were with the Rangers and who was present?

A Well, the Texas Ranger was Mr. Martinez.

Q All right.

A And there was another gentleman. He was introduced to me, but I don't know his name. I mean, there was another gentleman with him.

Q Were you shown a list of people?

A He showed me a list of people. He had some photostatic copies of, you know, just like I have been shown here, you know.

Q Yes.

ì

2

3

4 5

6

8 9

10

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

Like I said, he had a list with initials, so I A told him, "Well, those initials mean-" you know and then he had a lot of papers, so I came to one signed by Mr. Cleofas Gonzalez.

Mr. Cleofas Gonzalez certainly is real. Q He is sitting back there in the courtroom.

Of course, I know him. Of course.

I want to know if there were any-if you were promised anything or were persuaded or led or threatened in connection with your testimony about whether those people that Mr. Kaster asked you about were real or not real and those that were mentioned by Mr. Chavez in his questions?

No. sir.

MR. MITCHELL: That's all. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there further questions?

Mrs. Yzaguirre, you are under subpoena to the Committee. The same as we did before, the Chair will release you at this time. You may go on about your business with the understanding that you are still under subpoens to the Committee. And if we need you any further we will let you know.

Α Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: You are, of course, entitled to claim reimbursement for your expenses in coming up here,

as you did before.

A Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you for your

appearance.

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(The witness, Mrs. Lauro Yzaguirre, was excused.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: You are Mr. Oscar D.

Kirkland?

THE WITNESS: That's right.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kirkland, do you understand and speak English thoroughly?

THE WITNESS: Fairly well.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Do you think you need an interpreter?

THE WITNESS: I don't think so.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, if you do, let the

Chair know. Some of these witnesses have had difficulty.

It's my duty as Chairman to advise you of your rights with reference to your testimony. You will be sworn to tell the truth and your failure to do so could subject you to a prosecution for perjury.

After you have completed your statement, members of the Committee may ask questions concerning your testimony. You must answer these questions truthfully

and your refusal to do so could subject you to punish-

ment for contempt.

You can refuse to answer questions only on the ground that such answers might incriminate you or tend

to incriminate you in some way.

You are privileged to have an attorney of your selection sit with and advise you as to your answers, if you desire.

The Chair will attempt to protect your rights at all times.

> Do you understand the advice I have given you? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

> > CHAIRMAN HALE: Are you now ready to

testify?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Would you stand and raise your right hand?

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. OSCAR D. KIRKLAND

was called as a witness by the Committee and, being first duly sworn by the Chairman, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

- Q Would you state your name, please?
- Oscar D. Kirkland.

CHAIRMAH HALE: Do you get the same rate when your client is gone, as when he's here, Mr.

Mitchell?

23

24

25

1

`

4

6

8

10

! 1

12

14

15

16

18

20

21

23 24

25

MR. MITCHELL: Whether I am awake or asleep.

Mr. Hendricks will jump on that, I know. He always does.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Mitchell, the reason the Chair asked you, I understood from our earlier conversations that you might want to have some evidence you wanted to use this witness for. If so, I was going to make him available to you first, if you wanted him.

MR. MITCHELL: I think, only out of fairness to the witness, Mr. Chairman, I may state to him and
I am going to try to be accurate with the record.

Mr. Kirkland, there has previously been introduced into evidence, as a part of the hearing here, of the Hector Zertuche, or Zertuche General Store, tax returns, '65, '66, '67, '68, '69 and '70.

The O. P. Carrillo individual returns for '70, '71. '72.

The input data, that is, the checks into Zertuche Store have not been introduced, mainly because the
State of Texas, through the machine that was bought by
the House, won't produce them. But, I have made them
available to the Committee.

The checks, roughly marked along the lines that you have previously delimited as "ranch," "gas," "groceries," "building," et cetera, have likewise been, hopefully, correctly reproduced and they are also into evidence.

I think, with that background and that input, the Committee can, Mr. Chairman, I don't want to suggest a blueprint to follow by the Committee, but so that the witness is aware that the record speaks to those documents and I am sure that those are the relevant documents.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I take it then, Mr.

Mitchell, it is not your intention to use this witness
for the development of any affirmative evidence on your
part? Is that correct?

MR. MITCHELL: I have him here for the Committee. If you all have any questions you like-

CHAIRMAN HALE: I asked you the question, because it had been my impression earlier that you were going to put on a lot of documents and use Mr. Kirkland in proving those documents up. That's fine.

- Q Mr. Kirkland, I'll ask you, in view of Mr. Mitchell's comment to you, if you were the person who prepared the tax returns to which he made reference?
 - A Yes, sir. All of them.
- Q On the Hector Zertuche tax returns for 1965 and '66?
 - A Yes, sir.

4

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

34

25

- Q And Arturo Zertuche tax returns for '67, '68, '69 and '70?
 - A That's right.

- 5	12-157
1	Q And did you likewise prepare the tax returns
2	for Judge O. P. Carrillo for 1971, '72, '73-
3	CHAIRMAN HALE: Do we have the '74 in
4	evidence?
5	Q — '73 returns?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN HALE: We have the '72 and the
8	73.
9	Then you put the '71 in yesterday, didn't you,
10	which has a big number.
11	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. Mr. Donaldson had
2	requested it, Mr. Chairman, and I brought it back. It is
3	not in consecutive order with the other two, but that
4	is in there, too.
15	CHAIRMAN HALE: Could I have Carrillo 2
6	and Carrillo 3, exhibits, for the witness to look at?
17	(The exhibits were furnished to the witness.)
8	
9	
20	
1	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- Now, Mr. Kirkland, do you have in front of you Q 1 Exhibits labeled CAR-2-2 A Yes, sir. 4 -which are photocopies of the tax returns of Q 4 Hector Zertuche for '65 and '66? 5 Α Yes, sir. 6 Is that correct? Q Α Yes, sir. 8 Did you prepare those returns? Q 0 Yes. sir. Α 10 Where did you get the information which you Q 11 used to fill out those returns? 12 From the sheet of yellow paper brought to me 13 with a list of income and expense. 14 By Hector Zertuche? 15 I don't remember for sure. They would usually 16 come in, several of them would come in at one time, and 17 probably Hector brought me those. 18 19 Would you turn through Number 2, Carrillo 2. and the first page is the front page of Form 1040, and 20 then there is the page 2 of Form 1040, and then next 21 22 is Schedule C.
 - A All right.

24

25

Q I direct your attention to Schedule C. According to that return, this was a profit or loss from a

business, a retail business, and under the item there, i "Business name," it just says "General Store." Is that 2 correct? 4 That's right. i. Where was that store located, if you know? Q 5 I was told that they operated in Benavides. Α 6 Where, in Benavides? Q I didn't know. They gave me a box number. 8 You show in line one there, "Gross receipts," Q 9 thirteen thousand-and it looks to be \$531, although I'm 10 not sure it that is a five. Is that right? 11 I believe it's an eight. A 12 Q Eight? 13 Α Yes. 14 \$13,831? Q 15 Yes, sir. I'm pretty sure that's right. 16 take the five below off of there and get your three. 17 These things are kind of-18 All right. Then they show "Salaries and 19 wages" on line 15 of how much? 20 A \$857. 21 Do you know who that was paid to? 22 Q A No, sir, I don't. 23 Q On line 17 it shows "Legal and professional 24

fees, \$1,200." Do you know who that was paid to?

25

Α No, sir.

3 3

On line 13, there is no entry. What would Q

4 5

rent paid.

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

that indicate to you?

Well, that would indicate that there was no

They did not pay any rent on any property Q anywhere, which they charged as a business expense?

Quite often they would put these under the wrong classifications. At one time they brought me in one and it said "Commissions - \$4,000." And I made up his tax return "Commissions - \$4,000," and he signed it and sent it in. Later I prepared a tax return for O. P. Carrillo and that was rent income, rent on the store paid to O. P. Carrillo. And it was put under "Commissions," but it should have been store rent.

- Well, that's on another return. 0
- Α Yes, sir.
- I'm going to ask you about that \$4,000 item in just a minute.

According to this return, this store lost money during 1965.

- A Yes, sir.
- What was the loss? Q
- \$2,544.60. Α
- Over \$2,500 loss on the operation of the Q

store. Is that right?

<

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Yes, sir.

Now, if you will turn to the 1966 tax return, I would like to also direct your attention to Schedule "C" on that return. Is that the same person and the same business on that Schedule "C" as it was on the 1965 return?

A Yes, sir.

Q And it shows "Gross receipts" of how much for that year?

A \$11,523.08.

Q What does it show on line 13 pertaining to rent on business property?

A \$600.

Q So that year they paid \$600 in rent somewhere?

A Yes, sir.

Q Or at least claimed they did.

A Yes, sir.

Q It shows an item of \$225 for depreciation.

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you know what that was for?

A A 1961 Scout.

Q What is a 1961 Scout?

A I believe it is some kind of a little International— I'm not real sure.

- Kirkland Hale A motor vehicle? Q 1 Α Yes, sir. 2 A motor vehicle of some kind? Q 3 Α Yes, sir. 4 All right. Thank you. Q 5 Now, if you will look at the next exhibit, 6 "Carrillo Exhibit 3." This exhibit purports to labeled 7 be photocopies of the tax returns of Arturo Zertuche 8 for '67, '68, '69 and '70. I would direct your attention 9 to Schedule "C" of the 1967 tax return. What was this 10 business that is the information for which you filled 11 out this Schedule "C"? 12 It was substantially the same buxiness, except Α 13 it was in the name of Arturo Zertuche. 14 Do you know why the name is different in '67 15 from what it was in 1966? 16 It was my understanding that Hector had 17 finished school and left and gone to work, and they 18 turned it over to Arturo. 19 So, it was your information that in 1966 and Q 20 prior years Hector Zertuche operated this store, and in 21 1967 and subsequent years Arturo operated the store? 22 A Yes, sir. 23 Was there a hurricane down there about that
 - time?

Q

24 25

I'm pretty sure there was. Α ı In 1967? 2 Q Α Yes, sir. 3 In September, 1967? 4 Q A Yes, sir. 5 On or about September 7, 1967. Does that Q 6 refresh your recollection? 7 Well, I think that is pretty close. 8 All right. Q 9 Now, what was the gross receipts shown by 10 Arturo Zertuche in 1967 on this business? 11 It was \$56,552.40. 12 That was quite a substantial increase, wasn't 13 Q it? 14 15 Α Yes, sir, it was. Do you know why such a big increase in the 16 Q business? 17 18 Α No, sir. 19 When Arturo took it over? Q 20 No, sir, I don't. A 21 I direct your attention to line 13 on Schedule Q 22 "C". What is the entry on line 13? 23 Α "Rent on business property - \$5,491.50. 24 Over \$5,000 rent? Q 25 Yes, sir. Α

Compared to \$600 in 1966 and zero in 1965. Q 1 Right. A 2 Is that right? Q 3 Yes. A 4 And then in Schedule "C-1," there is an item, Q 5 line 25, "Contract Labor, \$1,200." Do you see that at 6 the bottom of Schedule "C"? 7 Yes, sir. 8 In Schedule "C-."? Do you know what that Q 9 \$1,200 is for? 10 No, sir, I don't. 11 Do you know to whom the \$5,491 in rent was Q 12 paid? 13 A No. sir. 14 Would you turn to the next year, 1968, also to Q 15 Schedule "C". Would this be the same store that we have 16 been talking about? 17 A Yes, sir. 18 I'll ask you if you notice anything different 19 on that one as to the product which it mentions. Does 20 it still say "Food store"? 21 "Farm store." Α 22 Farm store? Q 23 A Yes, sir. 24 I couldn't read that. Is that the way we have Q 25

shown it on every one of these returns? ı I believe so. It was the same in '67. 2 The Farm store. Q What were the gross receipts in 1968? à \$72,441.79. Α 5 That's a big increase even over '67, isn't it? Q b Yes, sir. Would you say this store is really prospering Q 8 during these years? 9 It seemed to be. 10 Now, I direct your attention to Item 13, 11 "Rent on business property." How much rent does it show 12 there? 13 A \$1,600. 14 Do you know what that's for? Q 15 A No. sir. 16 Do you know who it was paid to? Q 17 A No, sir. 18 And then in Schedule "C-1" at the bottom of 19 that page it shows an entry there of "Gasoline and oil," 20 or "Gas and oil,"- I can hardly read the writing and my 21 22 photocopy is so poor-"\$1,501.41." Do you know what this farm store would be doing that it would use that much gas 23

> Α It was my understanding that along about that

and oil?

24 25

4

5

7 8

9

10

12

13

15 16

17

18

20

21

23

25

time they were renting out a bunch of equipment that they were—they were renting equipment and in return sub-renting it, but they were putting the rental costs, it seems, under "cost of merchandise purchased" here. It ran the gross up, and I was under the impression that a lot of this gasoline and oil, that they did some work out of there with the equipment themselves.

Q Fine. Would you turn to the 1969 return, also Schedule "C". I believe the gross receipts have now increased to \$81,000, haven't they?

A Yes, sir.

Q And here, what do they show for "Rent on business property" on this '69 return?

A \$12,981.90, I believe it is.

Q What business property would they be renting in Benavides that they would be paying more than \$1,000 a month for?

A Well, at that time, I think they reclassified their rent on this equipment that they were sub-renting and put it under a rent classification.

Q Do you show that somewhere on this return, elsewhere on this return?

A No, sir. It shows up in the gross receipts up here. But you will notice, the gross profit is way higher, because the rent was reclassified, pulled out of

"Merchandise purchased" and put down under "Rent on Under item 15, "Salaries and wages," it shows It looks like \$1,795.50. Seventeen ninety-five-\$1,795. Do you know to whom that was paid? Would you turn to the 1970 return? I direct your attention to Schedule "C" of that return. This is the same General Store that we have been talking about, And the gross for 1970 has dropped a little. about \$10,000, down to \$72,000 plus. Is that right? Now, I direct your attention to line 13 on "Rental, \$18,000," or a little more? Do you know what would cause the rent to be so I remember, they brought in a sheet on that, and it showed about two columns of figures: \$450, \$750,

which looked to me like rental on tractors and heavy

4 5

6 7

8 0

10

12 13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

equipment. And they had a tape on it, and that was the total.

Line 18 shows "Commissions - \$4,000." Is that the \$4,000 you mentioned earlier in your testimony?

Yes, sir. That was rent on the store.

And that was \$4,000 that was paid to Judge Q Carrillo?

A Yes, sir.

For rent, rather than commissions? Q

Yes, sir.

Did you prepare Judge Carrillo's tax return Q for the year 1970?

Yes, sir.

We do not have a photocopy of it. Would that \$4,000 in commissions that is shown on here, would you have reported that as income on Judge Carrillo's 1970 income tax return?

Yes, sir. On Schedule "E", "Rent income."

So you found out that this was not commissions but was rent prior to the time that the returns were filed?

After the Zertuche return was filed, but prior to the time that the Judge's return was filed.

Q Did you file a correction return on the Zertuche return?

24

25

A No, sir.

Q You just let it go. But you did correct it on the Judge's return?

A That's right.

Q And showed it as rental income to him for 1970?

A Yes, sir.

Q What was that rental income for?

A They told me it was for a store building in Renavides.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I'm sure that other members of the Committee are going to ask you questions about these exhibits. Could we have Exhibits Carrillo 71, Carrillo 7 and Carrillo 8.

Q Now, I will direct your attention to Carrillo 71, which is the 1971 tax return for O. P. Carrillo. Did you prepare that return?

A Yes, sir.

Q And on the front page of Form 1040 it shows on line 12 \$22,607, which I presume there is a W-2 to support. That was his salary as Judge, I presume, wasn't it?

A I don't remember what year, whether that was his salary as D.A. or Judge. Probably as Judge.

Q I believe the record reflects that he became

ı 2

4

4

6

7

5

8

9 10

12 13

11

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Judge in January, 1971, Mr. Kirkland. So that income for the year 1971 from the State would have been as a Judge.

Yes.

Now, on line 15, "income other than wages, Q dividends and interest," you show a loss of \$15,362.

Α Yes, sir.

How did we arrive at such a big loss? I may be getting a little free accounting advice here.

He had a \$1,341 loss from his business, as he still had a bunch of equipment and all left over from his legal practice that we were depreciating. He showed \$7.315.68 income from pensions, annuities, rents and royalties. Most of that was income from the General Store, from the Farm and Ranch Store in Benavides, and rent property, and some property that was in trust from his father. And he had a ranch loss of \$21,786.97.

Then on down under "other income" he had director's fees of \$450. And the net figure was the \$15,000 and something loss.

All right. I direct your attention to Schedule 0 "E", part 3. He shows an income there from "O. P. Carrillo, Trustee," of \$6,138.99.

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you know what is the source of that income?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A That is a trust that was given to him and his brothers and sisters by his father a long time ago: ranch land, oil income. That is his proportionate part of the income from that property given to him by his father.

- Q Does any other income go into that trust?
- A No, sir.
- Q Did any income from the Farm and Ranch Store go into that trust?
 - A Not that I know of.
- Q Did any income from the Zertuche General Store go into that trust?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Then on that same "Part 3," the next entry on there appears to be a partnership, O. P. and Ramiro D. Carrillo. What is the nature of that business?
 - A That is the Farm and Ranch Store.
 - Q They show a loss that year of \$1,332.
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Is that right?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q How do you account for the loss?
- A Well, I don't have the partnership return here. I would have to look at it. It is just more expense than there was income. They had a lot of

23

24

25

depreciable property at that time and a lot of depreciation is one thing that threw it into a loss.

- Q Would you look at Form 4562, which is next to the last page in that exhibit?
 - A All right.
- Q —which is a list of numerous items on which Judge Carrillo was claiming depreciation.
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Were those his individual items, or are those part of the partnership with Ramiro Carrillo?
 - A These are all his.
- Q In addition to that partnership claimed depreciation on a lot of equipment, too. Is that right?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q And this is probably not pertinent to this inquiry, but I would like to ask you. I noticed in his law practice here, on Schedule "C", you wrote off depreciation on two automobiles: one, a 1969 Cadillac, and one a 1971 Cadillac.
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Is that correct?
- A Yes, sir. But look over to the far right, and you will see I took one month's depreciation on the 1969 Cadillac and he traded it. And I took eleven months on the '71.

Kirkland - Hale I see. You were actually only claiming Q 1 depreciation on one automobile. 2 One at a time. 3 One at a time. Yes. Well, my question to 0 4 you was going to be if you got by claiming two, I wanted 5 to find out how you did it. 6 Some people do. A Now, if you would look at Carrillo Number 7, 8 Exhibit Number 7, Carrillo Number 7, which is the 1972 9 tax return. Did you prepare this return for Judge 10 Carrillo? 11 Α Yes, sir. 12 I direct your attention to the third page of 13 that, which is photocopies of wage and tax statements 14 for 1972. 15 A Yes, sir. 16 The second one there is Duval County. Well, 0 17 we'll take the first one. The first one was from Robert 18 W. Calvert for \$22,000 plus. That would be his salary as 19 Judge, I presume. 20 Yes, sir. 21

The second one is Duval County, \$1,200. Q does that represent?

22

23

24

25

I don't know. It was a W-2 and that was-MR. CHAVEZ: Supplement.

ı

? 3

4 5

7 8

6

10

11 12

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

Do you know whether or not he receives com-0 pensation as a member of the Juvenile Board in that District or not?

No, sir. I don't. That may be what this is. I don't know.

Now, I direct your attention to Schedule "C" Q of that return, which shows receipts from the practice of law of \$5,884. Is that correct?

I believe it is \$5,887.

\$87? Q

Yes, sir. It shows up here. A

I can hardly read these figures. Q

Do you know the nature of the work that was done by Judge Carrillo for that \$5,800?

No, sir. What that is, through the years with Judge Carrillo and a lot of other clients, they don't keep their records like they should. I mean, they'll make deposit slips and not put names on them; write checks and not put what they're for. And when I come across deposits of any size and they can't account for them, I make them pay tax on it. And there were some unidentified deposits in here that I couldn't determine where the money came from, and nobody knew. So I didn't know what else to do with it so I just put it down as business income and had him pay tax on it. I would rather they would over-pay their tax if they can't account for it.

Q All right. Now I direct your attention to Schedule "E" of that return, Part 3. Again, it shows income from a trust, O. P. Carrillo, Trustee, in the amount of \$6,800 plus.

A Yes, sir.

ı

?

ţ

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

29

21

22

23

24 25 Q Is that the family trust that you mentioned a minute ago?

A Yes, sir,

Q And no money went into that trust, to your knowledge, from either the Farm and Ranch Store or the Zertuche General Store?

A No, sir.

Q Or any derivatives from those two business enterprises?

A No, sir.

Q Did any monies go into those trusts from any of the properties that they had inherited from their ancestors?

A Not that I know of.

Q The next one shows income from the partnership with Ramiro of \$7,500 plus.

A Yes, sir.

Q Is that the income from the Farm and Ranch

Store?

1

2

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Yes, sir.
- Q So they made a profit that year?
- A That's right.
- Q Now, I direct your attention to Schedule "G".

 That is a form that is used, is it not, in order to

 average out an abnormal year.
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q So that the tax payer can get a break by leveling out his income over a five-year period.
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Called "income averaging."
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q My question to you on that: this shows as a predicate for income averaging in 1972 his taxable income for the preceding four years. 1970 shows zero. How do you account for zero income in 1970?
 - A His losses exceeded his income.
 - Q On the return which you filed?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Even after you had included \$4,000 rent that was labeled as "commissions" on the Zertuche tax return?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q And he still came out with a loss for the

į	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	1
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	ļ
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

- 1	
ı	year—
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q —on his entire return?
4	A Possibly not a loss, but such little income
5	that his exemptions and deductions were more than his-
6	Q No taxable income for 1970?
7	A That's right.
8	Q And even for 1968, only \$5,200 taxable
9	income?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q In 1969, \$14,000 plus.
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q In 1971, \$5,500 plus.
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q And 1972, \$16,000 plus.
16	A Yes, sir.
17	CHAIRMAN HALE: Not much taxable income.
18	Q Now, Mr. Kirkland, if I could direct you to
19	Carrillo Exhibit 8, which is the 1973 tax return for
20	Judge Carrillo. Did you prepare that return?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q On page 3, which are the Wage and Tax State-
23	ments, we notice the same two that he had in the
24	preceding year: one from the State Comptroller for his
25	judicial salary, one from Duval County for \$1,200, and
	i 1

1 2 3

· ||

4

6

5

8

11

13 14

12

15

16

17

19 20

21

22

24 25 now we see a third one added on there from Starr County for \$1,200. Do you know what that would be for?

- A No, sir, I don't.
- Q You wouldn't know whether that was for Juvenile Board membership in Starr County?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Now, I direct your attention to Schedule "C".

 I believe it is just one or two pages past where you are.
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q That shows again as a profit or loss from profession of attorney at law, and he shows zero gross receipts for that year. Is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q So, in 1973, he had taken in no fees as an attorney during that year?
 - A That's right.
- Q Now, if you would look at Schedule "E", Part III. Again, "O. P. Carrillo, Trustee, \$6,190."
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q That's the same family trust that we previously discussed. Is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q No other money went into that, to your knowledge?

r		12 179
ı	A	No, sir.
2	Q	And then the partnership, O. P. and Ramiro
3	Carrillo,	shows a net profit of \$9.97 for the year?
4	A	\$9.94. That's his half of it.
5	Q	\$9.94 was half of the income of that partner-
6	ship?	
7	A	It came pretty close.
8	Q	That partnership wasn't doing very well, was
9	it?	
10	A	Not very.
11		CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kirkland, thank you
12	for answe	ring my questions.
13		Mr. Maloney?
14	BY VICE C	HAIRMAN MALONEY
15	Q	Mr. Kirkland, did you bring any records with
16	you?	
17	A	These?
18	Q	Any records at all.
19	A	I have some up here in the attorney's office.
20	Q	All right. Let me ask you on this trust, who
21	is the tr	ustee of this trust?
22	A	O. P. Carrillo.
23	Q	Who are the beneficiaries of the trust?
24	A	It would take a little while. You start out
25	there's a	Mrs. Emma Gavito in Brownsville, and Valentine

Gavito in Brownsville, and Mrs. Elna Stockwell, Benavides.

Q Elna Stockwell?

1

2

4

ŧ

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

30

21

22

23

24

.5

A Stockwell. And Julian Stock—no, not Julian Stockwell—James Stockwell in Benavides, and Ramiro Carrillo.

Q Would this be senior or junior?

A Senior. And Ramiro Carrillo, Jr. I don't know whether I can remember all the children's names or not. There are three of Ramiro's children: Ramiro Jr., and Cynthia Garcia and Arnoldo Carrillo. And then there is O. P. Carrillo, and then there are ten of Oscar Carrillo's children.

Q Do you file the returns for this trust?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do all the beneficiaries share equally in the trust, or is there different relationships?

A Well, the six children share equally in the trust, originally. Of course, O. P. Gets a full share; he's single. And the others, all except Oscar, have given the minerals to their children. Oscar has given everything to his children. So, we just have to work it out and come up with an answer.

Q And each of the beneficiaries who have onesixth interest, when they gave it out, that one-sixth interest would then have some division whichever way they

wanted it to go? 1 A Yes, sir. 2 But you're able to trace all of it back? Q 3 Yes, sir. Α 4 And O. P. Carrillo is the trustee? Q 5 Yes, sir. A 6 When was this trust established? Q 7 I don't know. I started doing their work in 8 '59, and it was in existence then, and I have just 9 followed it right on through. 10 D. C. Chapa—is he a trustee with regards Q 11 to this? 12 Α No. 13 Is there any other trust involved, other than Q 14 this one? 15 Not that I know of. 16 You do file a partnership return for the Farm Q 17 Ranch, do you not? and 18 19 Yes, sir. How about Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers? Q 20 21 you file returns for that? 22 No, sir. There is no return filed. the nomenclature. That is the way they have this 23 account set up for O. P. Carrillo, Trustee. It is the 24 same thing. What we do, we file a schedule showing the 25

12 182 different types of income, and how it is distributed, and 1 attach a copy of it to each income tax return. 2 You're saying that if a check were made out to Q 3 Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers, it would be, in effect, 4 made payable to this trust. Is that correct? 5 Yes, sir. I believe the bank account is A 6 carried "Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers." 7 Did you do Oscar Carrillo's tax return? Q 8 A Yes, sir. 9 Do you do a tax return for Duval Cedar Posts? Q 10 A No. sir. 11 Do you do a tax return for Benavides Cedar Q 12 Posts? 13 A No, sir. 14 Who in the Carrillo family do you do their Q 15 individual tax returns for? 16 All of those that are in the trust, and Oscar, 17 and D. C. Chapa. 18 Did you bring the partnership returns for Farm 19 and Ranch? 20 Yes, sir. 21 A Q What years? 22 A I think from all the way back down through '74. 23 Has the '74 return been filed? 24 0

25

A

Yes, sir.

Has a '74 return been filed for O. P. Carrillo? Q 1 As soon as he signs it. 3 Has a '74 return been filed for Ramiro 3 carrillo and Brothers, the trust? 4 Yes, sir. We have filed the information 5 return and put it on all the others. 6 Are there any other business entities that you 0 7 know of that are owned by the Carrillo family, other than 8 the ones we've mentioned here today? 9 Α No. sir. 10 What returns did you bring for Ramiro Carrillo 11 and Brothers? 12 We should have copies of them, oh, possibly A 13 back into the fifties. 14 Do you have those with you? Q 15 The attorney has them. Yes, sir. Α 16 What copies do you have of Mr. O. P. Carrillo's 0 17 tax returns? 18 Α I believe, from '57. 19 '57 forward? Q 20 21 Yes, sir. I don't have his for the last three 22 or four years. We don't have his return filed yet. It 23 is prepared, and the file and the return are in the 24 office waiting for him to come by and sign it. 25 So, you don't have the '74 return with you. Is Q

that what you're saying?

A No, sir.

2

3

á

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q I get the impression, from previous testimony, that basically what you do is take the figures that they bring you and put them in the right slot.
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Is that correct?
 - A That's right.
- Q You don't look at the checks themselves or any supporting documents whatsoever?
- A When something doesn't appear to be right or I have some questions, then I will call for whatever I need to look at and work it out.
 - Q Do you keep the books for any of these entities
 - A No, sir.
 - Q -or do you just file the tax returns?
 - A I just file the tax returns.
- Q How soon do you think that you could have these tax returns for us from 1968 forward on each of these entities: the Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers, Farm and Ranch, and O. P. Carrillo?
- A I would have to talk to their attorney about that. He has them all.
 - Q He is right there.
 - A Yes, sir.

ı

Q

2

4

6 7

9

10

8

11

13

15

16

17

man?

19

20 21

22

24

25

Why don't you chat with him.

MR. MITCHELL: I don't have the authority, Mr. Maloney, to deliver those persons who are not—for example, those youngsters that are beneficiaries of the trust, I don't think it would be appropriate for me to deliver those. And certainly Mr. Chapa, I would have to talk to his attorney. And they've got an IRS man sitting in this hearing and I don't think I'm going to deliver any more. I've delivered all I'm going to.

A I had an unfortunate experience. The IRS subpoensed all of my files and kept them two years, and I just got them back the other day. And I'm having to rebuild them all now.

MR. JOHNSON: Who is the IRS man?

MR. CHAVEZ: Ask him. Who is the IRS

MR. MITCHELL: I don't know. Maybe you could ask and find out.

MR. CHAVEZ: Is he here?

MR. MITCHELL: Sure he is.

Q Will you tell me, please, Mr. Kirkland, what returns the Internal Revenue Service has subpoensed from you?

A Let's see. They took O. P. Carrillo from

1957 through 1971.

1

2

ţ

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Through '71?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q All right, sir.

A And Ramiro—well, I have them back now. I just got them back the other day. Ramiro Carrillo from 1942 through 1971, and Oscar Carrillo for— I don't remember how many years—and Ramiro— O. P. and Ramiro, the Farm and Ranch Store from the beginning through '71, and Zertuche General Store from '65 through '70.

- Q You say the Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers?
- A No, sir. O. P. and Ramiro Carrillo, the Farm and Ranch Store.
- Q Did the IRS subpoena Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers?
 - A No, sir.
 - Q No?

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: The Committee will stand at ease for five minutes.

(Brief recess.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Committee will come to order.

Mr. Maloney, the Chair is informed, in my absence a moment ago, some question came up about some tax records.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: That's correct, I would move at this time that the Chair Mr. Chairman. issue a subpoena duces tecum to Mr. O. D. Kirkland to produce the partnership returns for the Farm and Ranch Store to cover the period of 1967 through 1974; to produce the trust returns for Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers for the period 1967 through 1974; to produce the tax returns for O. P. Carrillo from 1967 through 1970. BY CHAIRMAN HALE

Mr. Kirkland, let the Chair ask you, did you make the returns that Mr. Maloney mentioned in his motion? Did you prepare those returns?

Yes. sir. But I don't have them now.

- Do you have copies of them in your possession? Q
- Α No, sir.
- Did you retain copies of each of those in your Q files?
 - Yes, sir. Α
- -at the time they were prepared? Where are Q your copies of those records?
 - In Mr. Mitchell's office.
 - Q You delivered them to Mr. Mitchell?
 - Yes, sir. Α
- Was the same thing true on these that I Q questioned you about, the 1971 and the 1972 and the 1973

returns for Judge Carrillo?

A Yes, sir.

ì

2

ŧ

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Your copies of those you have turned over to Mr. Mitchell?
 - A Yes, sir.

Mr. Chairman?

- Q Yes, sir.
- A There is something I would like to clear up with Mr. Maloney on the IRS and my records. I said they just delivered them back to me. They returned Oscar Carrillo's records to me several months ago with a letter that they could find no evidence of fraud in any of his tax returns and they cleared him on it.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: That's fine.

Those are not the records in issue at this point, Mr.

Kirkland.

- A Yes, sir.
- Q You lost me on that. The Internal Revenue returned Judge Carrillo's returns for what years?
 - A 1957---
 - Q Oh, Oscar Carrillo.
 - A Oh, for about fifteen or twenty years.
 - Q Those are on Oscar Carrillo?
- A Yes, sir. They kept all the others until just the other day. They returned Oscar's. It was brought up

21

22

23

24

in here about Oscar Carrillo's returns, and I just wanted to clear it. They have been returned and he has been cleared.

- Q That is not true with respect to Judge Carrillo, though?
- A No, sir. They did return his files, though, to me.
- Q But there are pending indictments with respect to some of his returns, are there not?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Aren't those indictments still pending?
 - A Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Mitchell, could the Chair ask you, do you have the returns in question in your possession?

MR. MITCHELL: I have all of those except that I have delivered as part of the evidence in this case. Yes. I have delivered, as the record will reflect, the Zertuche returns from 1965 to '70; the O. P. Carrillo returns for '70, '71 and '72, I believe; and I have rest, the Farm and Ranch—no, the trust returns and the O. P. Carrillo returns insofar as they relate to the indictment which is presently pending in the Southern District of Texas.

CHAIRMAN HALE: You would not make those

ŧ

2

4 5

6 7

8 9

11

10

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25 returns available to this committee voluntarily?

MR. MITCHELL: Not the others that I have not already delivered. It appears to me that the inquiry now is whether we're going to try him up here for this tax evasion, and I'm going to invoke the attorneyclient privilege, as well as the rights that I have under the State and Federal Constitution with regard to my client on incrimination.

CHAIRMAN HALE: What years does the Federal court case in Corpus Christi- I believe it's in Corpus Christi.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: What years does that

involve?

MR. MITCHELL: I think the record speaks to that, Mr. Chairman; it goes from 1960-the first count is the conspiracy count that ends in May of 1974 and commences in 196- January 1st, 1967.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Over a seven-year period?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. The first count is a conspiracy count beginning the 1st of January. I have delivered everything I have prior to the 1st of January, Mr. Chairman, to the Committee already. And for the years January 1st, 1967 to May of 1974, I have delivered, as I have already stated.

 record will speak for itself. But that is the conspiracy count.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, you have given us '71, '72 and '73 returns here on Judge Carrillo.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are those three involved in the conspiracy count also?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. They are. I chose to waive as to those at the time that I did them because of the prior questions raised in the issue on the Zertuche General Store, and at the time that Judge Carrillo first became District Judge. I, however, have heard the questions put to—some of the questions put—to some of the witnesses this morning, and I think it would be amiss of me in a discharge of my duty if I let this Committee have 154 returns, and that's what they're asking for. There would just be no end to it. We might as well try the case there in the Federal District Court on June the 30th where we have the attendant protection of the Constitution.

As I understand, Mr. Chairman, out of due respect, that I had the understanding at the time this impeachment proceeding commenced, that specifically in Judge Douglas's impeachment, that unsuccessful impeachment move, that the tax records were held and are

movever, out of a feeling that I had to be sure that this Committee had everything, I had a very difficult decision to make about releasing those, and I have done them. I brought all the records over on the in-put. Mr. Haloney has examined those. But I feel that now when I have been required here without the attendant protections of the right to cross examine, the rights to subpoena and et cetera, et cetera, in terms of a regular trial, not that it hasn't been afforded me here—the record speaks for itself—that I cannot, in all good conscience, produce those returns that I have been called upon to produce.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Meloney?

BY VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY

2

9

.

10

11

12

13

14

15

1,

10

19

3

21

22

29

24 25

- Q Mr. Kirkland, who is your attorney?
- A I don't have one.
- Q Those records that you turned over to Mr.
- Mitchell are your records, are they not?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q They are not Judge Carrillo's records; they are your records?
 - A Yes, sir.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALOWEY: Mr. Chairman, I feel that there is no attorney-client privilege between

3 4

5

6 7

thought.

8 9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25 Mr. Kirkland and Mr. Mitchell. Let me ask one more question.

Who told you to give those to Mr. Mitchell? 0 MR. MITCHELL: I did.

Α He did.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: That's what I

MR. MITCHELL: There is precedent, Mr. Maloney, if you will permit me, for that very precise procedure to be followed in cases of all IRS violations; that is, the material that is delivered to the attorney is deemed to be and is covered within the privilege.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, this Committee, of course, Mr. Mitchell, doesn't want to do anything that would interfere with the handling of the Federal Court case in Corpus Christi. At the same time, it appears to me that if the position that you have taken, or it has been the Chair's impression of the position that you have taken throughout this hearing, was that you were going to make available to us whatever records you have for us to peruse. In fact, it was the Chair's impression from our first occasion up here that you had several big file boxes full of records and you were going to bring them up here as long as-ifcI could provide the safe custody for them and even let us keep them while we were checking through those records.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: That's true.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Now, has your position on that changed?

MR. MITCHELL: It has changed, because I think the tenor of the examination has changed. I think that now we're trying him for Federal Income Tax evasion, and I think that I can change my mind in view of the shift.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, of course-

MR. MITCHELL: If I have IRS people in this Committee hearing, and I have questions put to these people all along that deal with the very niceties of the questions of whether there have been over-statements of deductions, under-statement of income, when I have admitted the indictment from the very beginning, and then I have called upon me to produce, to emasculate my client's defense to the tune of a hundred and some odd tax returns, then I say I have in good conscience- I owe my client the duty to refuse to deliver them, Mr. Hale.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, of course, it occurs to me that up until now, at least, it hasn't been my impression that the inquiries have been towards violation of Federal income tax laws. I know all of the questions that I directed at Mr. Kirkland here with respect to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

81

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these tax returns were an effort on my part to find out if any income, basically, if any income from Farm and Ranch or Zertuche was going in to Judge Carrillo's benefit, which is one of the key allegations that's been made here— that he was deriving income from the Zertuche operation and not in violation of Federal income tax laws, but in violation of a state statute which prohibits an official from doing business with a government entity.

MR. MITCHELL: That may be correct. I don't dispute that. I notice, however, when I proceeded to go back to the years 1965 up to '67 I was told I was being irrelevant because that wasn't the subject matter of the inquiry. And yet the Speaker himself questioned this man about each and every item of income. And I said, "Well, now, fine. I have nothing to hide. Let him ask him. I'm just so glad that this man can make a public examination of his tax returns before these people." And I have admitted the indictment. I have admitted those years and I have delivered those. But I think that we're getting some very valuable rights that the Constitution protects mixed up into this matter. And I know the Committee doesn't want to step on them, and I certainly don't want to be a party so that an attorney sitting here thirty years hence on an impeachment proceed ing, since it occurred in thirty, says, "Well, if

not going to convert this thing into a public denounce-

ment of a public official." We're setting a dangerous

precedent, Mr. Chairman. I'm bound to feel that duty

very strongly. I didn't mind giving that material. I

tendered that material. But, quite frankly, I have sat

years of experience and I've seen the ball thrown, I've

seen the ball thrown back. I said, now, wait a minute.

If we're going to try this man for income tax evasion, I

want that jury in a box and I want to call this man or

that man or that man and find out what occurred here.

here and I've scratched my head, pulled back over 25

And if we've tried him here, I've got a double jeopardy plea down there.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Mitchell, let me ask you, is there anything in any of the questions here that Mr. Maloney made that is not now in the hands of the U. S. District Attorney?

many are there? I don't even know, that are in that one

MR. MITCHELL: Well, the children-how

MR. MITCHELL: Fifteen children? Well, I

21

15

16

17

18

19

20

A 10, 13, 14, 15—at least 15.

trust, Mr. Kirkland. How many children?

23 24

25

don't know that there's fifteen children. I have been asked to deliver their returns. Did you deliver those

to me?

В

A There are eighteen.

MR. MITCHELL: Eighteen children. I'm not going to stand up as a lawyer of this State of Texas and say, "Yes, here. Take all of them kid's returns." I can't do that.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I don't believe those returns were even included in the motion, were they?

MR. MITCHELL: The trust returns and the O. P. Carrillo and Sons returns are those kids returns. And, Mr. Chairman, the items from those returns, those fiduciary returns are picked up and carried over on the O. P. Carrillo individual returns. Am I correct?

So, what the kiddos' returns—a little old fellow down in Brownsville that's four years old has got to do with this, I swear, I don't know. That's what I'm trying to say. You all put me in the position where I've got to deliver all those returns.

Now, the Farm and Ranch returns, that's picked up in that schedule pursuant to the partnership returns, and that's before the Committee. The O. P. Carrillo returns, I've delivered them. The Zertuche returns, I delivered to show that they stand on their own. Gross sales, the deductions, et cetera.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kirkland, let the

25

Chair ask you a question.

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

- Q The Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers: is that the name under which the trust is operated?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q That's this family trust that I asked you a number of questions on earlier?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q I noticed that on each of these returns, for '71, '72 and '73, in Schedule "E" it showed the income from O. P. Carrillo, Trustee.
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q What is the difference between 0. P. Carrillo, Trustee and Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers?
- A When we file, we file as O. P. Carrillo,

 Trustee for income tax. And it's my understanding that
 the bank account is carried in the name of Ramiro

 Carrillo and Brothers. That's the way the ranch and all
 the operations are carried on.
- Q Did you prepare a fiduciary return for that family trust each year?
- A No, sir. I prepare a schedule showing all the income and expense and the distribution and attach it to each tax return.
 - Q You do not prepare a fiduciary return for the

trust?

A No.

MR. MITCHELL: Excuse me, Mr. Hale. That schedule is attached to the individual return, to the 1040 form of O. P. Carrillo. Am I right, Mr. Kirkland?

A Yes, sir.

MR. MITCHELL: It's in there.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, sir. But, Mr.

Mitchell, I'm not much of a tax lawyer, but I have handled two or three trusts. And every one I ever handled we filed a fiduciary return for the trusts in addition to the schedules which showed distribution of assets to beneficiaries of the trusts. That was not done in this instance?

A No, sir. When I took this over in 1959, that's the way it had been done for years, and I continued preparing identical schedules each year and attached them to the income tax returns. There's never been a word from the IRS about it. They have accepted them for the fifteen years that I've done them.

- Q And during that fifteen years you have never prepared a fiduciary return for the trust?
 - A No. sir.
 - Q Well, that's interesting.

Do you handle accounting for any other trusts?

A Yes, sir.

ŧ

2

ŧ

+

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Do you prepare fiduciary returns on these other trusts?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Do you have any other trusts that you are handling that you're handling the way you do Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers?

A No, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney?

BY VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY

- Q Mr. Kirkland, you say that you have traditionally attached this, we'll call it the fiduciary return, to the returns themselves. Is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q So, if we have copies of 0. P. Carrillo's 1971, '72 and '73 income tax return, we should also have a copy of that fiduciary return, shouldn't we?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q And if we don't have it, then those returns are incomplete to us, are they not?
 - A Yes, sir.

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

Q All right. Would you examine Carrillo
Exhibit 71, which I believe you still have there,
Carrillo Exhibit 7 and Carrillo Exhibit 8. That is 71, 7

24

25

and 8. Those are the three tax returns in question: '71, '72 and '73 for Judge O. P. Carrillo.

Would you examine each of those three returns and advise the Chair whether or not that schedule to which you refer relative to the trust is attached?

- A It isn't for '73.
- Q I beg your pardon?
- A It isn't for '73.
- Q It is not, or it is?

A It is not. It was on the one that went in to the IRS. We prepare a master schedule, and we don't—it seems like they didn't put one in each file. Let's see if there are on these other years.

No, sir. Evidently there's not a copy of that with this.

- Q I'm sorry. I beg your pardon?
- A There is not a copy in here.
- Q Which one are you looking at now?
- A This was '72.
- Q The 1972 return?
- A Yes, sir. Let's see about '71.
- Q Check the 1971 return.
- A No, sir. There isn't one with it either.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Mitchell, it was my impression when you offered the '71, '72 and '73 returns

4

4 5

8

6

9

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

261 21

22

23 24

25

were supplying the Committee. Did you inadvertently leave this out, or was it intentional on your part? MR. MITCHELL: No. They were reproduced

for Judge Carrillo they were complete returns which you

by my staff as they were in my possession and I delivered them as complete returns, Mr. Chairman. no intent, as I have stated several times and I state again, of censoring those returns.

Pardon me, Mr. Hale. The returns, as I say, we had a master of the trust return, and we attached a copy to every copy that goes to the IRS. And our file copies, we keep a master copy of that to go with all the returns it covers. So that was- I didn't know that he was reproducing these returns. I could have given him those master copies and he could have reproduced those three years and attached to the returns.

- You still have those schedules, then, in your Q possession?
 - No, sir. He has them now. Α
 - He has them now? Q
 - A Yes, sir.

Q

You have turned all of that over to him? CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Mitchell, are you willing to deliver to us for '71, '72 and '73 that portion

of the return for Judge Carrillo?

17

18

19

20

21

2)

23

25

MR. MITCHELL: I would like to have a court order me to, Mr. Hale, because of the duty I feel of the children — the names that are listed on it. I did not have them, as Mr. Kirkland has indicated, and I think the returns were produced as they were delivered to me but I feel that responsibility and it is not an empty responsibility. If the Court orders me to deliver them, I will deliver them. But I hate to take that responsibility standing here in a public forum of delivering returns which I consider I have no control over. I don't represent these people.

MR. KASTER: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster?

MR. KASTER: Parliamentary inquiry.

Do we know when Mr. Kirkland turned those records over to Mr. Mitchell? Because, as I remember, he was subpoenaed duces tecum. And if after that he turned them over, is there a question then of turning them over to evade the subpoena?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kirkland hasn't been subpoensed yet other than by telephone.

MR. KASTER: Oh. That is Mr. Mitchell being nice.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kirkland came up here voluntarily at our request with the understanding that

.

1.2

he would be served with a subpoena here in the interest of time.

MR. KASTER: I think I know why Mr. Mitchell said he would get ahold of him for us.

MR. MITCHELL: That's not true.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Chavez?

MR. CHAVEZ: If Mr. Mitchell admits that he waived his responsibility as to these returns, Mr. Kirkland says that the schedules are part of the returns, then he in fact has waived as to his client's privilege on that schedule. If that schedule is part of those returns then by his waiver then we're entitled to the schedules. The schedules form a part of the return, according to Mr. Mitchell and the accountant. He has either waived the privilege or he hasn't.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, the Chair, with the permission of the Committee, the Chair would like to take the question of issuing this subpoens under advisement and let's do a little briefing on it. I would hate for us to do anything that would interfere with the fair trial for Judge Carrillo in the U. S. District Court for the Southern District. We don't want to interfere with that in any way. That's in the hands of the judiciary. And I would like to satisfy myself on the extent to which the privilege can be invoked with respect

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

30

!1

!2

:3

5

to these federal tax returns insofar as this type of inquiry is concerned.

Mr. Hendricks?

MR. HENDRICKS: Parliamentary inquiry.

CHAIRMAN HALE: State your inquiry.

MR. HENDRICKS: The only thing we're concerned with here is not the income itself, but the source of the income. Isn't that correct?

CHAIRMAN HALE: That's my impression. I didn't get the idea, as Mr. Mitchell apparently did, that any of our interrogation of Mr. Kirkland had to do with any misrepresentation to Internal Revenue. It occurs to me that we're not really concerned with that, at least at this stage.

MR. HENDRICKS: Maybe I've got it wrong somewhere.

CHAIRMAN HALE: But it occurred to me it was the source of the income. I know most of my questions directed to Mr. Kirkland— I was trying to trace the source of that money and tie down the source of it. And one item in particular, for instance, \$4,000 which is shown as commissions on here, which Mr. Kirkland says was rent which was paid to Judge Carrillo. I don't know how significant that one item is, but it was—again, it's going back to the source of this money.

1 2 3

Whether or not it was reported for Internal Revenue purposes really is not our concern. That's the concern of the U. S. District Attorney in that trial in Corpus Christi.

Why don't we proceed with the questioning of Mr. Kirkland to the extent that we can on other matters.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, I would like to renew my motion at this time, and I would like to add to it that Mr. Mitchell be subpoensed to produce these records. Now, whether or not these subpoenss would be good is something to be decided later. But I think it is time for this Committee to start moving, since Mr. Mitchell has said that he is going to provide us these records, and he has said himself that the investigation has changed its course and he wants to preserve them, I think that for the record we need to preserve this Committee's integrity and subpoena those records.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney, I would say this: that Mr. Mitchell, I'm sure, is going to be available.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, I think it is important for the record that this subpoena be issued.

CHAIRMAN HALE: It occurs to me, Mr.

Maloney—the Chair is not— I don't intend— I don't want to argue the point. If you want to insist on your motion, you're entitled to make a motion, of course. It occurs to me that we might want to do a little briefing on this thing, though, before we take this action. That was my only reason. I don't see that we would lose anything by doing a little legal research on this point before we take the action on it in view of the fact that both Mr. Kirkland and Mr. Mitchell are long-time residents of the State of Texas and I'm sure are not going to get beyond the subpoena power of this Committee within the next few weeks. So that if we found that we were on solid legal ground in issuing the subpoena then we could issue it at that time.

I presume from what's been said here that if it is served on Mr. Mitchell he is not going to honor it anyway, and that would force somewhere down the line some ultimate litigation on the legality of the subpoena, perhaps. The Chair would hope that we would be on solid legal grounds if we did elect to issue the subpoena. Of course, the Committee members are entitled to draw whatever conclusion they want from the fact of his refusal to produce the records.

I don't think we're in the category in this type of hearing as we are in a trial where the fact that

ı

the defendant doesn't take the stand can't be used against him. We're not in a criminal trial here. I think the fact that the defendant—if that is the proper term—if the accused in this instance denies access of the Committee to certain records, I think the Committee is entitled to make whatever deduction it wants from the fact that those records are not available to it. I don't believe we're bound by the rules that apply in criminal cases, because this is not a criminal proceeding; it's a civil case. And in a civil case you can comment on the fact that a party doesn't take the witness stand. If they don't take the witness stand you're entitled to comment on that to the jury and ask the question, why didn't they produce the records?

But if you want to insist on your motion at this time, the Chair will accept it.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: I do insist on it, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: All right. Mr. Maloney moves that a subpoena duces tecum be issued to Oscar D. Kirkland and/or Arthur Mitchell for the production before the Committee of the Federal tax returns for 1967 through 1974 for Farm and Ranch partnership returns.

Maybe we should take these one at a time in separate motions.

.

4

5

6 7

9

11

12

10

13

16

15

18 19

20

answer.

21 22 23

24

?5

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, I think they can be all included.

CHAIRMAN HALE: For Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers from 1967 through 1974.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: I would like to add at that point, and/or O.P., Trustee, if that is the nomenclature that Mr. Kirkland used.

CHAIRMAN HALE: All right. And/or O. P. Carrillo, Trustee; and returns for 1967 through 1970 for O. P. Carrillo.

Is there any discussion on the motion?

MRS. THOMPSON: I would like to ask a question of the Chairman. I would like to apologize not being here at the time Mr. Maloney discussed it. What is the purpose of this, Mr. Chairman, of getting these records?

CHAIRMAN HALE: I'll let Mr. Maloney

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Mrs. Thompson,
Mr. Kirkland has informed the Committee that he brought
with him the tax returns for Ramiro Carrillo and
Brothers, Farm and Ranch partnership returns, and
individual returns for O. P. Carrillo; that upon coming
to Austin, at least when he got here, he turned these
returns over to Mr. Mitchell, and now at this time he says

'69?

24

he has no further records and he can produce no more records. Mr. Mitchell has refused to make these available to the Committee.

MRS. THOMPSON: Thank you.

MR. CHAVEZ: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Chavez?

MR. CHAVEZ: Mr. Maloney, are we restricted to the time that he has been a District Judge? Why '67?

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Because if there is a continuing conspiracy I want to know when the conspiracy began.

MR. CHAVEZ: Well, assuming there was a conspiracy from '67 through '69, would that be an impeachment offense at this time?

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: I think if it's a continuing conspiracy, it is.

MR. CHAVEZ: From '67 to '69?

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: I think it's important to show the inception of the conspiracy.

MR. CHAVEZ: Even though it stopped in

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: I don't know that it stopped. My impression is the players changed but the game didn't change.

MR. CHAVEZ: In connection with the

3

4

6

8

10

9

12

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

privilege, I think you stated that you felt that the records belong to the accountant and not to Mr. Carrillo?

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: That is my feeling at this time. Now, I'm no great legal scholar in this area, but I would say that for the record there is no duty on either Mr. Mitchell or Mr. Kirkland to produce these records unless a subpoena is served upon them. And at that time, the question of their refusal would become a legal question; it is not at this point.

MR. CHAVEZ: Okay.

MRS. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Kirkland is trying to get your attention.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, Mr. Kirkland?

A Mr. Hale, I'm not allowed to speak as an attorney, because I'm not, but I have had this occur before and attorneys have called for my records and taken them to their offices and held them there, just practically in the same routine that we've gone through here.

CHAIRMAN HALE: You mean private practicing attorneys?

A Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Private practicing attorneys; not a District attorney?

A Yes, sir. Practicing attorneys.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, let me ask you a

23

24 25 question, Mr. Kirkland. What authority do you have to release returns which you prepared for your client to anyone other than your client?

A I cleared this with my clients, and they asked that I release them to Mr. Mitchell.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I see. When you say that you have been through this before and released records to attorneys, you released it to attorneys only on authority of your client, though?

A Yes, sir.

MR. KASTER: Mr. Chairman, may I ask some questions?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes. Mr. Kaster?

BY MR. KASTER

Q Mr. Kirkland, when did you turn these records over to Mr. Mitchell?

A About 2:00 o'clock yesterday afternoon.

Q 2:00 o'clock yesterday afternoon?

A Yes, sir.

MR. KASTER: Thank you.

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

Q Which records are we referring to now that were turned over at 2:00 o'clock yesterday afternoon?

A There are two briefcases full of them. All of the Carrillo income tax returns.

ì
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Q How about the '71, '72 and '73 returns that I have interrogated you about? Those were turned over before yesterday afternoon, were they not?

A I have had them up here before, and he has been down to my office and we have made pictures.

Q Well, Mr. Mitchell has had custody of these records at prior dates in the past then?

A Yes, sir.

Q And has returned some of them to you and kept some of them? You all sort of exchanged them back and forth, in other words, during this period of time?

A Yes, sir.

MRS. WEDDINGTON: Could be clarify whether or not the master file on the trust account was turned over yesterday?

Q Was the master file on the trust account turned over to Mr. Mitchell also?

A I'm pretty sure it was.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Is there any further discussion or questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: The question occurs on the motion by Mr. Maloney that the Chair be authorized and directed to issue a subpoena duces tecum to Oscar D. Kirkland and/or Arthur Mitchell for the Federal tax

Kirkland - Hale returns for those three or four entities for the years 1 indicated in the motion. All those in favor of the 2 motion will vote aye; those opposed will vote no as your 3 names are called. 4 The Clerk will call the roll. 5 (The Clerk called the roll, with the 6 result being six ayes.) 8 9 10 be served as quickly as we can. 11 12

CHAIRMAN HALE: There being six ayes and no noes, the motion prevails. (Gavel.)

The Chair will prepare a subpoena and it will

In the meantime, let's proceed with our questioning of this witness.

MRS. WEDDINGTON: Mr. Chairman, should we also extend official thanks to Mr. Mitchell for his offer of assistance in getting Mr. Kirkland here?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes.

MR. CHAVEZ: Mr. Chairman, when would that be returnable?

> CHAIRMAN HALE: Instanter.

Mr. Maloney, do you have further questions of Mr. Kirkland?

> VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Not at this time. CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Hendricks?

24 25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

	Hendricks 12 215
1	BY MR. HENDRICKS
2	Q Mr. Kirkland, going back to the Hector
3	Zertuche returns, where did you say you got your informa-
4	tion to prepare these returns?
5	A It was brought in to me on a sheet of yellow
6	paper.
7	Q Legal size sheet of paper?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q You weren't quite positive who brought it to
10	you. Are you positive who brought the information to
11	you?
12	A No, sir.
13	Q Do you know Hector Zertuche?
14	A I'm not real sure I would recognize him. He
15	has been in my office a few times.
16	Q Could it have been Judge Carrillo that brought
17	you the yellow sheet of paper?
18	A No, sir.
19	Q Could it have been Ramiro Carrillo?
20	A No, sir.
21	Q But you're not positive it was Hector Zertuche?
22	A It was either Hector or Cleofas.
23	Q Hector or Cleofas?
- 1	

Q Now, it didn't have the checks and payouts and

Yes, sir.

24 25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

everything to back up what information was on that yellow sheet; it just had the final figures. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And you took the final figures and drew the return from that?

A Yes, sir.

Q And, of course, you're not verifying the authenticity of the return except from the information given you.

A That's right.

Q And that applies to both the '65 and '66 returns?

A Yes, sir.

Q All right. Who brought you the information on Arturo Zertuche when you filed the returns on Arturo's General Store in '67, '68, '69 and '70?

A That's just about the same situation. I don't know whether it was-

Q Do you know Arturo Zertuche?

A I wouldn't be sure. No, sir.

Q Has he ever been in your office?

A Yes, sir.

Q Could Judge Carrillo have brought you this information?

Į A No. sir. 2 But you're not sure whether Arturo brought it Q to you, or Cleofas, or who brought it to you? 3 4 No. sir. 5 Has Cleofas brought you information before Q concerning these returns? 6 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 Q How was this information given to you? 9 A Brought in on a yellow piece of paper. 10 Nothing to back it up-Q 11 Α No, sir. 12 -other than the final figures? Q 13 Α No, sir. 14 You had no receipts, payouts, checks or Q 15 anything else? 16 A No. sir. 17 Q Just the final figures? 18 Yes, sir. 19 Q Did you question anything about the tre-20 mendous jump in business from '66 to '67 in this Zertuche 21 General Store? Was there any question in your mind 22 regarding that? 23 Well, I noticed it was doing real well. 24 told him his income was way up and it was going to cost

him quite a bit of income tax. And he said that they

25

were renting and in turn sub-renting this equipment, I and that's why their volume of business. 2 3 You said "they said" they were. Now, who is "they?" Who were you talking to about it? 4 I believe it was Cleofas, but I can't remember 5 Α for sure. 6 Q Who was he referring to when he said "They"? Α In this instance? 8 "They were renting." 9 Q 10 Α I believe that it was Cleofas. It could possibly have been Arturo. 11 12 Q Did they give you any information on who they were renting this equipment to? 13 14 Α No, sir. 15 Q None whatsoever? 16 Α No, sir. 17 Nothing was set out? Q 18 Α No, sir. 19 Now, you mentioned earlier you saw a list of Q some kind. 20 21 Α Yes, sir. A list of figures. 22 Just figures? Q 23 Yes, sir. Α 24 And it didn't have anybody it leased to or Q

rented to or anything else?

25

A No, sir. Just a list of figures and a total. 1 Did you question Cleofas regarding this 2 Q tremendous amount of business in the Zertuche General 3 Store? 4 I don't remember who I questioned. I questioned 5 someone about it, but I don't remember now who it was. 6 7 Well, could it have been Cleofas? Q A Yes, sir, it could. 8 Could it have been the County Commissioner 0 Q Carrillo? 10 Α No, sir. He didn't bring these things in. 11 And it couldn't have been the Judge? 12 Q 13 Α No, sir. 14 Q Could it have been Arturo? 15 A Yes, sir, it could have. Q Of your own knowledge, can you swear to this 16 Committee that Arturo Zertuche ever brought you any 17 information regarding income? 18 I can't swear that he brought information, but 19 20 I can swear that he came in when the information was 21 brought. Whether he had it or someone that was with him had it, but he came in. 22 Did he come in when you sent after him to sign 23 Q the return, or when did he come in? 24 Į٢ No. sir. He came in when the information was A

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

brought in.

Q Did he sign the return in your office, or did you send it to him to sign?

A I don't believe he ever signed the return in the office. We would prepare-

Q Who did you send it to him by?

A Just whoever happened to be up from Benavides. We prepared maybe six or eight of these and we would have them ready and someone from Benavides would come in and they would take them all down and distribute them.

Q Now, when the Judge brought you his return, he brought checks and receipts and so on and so forth, did he not? Or did he just bring his on the yellow sheet of paper, too?

A Well, he would bring a sheet of paper with a list of the charges and deposits, and then he would bring me canceled checks and deposits slips which I would compare back to them.

Q I believe you said you would question him about any large check or unexplained check?

A Yes, sir.

Q And if it wasn't explained, you threw that under "practice of law"?

A Yes, sir.

Q What size check are you considering a "large

check" there?

Į

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

:18

:19

20

121

22

23

24

.25

A Well, I had a deposit of about \$7,500 that I couldn't identify, and went back and they went back and took pictures of all of the checks that were on that deposit that they never could find. It was five hundred and something dollars. I determined what those checks were and charged them accordingly, and the \$516.00 I just threw into income and paid tax on it because I had no way of knowing what it was. I considered it was a sale of cattle and added it to his cattle sales.

Q The Judge couldn't explain to you where a \$516 check came from?

- A No. sir.
- Q Did you ask him about it?
- A I asked Joe Saenz.
- Q Who is he?

A He is, I believe the—he helps 0. P. keep track of his business over there some way. I don't know just what his connection is.

Q Do you remember who that particular check was given by or drawn on?

A No, sir. We never did find out. The bank went to the Federal Reserve Bank and never did find out. But there are numerous instances. I had a deposit of

forty-four hundred and some odd dollars in a San Antonio bank and nobody knew what it was. I hated to pay tax on that much, so I started digging, and I found out where he had— I had applied for an income tax refund the previous year of forty-three hundred and some odd dollars, and I found a slip from the IRS where they had paid him seventy some odd dollars of interest. And I added the two together and it came out to exactly the amount of the deposit.

Q Were any of these checks you are speaking of marked "attorneys fees"?

A No. sir.

Q Did the Judge ever deny to you that he was practicing law?

A No, sir. We never discussed it.

Q And he knew that you were filing his return with an income stipulation in there that it was made from the private practice—that that income came from the private practice of law, did he not?

A I don't know whether he did or not. I know that he knew that I was making him pay tax on it. I don't know whether he knew. It was the one year, and I assumed that it was probably some work that he had done. I know if you're practicing law or accounting or anything, any time you go out of business, it may take you three or

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
	()

25

four years to collect in your accounts. And I just thought, well, this first year he's got some money coming in and I set it up.

- Q Well, that's probably true, but it's been carried forward every year. Even your last return showed
 - A No, sir. It just shows expense; not income.
 - Q But the '72 shows a considerable income-
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q -- from the practice of law.
- A I believe I was thinking that '72 was the first year that he was-
 - Q No. '71 was the first year.
 - A Was it '71?
- Q And it showed an income from the practice of law.

MR. HENDRICKS: I believe that's all the questions I have, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster?

BY MR. KASTER

Q Mr. Kirkland, I need some information for my own benefit. When a Certified Public Accountant prepares a tax return and you put your stamp and your name on that, what is your responsibility then, as far as legally with the Internal Revenue Service for you doing

25

that? Where do you fit in? Are you responsible for it or not?

A A long time ago we had a stamp we put on all of them. It says "Tax return furnished from information prepared by tax payer," and that is just what it is, as a rule.

- Q You're limited only by the information that you receive?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q So that in the up-coming trial of Judge Carrillo, you're not subposnaed down there to answer questions about it, or are you?
 - A Not yet.
- Q As a Certified Public Accountant, you wouldn't be responsible except for the information that was furnished to you?
 - A That's right.
- Q I think you have already testified that you have prepared some of these returns of Zertuche and others just off yellow legal pads?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Apparently there are no books kept on these businesses, is there?
- A I think they probably were made up from adding machine tapes—is where they got those figures.

- Q That's the bookkeeping system of these businesses is adding machine tapes?
 - A I think so. Yes, sir.
- Q Do you have many businesses that keep no books and just run a-
- A Yes, sir. I have them that bring me in a bunch of tapes clipped together with clothes pins, written on the back of file folders and such.
- Q So that you can't testify to the authenticity other than the records that you have.

Now let me ask you this: the person that signs their return, they have a higher degree of responsibility than the person who prepares the return because when they sign it, they are verifying then that everything in there is true under penalties of perjury, et cetera, that it is true.

- A Yes, sir. That's right.
- Q So that if I as a tax payer gave you false information and you prepared my return based on the information and you put your stamp on it, you wouldn't be as responsible as I would. When I sign it, then I'm more responsible because it's based on the information that I gave you.
- A That's right. Your neck is the one that's out if you furnish me false information.

 Q So that on income tax returns, people generally read the things before they sign them, is that correct, or do they just go ahead and sign them?

A Some of them-most of them just go ahead and sign them. They used to be notarized, but they cut that out about 20 or 25 years ago.

Q The reason I asked, either they have great trust in you without reading it—I prepare our tax return and my wife, she doesn't even trust me; she reads the things before she signs it. I tell her, "Just sign there and don't bother about reading it," but she doesn't take my advice.

But if I had a Schedule "C" with income, and if I had several schedules, I would look through it. And if I saw one for an attorney's services and I was not getting fees from an attorney, I believe I would question that, particularly if there were almost \$6,000 shown there. So that apparently there was no question about the attorney's fees. Is that right?

A There was never any raised. No, sir.

Q Did you send this to Judge Carrillo to sign, or did he come in to your office to sign them?

A It is hard to say. He would probably—well, just depends on how busy he was.

Q But he keeps no books. And you're having to try

to bring up these returns from deposits and checks and things. Is that true?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q To the extent you even had to go to the bank and get a copy of a check on the microfilm records.

A Yes, sir. We exhaust all the possibilities, and if we can't run something down, all we can do is say, well, that's taxable.

- Q He's running a ranch with no books that shows a loss?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Did you determine that there was a loss?
- A Yes, sir. He brings me his checks sorted into different categories.
 - Q Do you fix up the depreciation schedules?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q You prepare that independently?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q If he has a Cadillae, do you independently determine that that should be depreciated through his law business because it was used in his business as an attorney would be the only reason that you could depreciate it in that schedule.
- A Yes, sir. Until them when he no longer had a law practice, the first year, he had the Cadillac and a

i

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24 25 small amount of furniture, and some dues to the State

Bar Association and a few expenses. We left them on

there. And then the next year I just figured he wasn't

practicing law any more and he couldn't be using the

Cadillac in his law practice, so I took it off.

Q I'm looking at the 1971 return, I'm looking at the 1972 return, which covers two years.

A Yes.

Q The 1971 return covers the year January 1st, '71 to December 30th, '71; the 1972 return is for 1972 calendar year.

A Yes, sir.

Q In 1971 you show gross receipts from an attorney of \$2.936.

A Yes, sir.

Q In 1972 you show a gross income from attorney's fees of \$5,887.22.

A Yes, sir.

Q And then you put the depreciation to it.

A Right.

Q So there are two years involved.

A Yes, sir.

Q Then the third year, as you say, there is no return, but they are still taking depreciation.

A Yes, sir. Not on the car.

23

24

25

Well, the part—

A Just on some equi

A Just on some equipment that he had there—well, we couldn't just charge it off. And it was just sitting there, so we depreciated it.

Q Going back to this trust that has apparently come into question, have you seen the trust instrument that sets up the trust as a part of your accounting fees?

A No, sir.

Q You don't know if it is just the interest that the trust comes that is distributed, or does the trust have income?

A Yes, sir. The trust has considerable income.

Q Each year?

A Yes, sir.

Q And apparently it is going to be in question where that income is coming from. I think Mr. Maloney is interested in that. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q I don't want you to divulge something that Mr.

Mitchell doesn't want you to divulge, but I want to ask you,
is that trust—and again I want to caution you to check

with Mr. Mitchell before answering—

MR. MITCHELL: He can testify to anything he wants, Mr. Kaster. I have no objection to the witness testifying from his records. I think he can

24

25

probably answer the question.

- Q Does the Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers Trust, or also known as O. P. Carrillo, Trustee, does that trust account have income from either the Farm and Ranch Store or the Zertuche store?
 - A Not to my knowledge. No, sir.
- Q There has been no checks written on Farm and Ranch Store to that trust account that you know about?
- A No, sir. But, as I say, I don't check their bank accounts. This information on Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers is brought to me as total figures.
 - Q On a yellow pad or something, like the other?
- A Yes, sir. So much cattle sales, so much caliche sales, so much pasture rental.
- Q So that if there is income from the Farm and Ranch Store and it wasn't reported to you, you would have no way of knowing it.
- A No, sir. I don't know what the trust would be doing for the Farm and Ranch Store.
- Q If there was rental equipment and checks
 made to the trust account from the Farm and Ranch Store,
 you wouldn't know about that?
 - A No, sir.
- Q The reason I ask, and the reason I bring this out is—and I'm going to be perfectly honest with you—

Monday we went down to Duval County to San Diego to the First State Bank, and I have a copy of a check from Farm and Ranch to the trust account, the \$550. That is just one. Now, I don't know how many others there are. But you're telling us that you're not aware of those checks.

- A No, sir.
- Q But there very well could be others.
- A Yes, sir. That sounds like about the amount of rental for those equipment rentals. I have no way of knowing.
 - Q What equipment rentals?
- A The trust account owns quite a bit of equipment.
- Q And is leasing it to Farm and Ranch? I mean renting it to Farm and Ranch?
- A I don't have any idea. I say it is a possibility.
 - Q Is that per month or per year, or per what?
 - A I don't have any idea.
- Q But you wouldn't know, because I just asked you if there were checks from Farm and Ranch to the trust and you said "No."
 - A I said I didn't know.
 - Q You don't know?
 - A No.

I would have to look. I believe they have some

24

25

Α

14 433
tractors and farming equipment. I would have to take a
look and see.
Q Would there be any caterpillars?
A I think they do.
Q What about road graders?
A No. I don't think they would have any road
equipment.
Q What about backhoes?
A I doubt if they would have a backhoe. I don't
recall it.
Q Post hole diggers?
A I'm just almost sure that they did- I think
they had some pretty good sized trucks, and some maybe
a dozer or two.
Q That would be-this equipment would be on that
schedule that the Committee has subpoensed. Is that
correct?
A Yes.
MR. KASTER: Thank you. I believe that's
all, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN HALE: Mrs. Thompson?
MRS. THOMPSON: I pass.
CHAIRMAN HALE: Mrs. Weddington?
BY MRS. WEDDINGTON
Q Do you know whether or not they had any water

Kirkland - Weddington _ trucks, or a truck that could be used for hauling grain? 1 No, ma'am, I don't. Α ? MRS. WEDDINGTON: Mr. Chairman, I would just want to clarify whether or not this witness has now 4 been subpoensed so he will be later available to us not 5 only with the- Have they served it yet? 6 CHAIRMAN HALE: He is going to be subpoensed 7 today. 8 MRS. WEDDINGTON: Before he leaves? 9 CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes. 10 MR. KASTER: We're just about 24 hours 11 too late. 12 CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, not necessarily. 13 Mr. Chavez? 14 BY MR. CHAVEZ 15 Mr. Kirkland, could you list all of the sources Q 16 of income to the trust? 17 Could I list them? A 18 Q Yes, sir. 19 Cattle sales, I believe some years there have 20 21 22

been grain sales, sales of caliche, pasture rental, oil lease bonuses, and some small oil runs. They have just about played out. At one time they were-

A substantial amount? Q

23

24

25

-quite a bit more, but now they've gone down A

3 4

6

5

8 9

10 11

12 13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25 0

to almost nothing.

Do you receive copies of deposit slips or anything like that, that reflects that that money went into the "rust, or do you just receive a handwritten or typed up list of sources of income with totals of-

- I just receive a list. A
- Q Does the trust have any employees?
- Α They have some. Yes. They have some ranch labor.
- Do they receive any income from the County of 0 Duva1?
 - I don't know. A
 - I beg your pardon? Q
 - I don't know. Α
- Q Well, on this list, have you ever seen the County of Duval listed as a source of income?
- Α They don't give me a list; they just give me There is total cattle sales, total oil runs, total lease bonuses, total pasture rent.
- You don't do anything to verify the accuracy of 0 those lists?
 - No. sir. Α
- Does the trust pay Social Security and withhold+ Q ing taxes for those employees that they maintain?
 - I don't know. I don't think they do because I A

25

don't believe that they hire any of them long enough to where they have to pay Social Security Tax on them. On farm labor it is different from other labor.

- Q Well, what about Withholding Taxes?
- A There is no Withholding Tax on farm labor.
- Q How do you determine whether or not any would be due, or should have been withheld?
- A I don't. They have to do that themselves.

 That isn't part of our income tax work.
 - Q That is not part of what?
 - A Of our income tax preparation.
- Q Well, that wouldn't be some kind of an expense or some—if the Social Security were paid, that would be—
 - A That would be an expense. Yes, sir.
 - Q And it should have shown up.
 - A Yes, sir.
- You don't make an inquiry as to whether or not that was done?
- A When they bring me a tax figure, I ask them what the taxes were and they break them down. And there has not been any Social Security Tax shown.
- Q Does that list reflect any business transactions between the trust and the County of Duval?
 - A No, sir.

	6631
1	Q No transactions such as rental of equipment to
2	the County?
3	A As I say, they don't bring me the individual
4	transactions. They just bring me totals.
5	Q What kind of a certification do you make to
6	the IRS as to the accuracy of the return?
7	A That I have prepared the return from the
8	information furnished by the tax payer.
9	Q Of course, the tax payer has furnished you
10	that information under penalties of perjury, have they
11	not?
12	A Pardon me?
13	Q Does the tax payer furnish you that information
14	under penalties of perjury?
15	A He signs the return, yes, sir, under the
16	penalties of perjury.
17	Q You're not affected by that?
18	A No, sir.
19	Q You don't do any accounting for the County of
20	Duval?
21	A No, sir.
22	MR. CHAVEZ: That's all, Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you, Mr. Chavez.
24	Are there further questions?
,,	Mr. Hendricks?

Į

4 5

6

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

Mr. Kirkland, would you get the returns on Arturo ?ertuche in front of you. That is Carrillo's Exhibit Number 3. And on page 3, does that reflect that he made any income from Duval County?

- ٨ Yes, sir.
- Q How much?
- Α \$2,700.
- All right. Then, turning the page, it shows Q that he grossed over \$56,000 -
 - A Yes, sir.
 - -in the Zertuche General Store-Q
 - Yes, sir. Α
 - Q --in addition to working for the County.
 - Yes, sir. Α
 - All right. Turn to page 10, sir. Q
 - Α Ten?
- Does that reflect that Arturo Zertuche Ten. Q made any income other than the General Store in that year?
 - Yes, sir. Α
 - Q How much?
 - \$2,700 from Duval County. Α
- All right. Turn to Schedule "C" on the next Q How much did he gross in the General Store that

	Kirkland - Hendricks	12 239
year		
	A \$72,441.79.	
	Q And turn to page 21, please, sir.	:
	A Which schedule is that?	
	Q I can't read it up at the top. It	t is page 21.
	A Okay. I've got it.	l
	Q Have you got it?	;
	A Yes, sir.	
	Q Does that reflect any income there	other than
Zertu	che General Store?	
	A Yes, sir.	
	Q And where is the Schedule "C" for	that year?
It is	back on the next page, I believe.	
	A It shows six—	
	Q How much did he gross from the Zer	rtuche General
Store	in that year?	
	A \$81,855.74.	
	Q Then turn to page 25, please, sir	•
	A All right.	
	Q Is there any reflection there of	any income
other	than the General Store in that year?	
	A Yes, sir.	
	Q Then find the Schedule "C" and le	t's see how
much	he grossed from the Zertuche General S	tore that year

I believe it is on page 30.

A \$72,974.75.

ī

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

П

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Over this period of time, wouldn't it amaze you to know that this boy served some time in the army, he was in the National Guard and also got a college education over in North Texas in addition to working for the County and running this General Store and grossing all of this money?

A No, sir, not especially.

MR. HENDRICKS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney?

BY VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY

Q Mr. Kirkland, on any of the returns that you filed for Farm and Ranch Store or Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers, or O. P. Carrillo, Trustee, have you ever made out a Form 941 for any employees?

A No. sir.

Q So, they have never had any employees that they withheld any salary from?

A Not that I know of.

Q If you will also return to Carrillo Exhibit 3, which I believe you have before you, which is the 1967 return for Arturo Zertuche, and which I would say was page 2, which is the first page of the 1040. You have at the bottom an asterisk with the notation, "No income the previous year."

3

4

7

9

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

A Yes, sir.

- Q What did you mean by that?
- A Down there he owed \$881.53 and didn't file an estimate. And on the basis of that, the Internal Revenue Service could penalize him for failure to file an estimate. There are four exceptions to that rule, and one of them is, if you base your estimate on the prior year's taxable income and you pay more than you paid the year before, they can't penalize you. And that alerts them to look and see he had no previous income so they can't penalize him.
- Q So, as far as you know, from the information you had at that time, Arturo Zertuche had no income in 1966 to be taxable.
 - A He assured me he didn't.
- Q You used throughout the returns that we have before us on Arturo Zertuche as his home address, as Drawer BB, Benavides, Texas.
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Is that the address that you were provided?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q On each of the returns on the Schedule "C" where it asks for the location of the General Store, you indicate that this is also the location of the General Store.

and one on Mr. Mitchell.

(The Sergeant served the subpoenas.)

MR. MITCHELL: May I make a statement in

23

24

25

that connection?

.19

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, sir.

MR. MITCHELL: In view of the fact of the statement made by the representative from my district. I wouldn't want the record to stand moot. I want to introduce as a part of this record the subpoena for Oscar D. Kirkland which I requested prior to May 27, 1975, and make the statement, as the Chair knows, that the request to bring Mr. Kirkland up here was directed to me by the Chair, and I said I would be very glad to call him.

In addition, I would like to make a part of the record my first original response, my second and my third response, along with the subpoens that has been served on me. May I have the permission of the Chair to have those marked as exhibits and made a part of this record?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, you certainly me.

The Court Reporter will mark them as exhibits.

(The documents referred to were marked "AM-1," through "AM-5," for identification.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: May the Chair see those exhibits?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. And May I state to the Committee that at the time that I had the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discussion with the Chair as regards getting Mr. Kirkland here, I did not know that Mr. Kirkland had not received back from the IRS the data mentioned. Upon learning of that information, as a matter of course, in IRS cases in the past, I requested him to deliver them to me for the very precise reason that they come with the ambit, as I have understood the law through the years, of the attorney-client privilege, Mr. Hale, has nothing to do with the disrespect for this Committee or, using that, I think the good faith efforts that we have made to get him up here in connection with subverting or throwing the cloak of privilege around the data which he testified to.

I would like to have copies of that subpoena.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes. The Chair is going to get a photocopy and let you substitute here, Mr.

Mitchell. Let the Chair ask you a question or two, Mr.

Mitchell, if I might, about these exhibits. Exhibits 1 and 2 obviously speak for themselves.

What's been marked as Exhibit 3, "First
Response of O. P. Carrillo," I presume that that is the
identical document which you have previously distributed
to members of the Committee, is it not?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. I didn't know whether, Mr. Hale, it had been made a part of the record.

3

5

4

6

8

10

12 13

14

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

It challenges the validity of the Committee and the existence of the Committee's challenge then too.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I'm thinking about getting photocopies. Exhibit 3 has been distributed to the Committee already, has it not?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. All of those have been filed. They were filed back in the latter part of May.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And what's been marked as AM Number 4 here, which is a two-page document, which is really the one that challenges the authority of the Committee, have copies of those been distributed to members of the Committee?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. That was filed after the adjournment of the Legislature sine die on the night of Monday, June 4, 1975.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And then what's been marked Exhibit 5 has not been distributed, though, has it?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. It was attached originally to the previous one. They have all been filed previously. Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: It was?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Could I have one of the

 Sergeants or the Clerk, or somebody to get photocopies of these? I guess you might as well get copies for everybody. Run off twenty copies of them just like we're doing everything else.

While the Clerk is getting copies of some of those exhibits, the Chair will put into the record of the Committee a memorandum which was provided to the Chair by Mr. Mitchell and received by the Chair on May 26, 1975, as the date stamp shows, in which was in reply to a request by the Chair for Mr. Mitchell to outline what he expected to prove from each of the witnesses on which he requested subpoenas, one of those being mentioned being the one Oscar D. Kirkland. And for whatever pertinence that has, the Chair will offer this as an exhibit as a part of the Committee's records.

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

(The document referred to was marked "Exhibit 72," for identification.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair is not certain whether copies of this have been provided to all members of the Committee or not.

In connection with the exhibits offered into evidence by Mr. Mitchell and accepted as a part of the record of the Committee, let the record also reflect that AM Number 1, being the requested subpoena for Oscar D.

Ri Mr si Ri 19

ì

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kirkland, as previously requested of the Committee by Mr. Mitchell, was not a subpoena duces tecum; it was simply a subpoena for the appearance of Mr. Oscar D. Kirkland before the Committee on the 27th day of May, 1975, at 8:00 o'clock p.m.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Hale, may I ask Mr. Kirkland when the material was delivered to him by the IRS?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes. When was the material delivered to you by the IRS, Mr. Kirkland?

A In the last—when you called me and you were supposed to come down there and go over there with me and get it all copied and then you didn't show up.

MR. MITCHELL: I was up here.

A And I went home. When was I up here? Thursday, two weeks ago? It was the following Tuesday. I went over there. I went over there to get the copies we needed and the guy that had picked them up had resigned, retired, and the old boy there said "You can have them."

So he just gave me the whole bunch of them.

It has been in the last couple of weeks.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Subsequent to May 27,

1975?

A I believe so. Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you.

A It would be real close, Mr. Hale.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you.

Let the record reflect also, with respect to AM Exhibit Number 3, which is entitled "First Response of O. P. Carrillo," that the first information that the Chair had on this particular exhibit was interrogation by several members of the Capital Press Corps during a session of the Legislature prior to sine die adjournement when members of the Capital Press Corps had obviously seen this exhibit, a copy of which at that time had not been delivered to the Chair, and I was quizzed about the content of this response prior to the time that I had been honored with a copy of it.

Let the record further reflect, with respect to A. M. Exhibit Number 5, which is a request by Mr. Mitchell for certain information, one being a list of witnesses subpoensed by the Committee in Executive Session, and the Chair would state for the record that immediately upon the issuance of subpoense everyone was notified; in fact, they were notified too far in advance on one subpoens, so far in advance that we couldn't serve the subpoens. Thereafter, everyone was advised as soon as the subpoense were served.

The second request was for a transcript of all testimony. And let the record reflect that copies of the

ļ

transcript have been furnished to Mr. Mitchell as rapidly as they have to members of the Committee, consistent with the speed at which the Court Reporter can transcribe the testimony.

With respect to number three, copies of all documentation introduced and considered a part of the official record, copies of every exhibit, have been furnished to Mr. Mitchell to the best knowledge and belief of the Chair.

If there are any exhibits that you have not received, Mr. Mitchell, it's your own fault. The Chair has instructed the Clerks to make them available to you, and if you will advise us which ones, if any, you do not have, you will certainly be given copies of them.

With respect to request number four, the calendar of hearings so that Judge Carrillo and his attorney can set their schedules accordingly, we have consulted with you on every occasion before we planned advance meetings of the Committee and have tried to adapt to the request of you and your client with respect to the scheduling of these meetings to the best that we can, even to the extent that the Chair, at the request of Mr. Mitchell, on one occasion called the presiding judge of the Court of Civil Appeals of the Austin Court of Civil Appeals and requested permission of the presiding

judge that Mr. Mitchell not have to appear for oral arguments in a case he had before the Court of Civil Appeals in order that he could be present at this hearing. And Judge Phillips, the presiding judge of the Court of Civil Appeals, kindly agreed to that request and advised the Chair that it would not be necessary for Mr. Mitchell to appear to make oral argument.

So, I want the record to reflect that the Chair has attempted to cooperate to the fullest extent possible with Mr. Mitchell in every way consistent with the transaction of the business of the Committee.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, may I state
I had no notice of any witnesses subpoensed by this
Committee. I have had no notice of any Executive
Session held by this Committee. I had no notice, nor
was I permitted to attend this Committee's sojourn to
South Texas commencing last Friday, and apparently nobody
has seen fit to even inform me what they did, where they
went, or permit me to be present.

I did receive copies of the transcript and I have copies, I suppose, current, as well as copies of the exhibits which were produced to me after I filed that request. And I appreciate the Chair's assistance in calling Judge Phillips so that I would not have to be absent from the Committee's hearing on Wednesday for

23

24

25

Chairman.

argument in two cases before the Court of Civil Appeals for the Third Supreme Judicial District.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Will the Clerk take charge of these five exhibits?

One of those is the subpoena served on Mr. Mitchell. Have you been given a copy of it, Mr. Mitchell?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. I have it, Mr.

CHAIRMAN HALE: All right.

If you would prefer the original back, we would substitute a copy in the record.

MR. MITCHELL: I think that would be all right.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Let the Court Reporter mark it then. See what the Exhibit number is.

Let Mr. Mitchell have the original.

THE CLERK: It was xeroxed like that.

chairman hale: With respect, Mr. Mitchell, to any deliberations by the Committee in your absence or any activities of the Committee in your absence, let the Chair state for the record that it is the opinion of the Chair, which I think is shared by members of the Committee, that our understanding of the law governing impeachment is that our function here as a Committee is

basically that of a grand jury, for the purpose not of a trial but of ferreting out facts and attempting to determine whether or not there is sufficient hard evidence to justify preferring of Articles of Impeachment, which is crudely comparable to the determination by a grand jury of whether or not there is sufficient evidence to justify the returning of indictments. For that reason, it was the feeling of the Chair, and I believe is shared by the Committee, that it was not necessary for you to be privy to all of the activities of the Committee any more than it would be your privilege to be privy to all of the activities of agrand jury which was investigating your client. And I dare say that this Committee has accorded you much more courtesies than the Federal Grand Jury in Corpus Christi did which indicted your client on income tax evasion.

So, the Chair wants the record to reflect that we have attempted to, even though it was not required to do so, as I read the case law on it, we have attempted to provide you every courtesy and every documentation that we felt would be fair to you and to your client in order that the true facts could be developed. And that's the only purpose of this Committee.

Mr. Maloney?

23

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25,

Ģ

BY VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY

- Q Mr. Kirkland, if I may ask you a few questions.
 When did you first know to be here today?
- A Let's see. This is Thursday. Tuesday night.
- Q Tuesday night?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Who told you to be here today?
- A Mr. Mitchell.
- Q Mr. Mitchell?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q How were you notified?
- A By telephone.
- Q Did you originate the telephone call or did Mr. Mitchell?
 - A No, sir. Mr. Mitchell.
- Q Were you called at home or were you called at your office?
 - A At home.
 - Q What were you told?
- A I was told to try to get up yesterday, to be prepared to come before the Committee yesterday or early today; this morning.
- Q Was there any conversation about any records to be brought at that time?
 - A I believe he asked me to bring all the records

Kirkland - Maloney that I had received from the Internal Revenue Service 1 and records that I had. 2 And did you bring those records, then? Q 3 A Yes, sir. į When you got to Austin, where did you go? Q 5 To the Downtowner-no. To the Downtowner A 6 Motel. Yes, sir. You took the records with you, I presume? Q 8 A 9 Yes, sir. Did you come here to this courtroom yesterday? Q 10 A No. sir. 11 When did you then know to come here to this Q 12 courtroom today? 13 Last night, about 8:15 Mr. Mitchell called me 14 and told me that -- I was waiting to see if I was 15 supposed to come last night. He called me and told me 16 to go on and go swimming, but be ready to meet him at 17 8:00 o'clock this morning. 18 Where were you to meet him? Q 19 A At his office. 20 Were you told to bring anything? Q 21 Α No, sir. 22 Q 23 morning? 24 25 A

Kirkland - Maloney Q Did you take anything? Α No, sir. When you got to his office, did you have a Q 3 conversation with him? 4 Yes, sir. 5 Q Did that conversation involve any records 6 that you had brought with you? 7 A Yes, sir. 8 Q What were you told? 9 We just reviewed some of the records. Α 10 I thought you didn't have them with you. Q 11 I left them up there yesterday. Α 12 You had left the records yesterday? Q 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 At whose instance was that done? 0 15 Α I just took them up there. There were two 16 briefcases full of them and I left them there. 17 On your own hook you did that? Q 18 Yes, sir. A 19 Q No one suggested that to you? 20 Well, we had them out and had them spread all A 21 over the desk up there, and I just agreed to leave them 22 there and come back this morning. 23

24

25

Were you told at any time that this Committee Q had requested that you bring those records to court?

- 1 4 ú 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 48 19 20 21 22 23 24 8
- A No, sir.
- Q You were not?
- A No, sir.
- Q Today, did you try to bring the records to court or to this hearing?
- A I thought about it, and then discussed it with Mr. Mitchell and he said we would leave them there.
- Q I beg your pardon? I didn't hear your answer.
- A I discussed it with Mr. Mitchell and he said that he thought it would be better if we left them there.
- Q Did you ask him why he thought it would be better?
 - A No, sir.
 - Q You just left them there?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Have you been back to his office today after that conversation this morning?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q When did you go back?
 - A During the noon recess.
- Was there any discussion as regards these records?
 - A No, sir.

į	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	1
9	
0	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	١
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
23	

have.

Q Did you try to bring these records to the hearing then for this afternoon?

A No, sir.

Q Were you ever told that this Committee requested those records?

A No. sir.

Q Were you aware that Mr. Mitchell yesterday afternoon had assured us you were going to bring these records?

A No, sir.

Q There was no conversation about that?

A No, sir.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: That's all I

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there any further questions of Mr. Kirkland?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kirkland, let the Chair ask you one or two questions.

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

Q There has been served on you a subpoena duces tecum for certain records. Is it your testimony now that none of these records covered by the subpoena which you have the original, I suppose, with you—that none of those records are now in your custody. Is that correct?

	Kirkland - Hale	12 25
A	Yes, sir.	
Q	You cannot produce those records b	efore the
Committe		
A	I would have to talk to Mr. Mitche	ll about
that.		
Q	All right. Mr. Mitchell has all o	f those
records	that are covered by that subpoena?	
A	Yes, sir.	
Q	The Federal tax returns for the Fa	rm and
Ranch pa	rtnership from 1967 to 1974, inclusi	ve?
A	Yes, sir.	
Q	All of those records are in Mr. Mi	tchell's
custody?		
A	Yes, sir.	
Q	The Federal tax returns for Ramiro	Carrillo
and Brot	hers and/or O. P. Carrillo, Trustee,	from 1967
to 1974,	inclusive. Do you have those recor	ds?
A	No, sir.	
Q	Where are those records now?	
A	In Mr. Mitchell's office.	
Q	And the Federal tax returns for 0.	P. Carrillo
from 196	7 to 1970, inclusive. Where are tho	se records?
A	In Mr. Mitchell's office.	
	MRS, WEDDINGTON: Could I ask	c one
question	?	

ì

.'

5

6

н

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

. 19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes. Mrs. Weddington? BY MRS. WEDDINGTON

Q Mr. Kirkland, a while ago I sensed some uncertainness in your answer to whether or not you still had the master file on the trust in your office. Are you certain that you have turned that over, or are you uncertain?

A I'm not certain that he has all the years, but I believe he has.

Q But you're not sure about that point?

A That's right.

MR. MITCHELL: May I make a statement, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, Mr. Mitchell.

MR. MITCHELL: I did not talk— I think you can take judicial notice that I was here all day yesterday, and I don't know what the Committee labored, but whatever time that was. I got back to my office and Mr. Kirkland was not at the office. I tried to call him and was informed that he was not in his room. I left a message for him to please call me at a later time, at 8:00 o'clock. I got back to my office at 8:00 o'clock, called him shortly thereafter and told him because of the lateness of the hour that I would rather have him come on in and work the following day.

12

23

24

25

Mr. Kirkland and I did meet this morning for breakfast. We went to my office. I asked him if he did have any records. He said, yes, they were in two briefcases. I said, "Well, I would like for you to deliver those to me." He said he intended to. He delivered them to me. I have not examined those records, have not looked at those records, and do not know whether or not they include Federal tax returns for Farm and Ranch partnership from '67 to '74, Ramiro Carrillo and Brothers and/or O. P. Carrillo, Trustee from 1967 to 1974, inclusive, and O. P. Carrillo from 1967 to 1970. I thought I ought to put that in the record. If I'm going to go to jail for something, I want to go to jail with something that I've got. I have not had an opportunity to examine because it is now 6:45. The subpoens was served upon me at approximately 6:30.

CHAIRMAN HALE: It's 5:45, Mr. Mitchell.

MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. 5:45. I stand corrected. The subpoens was served on me at 5:30. I have still yet to examine the records. I'm not suggesting that I don't have them, but if I do have them, I would like to make a statement as regards the delivery of them in a proper context and in the proper spirit to the Committee, Mr. Hale.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Do I interpret that, that

ţ

-4

5

6

8

1)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

34

3.5

you will deliver the records to the Committee, then, Mr. Mitchell?

MR. MITCHELL: Well, no. I think the threshold inquiry is whether I have them. I can't represent to the Committee that I have them because I have not examined the material and I have not seen the material.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And if you do have it, is it your intention to produce it for the Committee, or no?

MR. MITCHELL: If I do have them, Mr. Chairman, because of the serious questions of constitutional magnitude raised by the issuing of the subpoenas upon counsel, as it relates to my duty, as I understand those duties, being an attorney to my client, or clients, and as I comprehend those duties where my client stands indicted or clients stand indicted, under indictment from the Federal Grand Jury, and as I comprehend those duties as spelled out by the Fifth Amendment of the United States and of the Texas Constitution and the cases construing them, I would have to respectfully refuse to deliver the material unless ordered to do so by a court of competent jurisdiction.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney?

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Mr. Mitchell, was
Mr. Kirkland in his sworn testimony before this Committee

- 1

in error that you and he went over those records?

MR. MITCHELL: I have not gone over the records, Mr. Maloney, that he delivered to me in two---well, I think one is a brief case and one is an attaché case. I have barely seen them. I have not gone over them.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: You and Mr. Kirkland did not go over those records as he testified before this Committee.

MR. MITCHELL: That was the reason—that statement necessitated my statement to the Committee that those matters contained in the subpoena I have not—in other words, if I'm found in contempt, it's one thing, but to have been found in contempt of something not delivered to me, I think the record needs to be clarified that I don't know whether I've got them. I'm willing to take Mr. Kirkland's word. But when it gets down to a question of contempt, I would like to be assured that I've got them. And I have not and cannot represent it.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Mr. Kirkland, I believe you had something to say?

A Yes, sir. If I could, I would like to have that re-read. I believe I said that "We went over those tax returns." I went over them with John McDuff.

MR. MITCHELL: In my office.

In Mr. Mitchell's office yesterday afternoon. Α VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: And what records 4 were those? The ones in question. A -4 5 VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Specifically, they are the ones that are named in the subpoena? 6 A Yes, sir. VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: 8 Thank you. If I said I went over them with Mr. Mitchell, 9 Α 10 I was wrong. VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: 11 I may have assumed it when you said "We." 12 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 (Brief delay.) 15 CHAIRMAN HALE: The Committee will come to order. It is now past six o'clock and the Chair at 16 this time would like to ask you, Mr. Mitchell, if you 17 intend to comply with the subpoena served on you in the 18 event your check at your office reveals that the records 10 in question are in your custody? 20 MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, I will go 21 back to my office and will match, with Mr. Kirklands 22 assistance, the data included in the subpoens, and that 23 if I have it, for the reasons previously stated and for 24 the grounds previously stated, I will not comply with 25

much.

1

3

4

5

6

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

the subpoena, if I have the material.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Fine. Thank you very

Could the Chair ask one other question of you. I think I have asked this question before. Does Judge Carrillo intend to testify in this hearing?

MR. MITCHELL: I made the statement to the Chair and I repeat the statement that I made the judgment for him that he will not testify, but will choose to avail himself of the rights given by the Constitution of the United States and the Texas Constitution, which we thank the Lord for.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And you make that statement for and on behalf of Judge Carrillo with his authority?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And he is aware of the position that you are taking in his behalf before the Committee?

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, I don't want to sound— I tell my clients what to do, and I told him he wasn't going to testify, and that he would have to fire me if he did. And he allowed as how it was kind of late in the game to hire a substitute. So he said, "Well, you go right ahead; you're running it." I didn't

į.

5 6

9

10

13

12

15

17

18

20

21

23 24

25

mean to be frivolous but, no, I made the judgment, and I told him what that judgment was and that I intended for him to abide by it. And he indicated to me that he will.

CHAIRMAN HALE: But it is your statement to the Committee that on the basis—whether it's on the basis of your advice or not—

MR. MITCHELL: That's right.

CHAIRMAN HALE: —that the decision is also that of Judge Carrillo; that he will not testify before the Committee. Is that correct?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney?

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, I'm a little fuzzy on what grounds Mr. Mitchell is refusing to comply with the subpoena in the event that he does have these records. I would like these reasons stated into the record so that I could understand them.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Maloney, the reasons that I will refuse if the material has been delivered to me, will be divided into two broad categories: one, the category which deals with the right under the Fifth Amendment on behalf of my client who stands indicted for a criminal offense covered by the period of the material stated and requested in the subpoena, or

i

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

35

partially there—yes, covered by that period. And not to belittle the Texas Constitution, but also I am refusing on the grounds to deliver the material because of the comparable right given in our Texas Constitution against self-incrimination. In addition, the material as delivered to me is the property of my client, and as an attorney, licensed as such under the rules and regulations of the State of Texas, I feel a privilege flowing, which I want to invoke in behalf of my client.

Next, I feel that there is a due process involvement; that is, because of the pendency of the Federal indictment and because this Committee has undertaken under the tenor of HSR-161 and the testimony as relates to the indictment of the legally operative facts which flow from that indictment, amounting to and constituting the same facts which would be required to be proven by the government in U. S. v. Carrillo, et al; that to be required to deliver that material, there are other constitutional rights which will be violated on the part of my client, which are, double jeopardy, deprivation of property and liberty without due process, and, finally, because the Committee for the reasons set forth in A.M.-1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, is not a legally constituted Committee, not having the power to act past the period of adjournment, and for the further reason that the

?

Committee, as constituted by HSR Number 161, is limited by the authority from the Legislature, the parent body from which it derives its authority to making an impeachment inquiry only on one question, and this Committee knows it. It states in effect that my client has been indicted.

The Committee, insofar as it goes beyond that and insofar as it makes an inquiry preceding the certificate of election of November of 1974, has no authority.

Secondly, the Committee cannot by the tandem resolutions HSR 167 and HSR 221, pull itself up by its own boot straps, in effect, constituting and converting itself into a standing committee, which standing committee has no authority to conduct the inquiry based by the Constitution on the Committee appointed specifically to conduct an impeachment requirement, or an impeachment investigation. I'm sorry.

Next, the motion for the issuance of the subpoena, which was served on me, is improperly therefore issued in that there is no authority for its issuance either under HSR 161, 167 or 221, and, further, there is no authority for its issuance under the resolution in that the resolution was improperly adopted to issue the subpoena, the same not having been seconded.

3

- 4

5

6 7 8

25

In addition, to be required to deliver the material here called for in the subpoena served on counsel will effectively destroy the presumption of innocence which attends the indictment of my client, or clients, which will force my clients to give up their right to remain silent during the course of the trial in the Federal Court that is presently pending, and which will further destroy constitutionally protected rights which are guaranteed by the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments to one charge of commission on-

Next, I refuse because to deliver the material called for under the circumstances denies my client equal protection, in that persons similarly situated, that is persons who are public officials who are indicted --- in fact, one who was a member of the 64th Legislature-was not proceeded against and consequently the equal protection of the law has been violated as to my client and will be violated if I am required to produce this material in that there is a selective application of the law of impeachment without a reasonable basis for that selection.

For those reasons and others which I can't think of. Mr. Maloney, I refuse to deliver the material.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I'm sure, Mr. Mitchell, if you think of some others, you will provide them to the Committee?

1

.

4

ú

5

6

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

יו

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. I have to be careful I don't waive any, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: We wouldn't want you to waive any.

Let me ask you one or two questions, then, Mr. Mitchell, about these. You have spread them out, but they really boil down to two or three basic elements here. Number one, I would categorize under the broad category of the Fifth Amendment rights. Is it your position that Judge Carrillo in this regard is invoking his rights under the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and refuses to produce these documents on the grounds that it might incriminate or tend to incriminate him?

MR. MITCHELL: In part. Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE; And the second broad ground, as I understand it, which you, I thought, in a very round about way, you attempted to invoke an attorney-client privilege; that you could not produce the records because they were delivered to you as his attorney, and by reason of the attorney-client privilege you could not divulge the contents of those without his permission.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. And the weakness in that, as the Chair well knows, being a

practicing attorney, is that unfortunately my client is

1

2

4

-1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not here and the privilege belongs to my client. Attorney General bounced him around yesterday about getting down there to receive that Grand Jury and, as the Chair knows, because I communicated to the Chair, I asked permission to let him go so he could receive that Grand Jury. And he is not here. And I would like to have permission to inform him of the progress thus far of the afternoon. He is going to be shocked, I'm sure. But ask him to communicate to the Chair that he does intend to invoke the attorney-client privilege. As the Chair knows, I can't invoke it in his behalf.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I understand that, Mr. Mitchell. And I was leading up to that. You did tell the Chair of the need for him to get back to his District down there.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And the Chair advised you to tell him to go ahead.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: So the Committee will know that he is absent here now, in effect, to the extent that I could give him permission to do anything, I granted the permission of the Committee for him not to be here for the remainder of the afternoon.

.15

And then, as I see it, the third grounds of your refusal, then, involves some broad area of due process, which I'm not sure that you or I either one know exactly what you're hitting at there, but involving double jeopardy, deprivation of life and property, equal protection of the laws, and any other constitutional rights which he might have.

Then the fourth broad category, as I see it, is simply your contention that the Committee is without jurisdiction in this matter, in any event.

Have I summarized fairly well the broad outlines of your contentions?

MR. MITCHELL: I think you have. I think you have. Mr. Hale.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, I am particularly concerned primarily with the first one, and that is that if you are authorized by Judge Carrillo to invoke the Fifth Amendment then, of course, we would obviously recognize that as far as any witness is concerned. We would not want to do anything that would interfere with his constitutional rights. We cannot compel him to testify and would not attempt to compel him to testify under the circumstances.

But we did want it clear in the record that the Committee had invited him to be here and had offered him

- 3

-4

the opportunity to testify, and we wanted it in the record that he was not testifying because, on your statement, that he was standing on the Fifth Amendment and that anything he might say would either incriminate him or tend to incriminate him from a criminal standpoint.

MR. MITCHELL: Right. And I'm sure, knowing the Committee as being fair-minded American citizens, that they will carry forward to their deliberations the very beautiful right that a citizen has of not taking the stand to incriminate himself, and that that fact will not be held against him, and certainly we're not at the waterloo of that right. If we are, then we can fold it all up and go home.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, I think the Committee shares your concern on that, since most of the members of this Committee are lawyers, as you are well aware, Mr. Mitchell, and every lawyer shares the concern for constitutional rights. However, let the Chair again emphasize what I have emphasized time and time again, that this is not a criminal proceedings. So to that extent, we're not in a comparable situation to your position in the Federal District Court in Corpus Christi.

This is, by law, by constitution, by statute,

3.54

and by court decision, a civil proceeding, the ultimate outcome of which could be nothing more or nothing less than the removal of Judge Carrillo from the office of trust and confidence which he holds. There are no criminal sanctions that can be imposed as a result of this proceeding insofar as Judge Carrillo is concerned on acts and conduct which he has committed in the past. The only criminal sanctions which could flow out of this Committee would be prosecutions for perjury and/or contempt by reason of the positions they take before the Committee. But we are not a criminal body and neither is the House of Representatives and neither is the State Senate, in the event this proceeding ever reached that point.

The entire impeachment proceeding is characterized throughout the court decisions as civil in nature, not criminal, and the objective being not penalizing the person but simply determining whether or not he should continue in office.

As a matter of fact, the cases are clear that following impeachment, that does not bar subsequent criminal prosecution if a Grand Jury sees fit to follow up an impeachment proceeding and proceed with criminal indictments.

It was not done in the Ferguson case, as you

know. In the Ferguson case, the impeachment process ran its course and Ferguson was removed from office, but thereafter no criminal indictments were returned or prosecuted.

MR. MITCHELL: And to that degree, if I might be permitted to observe, the cases are distinguished because here the Judge stands indicted. And at the time that Mr. Ferguson and the Judge that was impeached in 1931 by this body, there was not, of course, the civil rights statute or the U. S. code which protects the right of an office holder, a student, teacher, to hold his office, and that the sanction in the Constitution of Texas provides that when this august body returns the Bill of Impeachment he is automatically suspended, and it will be our contention that it is a violation of the rights which the Supreme Court will protect.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Do you think the Supreme
Court, Mr. Mitchell—and this may be academic—but do you
think the Supreme Court of the United States would be
equally zealous in protecting the right of a member of
a school board against arbitrary removal without hearing?

MR. MITCHELL: I'm only familiar with the recent cases as up to February of this year, in which instance some children were suspended in an Ohio school

3 4 5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

ib

17

18

20

21

1

without notice, and the Supreme Court of the United States held in that case, under 1983, that their rights were violated. I'm not familiar with the school board or the removal procedure because, as I understand the removal procedure was a legislative enactment and has been on the books for a hundred years.

CHAIRMAN HALE: So has the impeachment removal. It's been on the books since 1876 as a part of the Texas Constitution. And the statutes under which we operate have been on the books since the Ferguson impeachment in 1917.

Mr. Mitchell, the Chair would ask you one thing at this time: if you would, at your earliest convenience, check the records in your office, either by yourself or with Mr. Kirkland, and advise the Chair whether or not the records on which you were served the subpoena are physically in your custody.

MR. MITCHELL: I will.

CHAIRMAN HALE: That will be most

helpful.

evening.

MR. MITCHELL: I'll get to it this

22

14

CHAIRMAN HALE: Then the Committee can decide somewhere down the line what action, if any, to take with respect to it in view of your statement

here today.

٠,

In view of the invoking of the Fifth Amendment, it certainly raises serious legal problems in connection with the subpoena, obviously.

Is there any further business to be transacted before the Committee here? Well, first, we'd better dispose of Mr. Kirkland, hadn't we.

Are there any further questions of Mr. Kirkland?

He is still technically on the witness stand here. I

didn't literally mean that, Mr. Kirkland, when I used

the word "dispose."

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kirkland, as we have done all other witnesses before the Committee, the Chair is going to authorize you to go about your business, with the instruction to you that you are now under subpoens to the Committee and that you could be, if the Committee deems it necessary, be subject to recall for further testimony. If that becomes necessary, the Chair will have you notified in ample time that you could be here.

Absent such notification, why, you are free to go about your business.

MR. MITCHELL: Don't you leave before you come to my office.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

75

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, the Chair can't control—can't determine whatever control Mr. Mitchell has over you. He may need further words with you. But so far as the Committee is concerned, you're free to go.

(The witness, Mr. Oscar D. Kirkland, was excused.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: On behalf of the Committee, let me thank you also for being here, for your appearance here today and for your testimony which you have offered.

Mr. Mitchell, to be sure that the Chair doesn't jump to any conclusions, I will ask you again, have you any further witnesses or testimony that you care to offer before the Committee on the matter pending before it?

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, that is getting to be a pretty touch task. I believe I quit. In answer to the question, I do not. Thank you for the opportunity.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you.

Mr. Kaster would like to ask Mr. Mitchell a question.

MR. KASTER: You know I'm not an attorney and I don't know all these legal niceties.

MR. MITCHELL: I would hate for you to get your license because you come on pretty strong with these

questions, Mr. Kaster.

ĭ

ŝ

ú

6

8

()

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KASTER: I have great admiration for your ability and your defense, and I admire the way you're handling your case. I'm curious about one thing.

Knowing your scholarly background in the laws and teaching the courses to the students, if in fact HSR 161, 167 and 221 are null and void in your opinion, what have you been doing here for the last couple of weeks participating in, defending—if in fact we're not legally constituted?

MR. MITCHELL: As we say in the business, Mr. Kaster, you can't yell "ouch" until you hurt. I din't been hurt up until today, and I'm getting ready to get hurt.

MR. KASTER: Okay.

answer that question. You frequently file a motion maybe to disqualify a judge or to raise a question on jurisdiction, but you still have to go ahead and try the case anyway. So Mr. Mitchell has done that and the Chair joins in Mr. Kaster's remark, Mr. Mitchell. You have done a very able job for your client.

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much. I appreciate that under the circumstances it's a pleasure to appear before people really who are professional, and

I say that honestly, because I have been accorded by the Chair and by the members a very fair opportunity under what I must assure you has been a very difficult time for me personally.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HALE: It's been a very difficult time for all the members of the Committee, too, I can assure you that. None of us have relished this job.

Mr. Mitchell, so that you will be advised, the Chair will tell you and the public through the medium of the news media, that we are not necessarily terminating all public testimony by the occasion here this afternoon. We are at the end of one phase of this Committee's activities, namely the taking of public testimony.

We have heard the testimony from all witnesses of which the Committee feels at this time, and Mr.

Mitchell feels at this time, and Mr. Canales feels at this time, are needed. What the Committee will do from this point on, the Chair will anticipate to some degree, having visited with most of the members of the Committee, individually or one or two at a time during the course of the day, in trying to plan our activities. What we envision doing at this time would be that the Committee will recess, probably for about a week, or

possibly a little longer, for several purposes: one, to allow the Court Reporter to get the transcript complete, including today's testimony, and copies of it into the hands of all interested parties.

Then the members of the Committee need to study this transcript. It is a very voluminous transcript, as you can well understand. The Chair has already been delivered, I believe, nine volumes of it, and there are about three or four more volumes still to come. So, we're going to have a tremendous amount of material that we have to study and read, plus a tremendous number of exhibits, approaching, what? over a hundred exhibits here of one kind or another.

Having reviewed all of that, the Committee would anticipate then that the Committee would reassemble for the purpose of comparing notes from our study individually of the transcript and the record, and then the Committee would determine whether or not it felt necessary to take any additional public testimony or to subpoena any additional records. If so, we would schedule a further public hearing.

If further public hearings are not deemed to be necessary, then the Committee would be in the position at that point, having the record before it, of working up and drafting Articles of Impeachment in each

area on which complaints have been made in the testimony, and then going through one by one on those Articles of Impeachment, as many as there are, and determining whether or not there is sufficient hard evidence in the record to justify the Committee in recommending to the House that any one or more of such Articles of Impeachment be voted and referred to the Senate for trial.

ı

?

A time schedule on that is difficult to predict. But the Chair would anticipate that the Committee, later today, will probably vote to recess for, as I said, about a week, possibly until Monday week, which would be about nine or ten days, at which time we would reassemble and at that time we would have to make a determination whether further public hearings would be justified.

In the meantime, during the next week or ten days, the members of the Committee will individually be pouring over these records and attempting to educate ourselves on the details of the testimony before it.

Is there anything any member of the Committee would like to add to the general comments that the Chair just made about our future course of action?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Committee does need to have a short meeting after we recess this public

hearing. So the Chair will ask each member of the Committee to stand by.

Mr. Maloney moves that immediately upon-

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Mr. Maloney withdraws his motion at this time, Mr. Chairman.

I think at this time the Chair needs to make a statement as to whether it is going to take any action on Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Kirkland's refusal to produce records or whether the Chair is going to take this matter under advisement.

Was probably obvious from the comments I made, Mr.
Maloney. It appears to the Chair that any action
by the Committee at this time would be premature with
respect to any action on it. With respect to Mr.
Kirkland, based on his testimony, I see nothing—no
action we could take against Mr. Kirkland. He has
testified under oath that he does not have physical
custody of the records which are named in the subpoena
duces tecum. So, it occurs to the Chair that he has
complied with the subpoena to the extent that it is
within his ability to do so.

with respect to Mr. Mitchell, it occurs to the Chair that having invoked the Fifth Amendment with respect to these records, on behalf of his client, and

having invoked the attorney-client privilege, he has raised serious legal questions as to whether or not any further action would be legally permissible. It occurs to the Chair that we need to brief this point exhaustively before we would decide on whether we would take any further action with respect to Mr. Mitchell.

I

ıí.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: I just wanted to be clear in my mind whether this was still pending or not.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I think it would still be pending. The only action we could take against him would be a citation for contempt. And it occurs to me, without having briefed the law on it, I would think that if the reason for his refusal is one which is within his legal right, then it occurs to me he would not be in contempt of the Committee, having relied upon that right. I could be in error on that.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: I just wanted, in all fairness to Mr. Mitchell, for him to know whether to go home and feel it was all over or not all over.

MR. MITCHELL: I have already been given a package of cigarettes to tide me over tonight.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, knowing Mr. Mitchell as well as the Chair does, I have a feeling that he's not going to lose any sleep over these proceedings.

But, to answer your question, it seems to the Chair that the issue of whether or not Mr. Mitchell is in contempt of the Committee on his refusal to produce these records, if they are in his custody, is one which is continuing before the Committee and can be acted upon at a later date, if we determine that the refusal was not justified.

As an example, I think it is patently clear, for example, that if Judge Carrillo were in the witness chair and we asked him for these records and he refused to produce them on the ground that it would tend to incriminate him, we could not cite him for contempt because he would be standing on a legal right.

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, all I was concerned about was the Ghair's statement that the matter is still pending.

Mr. Mitchell is in the same category on that, having invoked the attorney-client privilege, assuming his client backs him up on it, and I'm sure he will, and assuming that—and since he has invoked the Fifth Amendment on behalf of his client that those two legal defenses would probably justify the refusal and make a contempt proceeding illegal.

But the matter is still pending before the Committee and will be carried forward for whatever

action is warranted by the facts at a later date.

. 4

23,

Is there anything further to come before the Committee while we're in public hearing here today?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: If not, the Committee will—we need to have a short executive session to determine one or two matters here relative to subpoenas and other things that we have discussed earlier.

MR. CANALES: Will you advise us when the Committee will reconvene?

CHAIRMAN HALE: I'm going to put a motion on it here in just a moment.

Mr. Maloney moves that immediately upon the recess of the public hearing, which will be just a few moments, that the Committee then meet in executive session here to take up some business pending before the Committee. Is there any discussion on the motion?

VICE CHAIRMAN MALONEY: Mr. Chairman, if I could, I think in fairness, since there are a lot of people who are obviously interested in these proceedings, that they be told that they can check with the Clerk of this Committee as to any further public hearings so that they would be able to have someone to call and be advised.

CHAIRMAN HALE: With respect to any public

hearings, there will be a five-day notice posted on the bulletin boards out here with respect to any public hearings that the Committee has. The Chair will advise you that we will comply with the five-day rule on public hearings.

ŧ

i6

Is there any further discussion on the motion for an executive session within just a few moments?

(No response.)

(The motion, being put to a vote, was carried.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: The ayes have it and it is so ordered. (Gavel.)

Mr. Maloney moves that the Committee, subject to the executive session, stand recessed until what time, Monday? Ten o'clock?

MRS. WEDDINGTON: No. One o'clock.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney moves that the Committee stand recessed until 1:00 o'clock Monday,—what date is a week from Monday? —until 1:00 o'clock p.m. on June 23, 1975. Is there any objection?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair hears none and the Committee stands recessed until 1:00 o'clock p.m. Monday, June 23, 1975.

(Whereupon, the hearing was recessed until 1:00 p.m., Monday, June 23, 1975.)

CERTIFICATE

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

-#

I, Walter H. Hickman, a Notary Public in and for Travis County, Texas, do certify that on the 12th day of June, 1975, the foregoing proceedings before the TEXAS STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON IMPEACHMENT were reported by me and that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true, and correct transcription of my Stenograph notes.

GIVEN under my hand and seal of office this _____ day of June, 1975.

Walter H. Hickman, Notary Public in and for Travis County, Texas.

AUSTIN, TEXAS